

PROJECT 10075 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 5 Nov 67 06/0100Z	2. LOCATION FARMERSVILLE, OHIO (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Astro (MOON)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Dr. J. Allen Hynek stated that it was "Clearly a case of the MOON". (See attached Ltr).
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer sighted an object that looked like a first quarter moon but felt that it wasn't the moon because he felt that the moon shouldn't be visible.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Stationary	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

57 Nov 67

TDET/UFO

NOV 7 1967

UFO Observation, 5 November 1967


Farmersville, Ohio 45325

Reference your unidentified observation. The information which we have received is not sufficient for a scientific investigation. Request you complete the attached FTD Form 164 and return it in the envelope provided. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

 JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
FTD Form 164 w/envelope

Observer has another sighting
see attached copy of card. (29-10 Oct 67)

Reading Dr. Hyslop
September 15 Jan 68

MEMO FOR THE RECORD

UFO report: 5 November 1967

Time: 8:00 PM

Duration: 5 minutes

Description: Like first quarter moon
(Felt it wasn't moon because moon wouldn't be visible?)

Elevation: 20°

~~Altitude:~~ 12° south of west

Disappeared: Obscured by clouds

Name : [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Farmersville, Ohio 45325

Comments: Has another report last week. Seems sincere but doesn't really know too much about astronomical bodies.

5 NOV 67

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION (AFSC)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

TDPT (UFO)

18 January 1968

SUBJECT:

Request for Comments on UFO Observations

TO:

Dr. J. Allen Hynek

1. Reference the attached report from [redacted] of Farmersville, Ohio, on his unidentified observation of 5 November 1967. Mr. [redacted] had sightings on 29-30 October 1967 which were evaluated as possible aircraft. The witness has not provided additional information on his sighting of 5 November. However, we would appreciate your written comments as to the possibility of Mr. [redacted] mistaking the moon on his latest observation.

[redacted] observation, and comes out to moonset for Farmersville at 20:21 EST. Since the sighting time is given as 20:00, duration 5 min., and the moon had a southern declination, --and the fact that he didn't mention the moon when the nearly quarter moon was plainly there, makes it very likely that the moon was the culprit. The moon, of course, was not 20 degrees in elevation -- more like 4-8 degs, but that is normal exaggeration. The moon ~~should~~ also ~~have been~~ somewhat farther south than observer indicated his object was, but I do not think this ~~was~~ is serious. Also, he said he thought it might be the moon, but the "moon wouldn't be visible". Well, it was.

From a Letter
by J. A. Hynick
27 Jan 1968

CASE: 5 Nov. 1967 Farmersville, Ohio

corrections

Clearly a case of the moon. When one makes ~~allowances~~ corrections for the longitude of Farmersville west of the 75 th meridian, and corrects for the difference from the meridian of Greenwich, one comes out to moonset for Farmersville at 20:21 EST. Since the sighting time is given as 20:00, duration 5 min., and the moon had a southern declination, --and the fact that he didn't mention the moon when the nearly quarter moon was plainly there, makes it very likely that the moon was the culprit. The moon, of course, was not 20 degrees in elevation---more like 4-8 degs, but that is normal exaggeration. The moon ~~should~~ also ~~have been~~ somewhat farther south than observer indicated his object was, but I do not think this ~~was~~ is serious. Also, he said he thought it might be the moon, but the "moon wouldn't be visible". Well, it was.