

NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFO) FILES COPIES FOR RELEASE TO THE PUBLIC

File Number: AIR 39/3/3 Volume 3

File Title: Reports of UFO's

File Timespan: Opened: 1979 - Closed 1980

File Declassified: September 2010

Location of Original File: Held at Archives New Zealand

Access to Original File: Restricted until 2050

File Contains: Reports of UFO sightings from members of the public and military personnel. Correspondence from / to UFO research groups in NZ and requests for UFO information from overseas researchers. Press release summary of investigation into the 1975 Kaikoura sightings.

NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE

PUBLIC RELEASE OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFO) FILES

These copied and redacted files of correspondence on **Unidentified Flying Objects** dating from 1952 to 2009 have been Declassified and released to the public by the New Zealand Defence Force under the Official Information Act.

Access to the original files held by Archives New Zealand is restricted up until the year 2080 for Personal Privacy reasons. These copied files have had the personal details of members of the public making UFO reports removed to preserve their privacy. Personal details of service personnel and civilians employed by the New Zealand Defence Force and other Government Departments and Agencies have not been removed. No other information has been removed or omitted from these files.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF FILES

Correspondence on Flying Saucers began in New Zealand Defence Force files in 1952 and continued under different names, Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO) and Unidentified Aerial Sightings (UAS) until the present. The files contain reports of sightings by private individuals and military personnel, investigations by Defence and other Government Departments and agencies into these reports, newspaper clippings on UFOs and letters from individuals who claim to be in touch with alien beings and craft.

While the files are in general date order from 1952 until the present some file periods overlap with one another and the documents within each file are not necessarily in strict date order. There can be duplicate documents within each file and copies of the same documents (particularly media releases and reports) can appear in different files.

ACCESS TO UFO FILES

These redacted files are available in hard copy from the Defence Library c/o Headquarters New Zealand Defence Force Aitken St Wellington. They are not available in electronic format.

File No.

39/3/3 VOL. 3

SERIES:

REPORTS

SUB-SERIES: MISCELLANEOUS

SUBJECT: REPORTS OF U.F.O.'S

File Opened: Feb. 1979 File Closed: DEC 1980

Previous File: XQ 2 Subsequent File:

OTHER RELEVANT FILES

Subject:

File No.

DISPOSAL CATEGORY:

CLOSED**DECLASSIFIED**

On 2 SEP 2010
 Reference 11/5/81
 Appointment REVIEW FOND

THIS FILE MAY BE RELEASED
 FOR PUBLIC ACCESS
 FROM 20

Date: / /
 for HQ NZ DEFENCE FORCE

TRANSIT DIRECTIONS

Folio	Referred to	Date	Actioned by		Folio	Referred to	Date	Actioned by		Folio	Referred to	Date	Actioned by	
			Initials	Date				Initials	Date				Initials	Date
89	PRO (AIR)	22/12		13/2	PRO (AIR)	21/3	6	29/3		PLANS 1	11/3/81			
91	PRO (AIR)	7-1-81		12/1	SASO	12/1/81	Q	24/1/81						
92	PRO (AIR)	14/1/81		14/1	SASO	14/1/81	Q	2/1						
93	PRO (AIR)	17/1/81		17/1	SASO	24/1/81	Q	12/1/81						
94	PRO (AIR)	5/2/81		12	AO POL (D)	14/1/81	Q	14/1/81						
96	PRO (AIR)	10/2/81		17/2	AO POL (D)	4/3/85	Q	8/3/85						
97	PRO (AIR)	19/3/81		12/3	SASO	14/5/81	Q	8/5/81						
99	PRO (AIR)	20/3/81		7/3	OPS 3	4/7/81	Q	8/5/81						
101	PRO (AIR)	6/4/81		7/3	SCOUT CT BASEMENT	11/7/81								
103	PRO (AIR)	27/4/81		23/3	OPS 4A	14/7/81	Q	14/11						
104	PRO (AIR)	18/6/81		19/6	RDO	14/11/81	Q							
104	OPS 1	9/6	CWL	22/6	OPS 3 (E)	23/1/92	Q							
	PRO (AIR)	22/6		23/6										
	ASA C	26/6/81			RDO CLK	28/1/81	Q							
	OPS 2	15/10/81			CLK (P) Reg	20/6/81	Q	11/7/81						
	PRO (AIR)	6/9/83			R.D.O.	1/5/84	Q							
	SDI	11/11/83			OPS 4	13/5/88	Q	13/5						

CLOSED

DEPARTMENT:

AIR

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THIS FILE
 IS RESTRICTED UNTIL 2050
 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRIVACY
 PROVISIONS OF SECTION 6 OF THE
 OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT 1982.

DATED

SIGNED

2 SEP 2010

VETTING RECORD SHEET

PLEASE COMPLETE ONLY ONE PAGE PER RECORD

NZDF STORAGE NO _____

*HQ NZDF/ARMY/NAVY/AIR FORCE/JSO FILE *Delete as appropriate

FILE NO 39/3/3

LOCATION FRB ARCHIVES NZ

PART NO 3

TYPE ☒ ☐

(File or Non File Material)

Series REPORTS

Sub-Series MISCELLANEOUS

Subject REPORTS OF WFO'S

Date of first document 13/2/1979

Date of last document 17/12/1980

Please Tick the Appropriate Boxes:

☐ No NZ Documents on File	☒ No Foreign Source Documents on File
☒ No Classified Documents on File	☐ Some Foreign or Special-Source Documents placed on restricted Parallel File
☒ NZ Documents Cleared for Access	☐ Some NZ (Non-NZDF) Documents placed on Restricted Parallel File
☐ No Classified NZ Documents on File	Some ☐ All Foreign Source Documents or Cleared for Access

OR
☒ Release on 1 January in the Year
☐ Review again before the Year

2050
2050

VETTING COMMENTS IF NECESSARY

File contains miscellaneous WFO siging reports
File also contains copy of media release following investigation into visit and radar sighting off the East Coast of the South Island 19/1/78
Access restricted until 2050 for privacy reasons

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THIS FILE

IS RESTRICTED UNTIL 2050 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRIVACY PROVISIONS OF SECTION 9 OF THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT 1982.

Vetting commenced 2/9/10 Vetting Completed 2/9/10
 STAMP (place relevant NZDF decision STAMP here, sign and date)



Te Rua Mahara o te Kāwanatanga

ARCHIVES

NEW ZEALAND

GOVERNMENT LOANS SERVICE

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE THIS SHEET

**This item is part of the holdings of Archives New Zealand, and
is a PUBLIC ARCHIVE on TEMPORARY LOAN to your office.**

Production:	2010 032042
Agency:	ABFK
Series:	7281
Accession:	W4753
Box/File:	166
Shelf No:	TA 321 9

PLEASE TREAT THIS ITEM WITH CARE:

- Do not remove any item from this file
- Do not add any item to this file
- Do not write on or erase anything from this file
- Do not flag, label, or otherwise damage this file

This loan is valid for three months from **28. AUG. 2010**

PLEASE RETURN WITHIN THREE (3) MONTHS TO

Government Loans Service
Archives New Zealand Head Office
Ground Floor Reception,
10 Mulgrave Street
WELLINGTON

OR ASK FOR AN EXTENSION

Phone: (04) 894 6063
Fax: (04) 495 6210
Email: government.loans@archives.govt.nz

Unless returned within 3 months, or extended, overdue charges will apply

File Number AIR 39/3/3 Vol No 3

FILE RELEASE STATEMENT

VETTING COMPLETED AND:

✓ No classified documents on file.

✓ NZDF documents have been declassified

~~Other NZ Govt Dept classified documents have been cleared for access~~

~~Foreign Source classified documents have been cleared for access~~

~~Some foreign source documents have been placed on a restricted parallel file (see separation sheets herewith recording transfer)~~

Other comments:

..... File contains miscellaneous UFO sighting reports
..... File also contains copy of media release following investigation
..... into visual and radar sighting off the East Coast of the South
Island (Kaikoura) in Dec '78
Access restricted until 2050 for privacy reasons

Signed..... M. Delaney Date..... 2/9/2010
For Headquarters New Zealand Defence Force

NB: Classified documents of other New Zealand Departments where there is some concern about declassifying them should be referred to the relevant department.

ALL PAPERS PERTAINING TO
"ETHOLOGY OF LIGHT" HAVE
BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS FILE TO
A FILE OF OWN 39/3/3A
"UFO'S & ETHOLOGY."

MINUTE SHEET

Department: D OPS 10

Subject:

File No. _____

UFO SIGHTING

Date: 17 Dec 80

To-

~~D OPS~~ ^{to}

~~Noted~~
~~12/12~~
Place to the UFO
File. 39/3/3?

1. W/O McAllister, Recruiting Officer at Napier, was contacted by a _____ of Se. Piche, on UFO sightings over the last week.
2. Each morning, between 0510 and 0600 has seen a bright object rising in the East and slowly climbing, it was only seen when the sky was clear.
3. Our investigations would indicate that it was probably Venus, as this planet is in that position during the time

To-

stated.

* I phoned _____ and passed
on to him the results of our
investigation, and pointed out that the
correct procedure is to pass any
sightings through the Police.

EWC
(T. W. Collins)
Sqn Ldr
Ops 3.

XXXX
749-299

Air 39/3/3 PR

15 December 1980

Mr Peter G. Keane
55 Greville Avenue
Flinders Park 5025
SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 5 December.

There is no objection to you publishing the material I passed to you. It was published in the media in this country at the time of its initial release.

The RNZAF study will not be released to the public. However the material I passed to you is a summary of the findings of that study.

The news release paper used to carry the material passed to you is the news release paper used daily by this office to pass information on RNZAF activities to the media. The footnote is only included to prompt news editors.

In this instance there is nothing further that I can add to the information I have already passed to you. However there have been independent reports including a book called "Kaikoura UFO's" by Captain Bill Startup and Neal Illingworth. It is published by Hodder and Stoughton and is priced here at \$12.95.

I thank you for your inquiry.

Yours faithfully,


(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer.



Squadron Leader Cole,

I am in receipt of your recent letter regarding earlier
earlier enquiries of mine re-UFO reports from 1979 and I am particularly appreciative
of your assistance, however, I must implore your help with the following:

This bulletin will be reproduced in its entirety as an example of official comments on the NZ UFO events along with the DSIR Report No.659 which deals with the explanations of these sightings and which I have the DSIR's permission to use.

In addition is it possible to obtain further detailed information on the RNZAF investigated UFO sightings for DEC/JAN.(1978/79), particularly the proposed explanations for them(a printed caption on the bottom of the News Service release states that,"... further information can be obtained from the Public Relations Officer...Defence Headquarters,Wellington") ?

In hoping that you will assist, I am, sincerely,

Peter G. Keane.

• Kennerly
 UFO's
 Peter G. Keane.
 10/1/82
 14 + S.
 5/12.95
 10/1/82

xxxxxx

749-299

Air 39/3/3 PR

25 November 1980

Mr Peter G. Keane
55 Greville Ave
Flinders Park 5025
SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 13 November seeking a copy of the RNZAF study into Unidentified Flying Objects in the Clarence River/Kaikoura regions.

The report on the RNZAF's investigation has not been made public. However a statement containing the findings of the investigation was released at the time. I have enclosed a copy for you.

This particular study was an isolated investigation. The RNZAF does not normally undertake detailed investigations into sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects. We do however, consult with other Government agencies in an endeavour to eliminate any obvious explanations.

I thank you for your interest.

Yours faithfully,

(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

21/11/80
A. AVE


13/11/80

Peter G.Keane
55 Greville Ave
Flinders Park 5025
Sth.Australia

Sir,

Recently it has come to my attention that the New Zealand Air Force has prepared a study of the New Zealand UFO sightings in the Clarence River - Cook Strait regions between December 20th, 1978 and January 10th, 1979 which is available to the general public.

I am at present compiling a publication entitled "Australian Unidentified Flying Objects"(written in collaboration with Mr D.W.Mitchell, a writer for the "Scientific Australian" magazine) which is a skeptical work on this subject and which in part deals with the above dated New Zealand UFO events.

Therefore I request one copy of the NZAF official report on these sightings and any other publication by the Air Force available to the public that provides explanations for these phenomena.

Please notify me as to what costs are required to obtain these.

I have recently obtained a copy of the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation's Report No.659("Unfamiliar Observations of Lights in the Night Sky", by Mr W.Ireland) which has provided me with an excellent study of these occurrences, however, I also desire the Air Force's report on these matters to, a) establish beyond doubt that perfectly natural explanations exist for these sightings, b) to provide a most detailed analysis of the sightings from both sides, pro or con, for a balanced symposium and c) to verify its conclusions for each particular UFO case with those in the DSIR Report.

Any further information that you may require will be gladly supplied and in hoping that you will assist, I thank you for your time and energy.

Yours sincerely,



Peter G.Keane.



xxxxx
749-299

Air 39/3/3 PR

25 November 1980

Mr H. Nickson
167 Seabank Road
Wallasey
Merseyside L45 7QL
ENGLAND

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 3 November seeking information concerning an RNZAF investigation into unidentified aircraft.


I believe the investigation you are referring to occurred early in 1979 when the RNZAF investigated reported sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects on the north-east coast of the South Island of New Zealand.

The RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects and the investigation carried out at that time was an isolated instance.

The investigation report has not been made public but a statement of the findings of the investigation was released at the time.

A copy of that statement which will provide the information you seek, is enclosed.

Yours faithfully,



(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



F12 26/3/8

H. NICKSON, M.Ch.S.
State Registered Chiropodist
—
Tel. 051-639 2410

167 SEABANK ROAD
WALLASEY
MERSEYSIDE
L45 7QL
England

The Controller,
The Air Force, ✓
New Zealand.

I will be most grateful if you can enlighten
me as to the eventual outcome of an incident,
during last year or the early part of 1980, when
reported unidentified aircraft (or similar!) caused
a wide-spread investigation by your Air Force.

Garry Nickson.

3 November '80

[Handwritten signature]

MAILED TO

0
AIR

The Controller,
New Zealand Air Force
NEW ZEALAND



77
412 38/3/3 47

RESTRICTED
NO UNCLASSIFIED
REPLY OR REFERENCE

VV LKB929BFA892 HH
PP RZYXCE
DE RZYWTT 1443 2870330
ZNY RRRRR
P 130205Z OCT 80
FM RNZAF AUCKLAND
TO RZYXCE/NZDEF AIR
RZYWTT/OPHQ RNZAF
BT

PRIORITY

04
00

R E S T R I C T E D
DIG KSZ

706 REF TELECON XOTW AND AROPS AND AIRSTAFF OPHQ 122230Z SUBJECT
UNIDENTIFIED RADAR RETURN PD ANDOVER 7625 DEPARTED WELLINGTON
122328Z TO INVESTIGATE PD FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF FU REPORT PD
A UNITED STATES UNIDENT TYPE SERNO 972 DDG SER NO 1066
B POSITION 122 MAG 47 NM WELLINGTON 122345Z
C SER NO 972 STEAMING 030M/20KTS SER NO 1066 STEAMING 015M/15KTS
D 972 FLYING HELICOPTER GRUMMAN SEASTRIKE
E NZ7625 ANDOVER DUXFIELD
F NIL
BT

RESTRICTED
NO UNCLASSIFIED
REPLY OR REFERENCE

NNNN
KSZ 0
ACTION OPS3 11
INFO

file 8631

XXXXXX
749-299

Air 39/3/3 PR

10 July 1980

Mr K. W. Hastings
5 Carkeek Street
Seddon
MARLBOROUGH

Dear Keith,

Thank you for your letter seeking information on Unidentified Flying Objects for a school project. Please accept my sincere apologies for not replying sooner but I have been overseas.

I am afraid I am unable to help you as the RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into UFO sightings.

There are a number of organisations in New Zealand that undertake research into sightings and I would suggest that you contact the New Zealand UFO Studio Centre, 5 Ngahu Crescent, Elsdon, Wellington.

I thank you for your enquiry.

Yours faithfully,


(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer


FILE.
1/10

 Initials

K.W. Hastings
5 Carkeek St
Seddon
Marlborough

19/6/80

Officer in Charge
Ministry of Defence
Wellington.



Dear Sir,

I am writing to you because of an assignment we are doing at school. This assignment is to research about a peculiar occupation and each student is expected to do a brief talk on the subject they have chosen. I have selected a U.F.O investigator.

I thought you might be able to help me in my task, and would be very much obliged if you could send me some information.

If you are unable to assist me, could you possibly pass this request on to some other appropriate source.

Thank-you for your Co-operation

Yours Faithfully
Keith Hastings

xxxxx
749-299

69
Air 39/3/3 PR

11 June 1980

Mr Darren W Mitchell
434 McGill Road
Kensington Gardens
South Australia 5068
AUSTRALIA

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter concerning investigations by the RNZAF into recent sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects.

I am afraid you have been misled by AAP reports emphasising radar observations and Air Force investigations into recent UFO sightings.

The RNZAF does not conduct detailed investigations into sightings and I am not aware of any reported radar observations. Nor am I aware of any activity similar to the Kaikoura sightings of last year. Some sightings were reported in the Hutt Valley area near Wellington.

I thank you for your inquiry.


Yours faithfully,

(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



Ministry of Defence
AIR STAFF Headquarters
Wellington, N.Z.

AIR 39/3/3



434 Magill Road, Kensington Gdn
South Aust. Australia 5068

16/5/80

Dear Mr. C. D. Cole (RNZAF Public Relations Officer),

Would you please be able to supply me with full details of the RNZAF investigation of recent UFO reports. Australian Associated Press information led me to believe that there is renewed 'activity' similar to that reported a little over a year ago. The press material emphasised radar observations and Air force 'aerial' investigation. Any details pertaining to these recent events and possible explanations, whether official or personal would be of great help.

Thanking you in my investigations,

Darren W. Mitchell

6644

XXXXX
749-299

Air 39/3/3 PM

13 May 1980

Mr Harry Harris
346 Manchester Road
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire
ENGLAND

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your letter of 15 April enclosing a copy of your letter of 12 February to which you had not received a reply. Obviously the mails are slow for your letter of 12 February reached this Headquarters on 7 May.

The decision not to make the report a public document was made by the Air Staff at the time. However a summary of the report including the findings, was released and a copy of this was passed to you on 22 March 1979.

Yours faithfully,


(C. D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



HARRY HARRIS

Solicitor

Telephone: 061-962 8240 & 969 3943

346 Manchester Road

(Washway Road)

West Timperley

Altrincham

Cheshire

ENGLAND

OUR REF: HH/SLT

YOUR REF: AIR 39/3/3PR.

15th April 1980.

For the attention of C.D. Cole Esq.,


The Ministry of Defence,
The chief of Air Staff,
Defence Headquarters,
Private Bag,
Wellington
New Zealand.

Dear Sir,

re: 'U.F.O. matter.

I enclose a photocopy of my letter to you on 12th February last I would be grateful to receive your reply.

Yours sincerely,



FILE.

1 / 19



HH/SH

AIR 39/3/3PR

12th February 1980

For the attention of C.D. Cole Esq.,

The Ministry of Defence
The Chief of Air Staff
Defence Headquarters,
Private Bag,
Wellington
New Zealand

Dear Sir,

re: 'U.F.O.' matter

I thank you for your letter of the 30th ultimo and would be grateful if you would kindly indicate why a report on the matter is being kept as "confidential".

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,

NFK

Original letter not read.

FILE.

1 / 19

[Signature]
Initiate

HARRY HARRIS
Solicitor

Telephone: 061-862 6240 & 869 3843

OUR REF: HH/SH
YOUR REF: AIR 39/3/3PR

12th February 1980

For the attention of C.D. Cole Esq.,

The Ministry of Defence
The Chief of Air Staff
Defence Headquarters,
Private Bag,
Wellington
New Zealand


Dear Sir,

re: 'U.F.O.' matter

I thank you for your letter of the 30th ultimo and would be grateful if you would kindly indicate why ~~the~~ report on the matter is being kept as "confidential".


Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,



REFERRED TO
PRO
(AIR)


FILE.
18



Initials

AIR 39/3/3 542

346 Manchester Road
(Washway Road)
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire



MUTUAL UFO NETWORK INC.
103 Oldtowne Road, Sequin, Texas 78155, U.S.A.

UFO Phenomena
Study since 1945

Founder & Dom Pres.
CSI (NZ) 1952-59

RNZAF Aircraft
Engineering 1941-65



MUTUAL UFO NETWORK
Director for N.Z.
HAROLD H. FULTON
81 Sutherland Cres.
Palmerston North
New Zealand
Office 88-455
Business 68-079

280P
AIR 39/3/3

(4)

February 3rd 1980

Wing Commander G.T. Clarke,
Director of Public Relations,
RNZAF Headquarters,
Wellington.

Dear Sir,

A little time has lapsed since you on behalf of the RNZAF released a Press statement in early Jan 1979 which expressed findings on the December 1978 Kaikoura, Cape Campbell, Clarence River Mouth area, radar-visual and photographic record of the unusual phenomena reported in those coastal zones.

Would you still have a copy of that Press Release which you could spare, I would like to add it to my files. Illness and attendant difficulties have precluded my making this request much sooner.


As you and your fellow Officers will be very much aware, the subject of these particular ufo events are still much in the limelight with two books dealing directly with the saga due for publication shortly and a third not far away which will include the ~~whole~~ content of these Kaikoura events.

May I have the pleasure of receiving copy of the Press Release as requested and any further public released material on the events in question you or your information department may have offered subsequently.

Yours Faithfully,

Harold H. Fulton
Harold H Fulton
Dir MUFON(NZ)

BRING UP	
ON	
TO	
INITIALS	
FILE	
DATE	4/2/80
INITIALS	82es



Reason:
Please stick out
a copy of this release
(Feb or March 79 I think)
NFF.
cc/7 to [signature]

	Init.	Date
DPR	<i>[initials]</i>	8/2
DDPR		
PRO NAVY		
PRO ARMY		
PRO		
ASST ARMY		
ASST AIR		
CCLK		

57 41

Air 39/3/3 PR

SIGNATURE		by	
CENTRE DISPATCH		to	
DISPATCH	CLASS	Initials	
30 January 1980			
		to	

[Handwritten signature]

Mr Harry Harris
646 Manchester Road
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire
ENGLAND

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your letter of 17 January seeking a more detailed and scientific explanation of, I assume, the Kaikoura unidentified flying object sightings 12 months ago. Your letter of 9 October, 1979, was not recorded as being received.

I must refer you to my letter of 28 June in which I indicated that the RNZAF report on the sightings would not be released. That policy is unchanged.

However I understand that the New Zealand UFO Studies Centre carried out a study on the sightings and an approach to the Centre through Dr J F deBook of 5 Ngahue Crescent, Elsdon, New Zealand, may provide the information you seek.

Yours faithfully,

[Handwritten signature]


(C D COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

Solicitor

**346 Manchester Road
(Washway Road)
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire**

YOUR REF:

Ministry of Defence
Air Staff
Defence Headquarters
Wellington
New Zealand



re: U.F.O.'s

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,

Yours faithfully,

File

[illegible]

Air 39/3/3PR

3 September 1979


Mr Niall Fanning
12 Winter Road
Geeson Park
Dublin 6
IRELAND


Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter seeking information on
Unidentified Flying Objects.

It is regretted that I am unable to provide you
with this information. The RNZAF does not undertake detailed
investigations into reports on Unidentified Flying Objects.
If a report is passed on to us we do, however, consult a
number of other Government agencies to eliminate any obvious
explanations.

Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



Avon School,
Hamlet Street,
STRATFORD.

25 September

Dear Sir,

I would like to know a little about the sightings of U.F.O.s because I am reading about this topic. Here is a little about it. There are these two boys that find a gismo and then they ask there mother what it is, but she doesn't know so then they ask there father and he doesn't know either. But they don't know that it has fallen off a U.F.O. And that's a bit about the book.

So could you please send me some information on U.F.O.s.

Yours faithfully,

Kerry Lehmann

KJL:KAL

48
39
Air 39/3/3

3 October 1979

Kerry Lehmann
Avon School
Hamlet Street
STRATFORD


Dear Kerry,

Thank you very much for your letter concerning the book you are reading on Unidentified Flying Objects. I am also puzzled as to what the gismo might be.

The RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into reports on UFOs nor do we collect information on them. I therefore regret that I am unable to help you.

Your book certainly sounds interesting.

Yours faithfully,


(C D COLE)

Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

File

P. all M
12 Winton Road,
Seeson Park,
Dublin 6,
Ireland.

Dear Sir,

Please could you send
me some information on U, F O's.

#5.
31

Air 39/3/3 PR

12 July 1979


Miss Johanna Perry
6 Brett Avenue
Takapuna
AUCKLAND 9

Dear Johanna,

Thank you for your letter, passed to me by our Auckland office, seeking information and photographs of Unidentified Flying Objects. Unfortunately your letter has been delayed in the post and only reached me this morning.

I regret that I do not hold information or photographs concerning Unidentified Flying Objects, as the RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into reports of UFOs. If a report is passed to us we do however consult a number of Government agencies to eliminate any obvious explanations.

Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



Di 39/3/3

6 Brett Ave
Takapuna
Auckland 9

Public Relations officer
Ministry of defence

Dear Sir,

Could you please send me some information about U.F. Os and sightings of them. Also do you think you might have some pictures for a project. Could I please have it by the 19th of June.

Yours sincerely
Johanna Perry

43
36

Air 39/3/3 PR

28 June 1979

Mr Harry Harris
346 Manchester Road
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire
ENGLAND

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your letter of 1 June concerning the RNZAF's report on the investigation into the sightings off the Kaikoura coast.

At the time of the investigation it was decided that a summary of the findings would be made public in the form of a news release. A copy of that summary was passed to you earlier. This policy in respect of releasing the report is still adhered to and is unlikely to change.

Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

11/6

42
35

Air 39/3/3 PR

28 June 1979

Dr J.F. deBock
5 Ngahue Crescent
ELSDON

Dear Sir,


Thank you for your letter of 5 June enclosing Dr Bruce Maccabee's provisional report on the Kaikoura UFO sightings and seeking our participation in an advisory committee.

The RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into reports of Unidentified Flying Objects. The investigation carried out earlier on the Kaikoura sightings was an isolated instance.

The RNZAF does, however, consult a number of Government agencies on receipt of a report, to eliminate any obvious explanations.

I thank you for the opportunity to join the Centre's advisory committee which, for the reasons outlined, must be declined.

Yours faithfully,



(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

1/10 

MINUTE SHEET

Subject:

Department:

File No.:

Date:

18 Jan 79

34

To -

Daddy

1. The NUSC is a section of ECRRA (Earth Colonisation Research Arm)

2. Dr de Boek is the investigating officer of NUSC. He is Dutch and has been in NZ about 2 yrs. His doctorate is in 'stone and cement'. He works for a cement firm at Gracefield.

3. The President of ECRRA is 'Rocky' Wood, variety student who works for Amusement Freightways part time. The organisation is run from his home in Pataperaram.

4. A private magazine is on sale at Hayses Bookshop, Cuba Street.

ADH

DPR

"I believe the answer to de Boek is 'Thank you but no thank you' on the basis that RZAT does not normally undertake detailed investigations of UFOs."

Local
DDSSy
18/6

1	ORIGINATOR'S INITIALS	DBC/MC	
2	BEFORE SIGNATURE	to	
3	OR SIGNATURE	by	<i>[Signature]</i>
4	BEFORE DISPATCH	to	
5	DISPATCH	Date: 15 JUN 1979	Initials: <i>[Initials]</i>
6	AFTER DISPATCH	to	
7	BRING UP	on	to
8	FILE	Date: 19/6/79	Initials: <i>[Initials]</i>

14 June 1979

The Director of Civil Defence,
Bowen State Building,
WELLINGTON 1.

I am referring to you the enclosed letter from Mr Colin Amery, "also known as John Citizen," who writes to alert the Civil Defence authorities to the possibility that a large piece of Skylab will fall in Wellington, specifically in the suburb of Kelburn, any time between 13 and 30 June 1979.

As you will see from the attached press clipping Mr Amery claims to have a good track record for premonitions about public events. It seems that what he has to say is more a subject for the Civil Defence rather than the Defence organization. You may feel you will need further information. In the meantime I have replied saying that I was referring the matter to you.

D. B. G. McLEAN

(D.B.G. McLean)
Secretary of Defence

Encls

1	ORIGINATOR'S INITIALS		
2	BEFORE SIGNATURE	to	
	FOR SIGNATURE	by	
	BEFORE DISPATCH	to	
5	DISPATCH 1	Date: 15 JUN 1979	Initials: [Signature]
6	AFTER DISPATCH	to	
7	BRING UP	on	to
8	FILE	Date	Initials

39/3/3
32

14 June 1979

Mr C. Amery,
25 Hilton Road,
Highcliff,
DUNEDIN, 2RD.

Dear Mr Amery,

Thank you for your letter of 5 June, passing on information about the likely end of Skylab. I know that the Minister will be interested to receive the details you have provided and I shall refer your letter to him.

The organization which would coordinate services in the event of an emergency of the kind you describe would be the Ministry of Civil Defence and I have accordingly passed your letter to my colleague, the Director of Civil Defence.

Yours faithfully,

D. B. G. McLEAN


(D.B.G. McLean)
Secretary of Defence

Sag Def.

I notice that
this one is addressed
to you

D. H. H.

25 Hilton Road,
Highcliff,
Dunedin, 2RD.
5th June.



I wish to alert the civil defence authorities in Wellington to the possibility that a large piece of Skylab will fall on the capital and land in the suburb of Kelburn. The dates I have been given are between 13th-30th June, 1979.

I feel that the best way this information can be explained is by personal interview with either your good self or the Minister.

Yours sincerely

Colin Amery


P.S. I enclose clipping from Auckland Star
as a reminder what happened
before when our warnings were
ignored. 4 lives were needlessly
lost

CA.

[illegible]



• PAR AVION



JUNE IS
MOTOR RELIC
MONTH

Mr T. F. Gill
c/- Mr. McLean
Minister of Police / Defence
Parliament Building,
WELLINGTON.

SEAL-EASY

SENDER'S NAME AND ADDRESS


C. L. Army
25 Nelson Rd,
High cliff, Dunedin 2RD

Solicitor

OUR REF: HH/SL
YOUR REF: Air/39/3/3/PR

346 Manchester Road
(Washway Road)
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire

The Ministry of Defence of New Zealand,
Air Staff,
Defence Headquarters,
Wellington,
NEW ZEALAND.



re: "U.F.O.'S"

I thank you for your letter of the 18th April last and, with regard to the actual investigation report, would be grateful if you would kindly indicate why this report is not to be made public if it only relates to "atmospheric conditions"? - ~~The~~ same enquiry is repeated in relation to the names and addresses of the witnesses concerned.

Yours faithfully,

Yours faithfully

[illegible]

NFF

Letter from Dr. F.J. DeBock, 5 Ngahue Crew., Elsdon
dated 5 June 1979 and its attached report by Dr.
Bruce S. Maccabee 'What Really Happened in NZ'
transferred to file Air 17/4/897 on 29 JUN 81
on instructions from WG CDR WALLINGFORD, D OPS, AIR
STAFF.

*E. David I. Taylor
w/ovc ASAC*

33-29
34

Air 39/3/3 PR

22 May 1979

Commanding Officer
RNZAF Base Ohakea
Private Bag
PALMERSTON NORTH

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT SIGHTING

1. The report from Squadron Leader G.R. Allin on the sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects on 26 April whilst he was duty operations officer is noted with interest.
2. It is requested that he be advised that the RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations of UFO's but in some instances consults a number of Government agencies in an endeavour to eliminate any obvious explanations.
3. In this instance there is nothing of significance to indicate that the object might have been anything other than natural phenomena.

(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

Y JAR

22 May 1979

WANGANUI

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter to the Commanding Officer of RNZAF Base Ohakea and passed to the Air Staff, concerning the objects sighted over Palmerston North.

We were naturally interested in your sighting, however, the RNZAF does not undertake detailed investigations into sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects. The investigation carried out earlier this year in respect of the sightings on the north-east coast of the South Island was an isolated instance.

The RNZAF does, however, consult a number of Government agencies in an endeavour to eliminate any obvious explanations.

An earlier sighting over Palmerston North was found to have the characteristics of a radar balloon carrying a lamp attached to the reflector, and with your sighting, there is nothing to suggest that the objects were anything but natural phenomena.

Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



MINUTE SHEET

Department: *air 20/30*

Subject:

U.F.O's.

File No.

39/8/334

Date: *14.5.79*

To—

1. J. 16/5

1. *Please see Folio 24 30*

2. *any comments.*

Pro (P)

[Signature]

1. We should perhaps *8/1*
advise Osabeen to discourage *Pro (air)*
— rather than encourage these sorts
of reports.

2. Other than that I suggest the
'standard' answer to a civilian — ie *16/5*
interested, but nothing to suggest it might have
been anything other than natural phenomena *1/8*

Item 584

906083-140,000 pads 2/77 D

[illegible]

WANGANUI

The Commanding Officer
RNZAF Base Ohakea
Private Bag
PALMERSTON NORTH

Dear Sir,

I am writing, as requested, to inform you of my sightings of the UFO's over Palmerston North on Thursday. I am a member of the band who all saw the UFO's.

At approximately 10.30 pm on Thursday night we left the Lounge Bar of the Albert Motor Lodge to return to our accommodation. While crossing the carpark (cnr Main/Albert) we noticed an "orange ball" in the sky, which we later estimated at roughly 5,000 ft high, and about 45° up from us. The ball moved quite rapidly, considering its size, from a northerly to a SSE direction. At its closest point it gave the appearance that it was burning, as the edges seemed somewhat jagged and constantly changing like fire. It also pulsed from very pale to darker orange.

As the object got further to the south, it "appeared" to send off showers of red sparks at 10 - 15 second intervals. This may have been atmospheric conditions. Also on two or three occasions, as it headed away, it appeared to dip and move from side to side very rapidly, and without the normal turning room a plane would need. It would move and return to its continuous line in a matter of one second or less.

This object was in our view for 5 - 7 minutes at a guess, before becoming too distant to see with the naked eye. We then decided to return home, but as we turned around, we saw three similar objects, in a shallow V formation, in approximately the same position we saw the first. The three moved and looked the same as the first, but tended to move to a slightly more easterly direction. None of the objects made any sound that we could directly relate to them, there was the odd swishing sound, but it wasn't constant, so was probably traffic in the city.

Before the three were out of sight, myself, and a who was staying at the Albert went to the reception and rang Ohakea, who told us to ring Palmerston North Airport, but there was no reply. The Police were no help, so we rang Ohakea again, but didn't get very far. So tried and eventually got through to someone in charge.

If you should require any further information please contact our base address, Wanganui. We'll possibly be there after next week for about a fortnight, otherwise one of the guys in the flat will know where we are. Best ring late at night.

I look forward to being of assistance.

Yours faithfully,

COMMUNICATING OFFICER
R.N. A.F.
OHIA

File No. 3/17/AM
Action 3 AM
Information

WIANQANUI

CENTRAL PT

-7 MAY 1979

RINZAE OHAKA

WHO
ALL SAW
THE UFO'S

DEAR SIR,

I AM WRITING, AS REQUESTED, TO REPORT MY SIGHTINGS OF THE U.F.O.'S OVER PALMERSTON ON THURSDAY. I AM A MEMBER OF THE BAND

AT APPROXIMATELY 10:30 p.m. ON THURSDAY NIGHT WE LEFT THE LOUNGE BAR OF THE ALBERT MTR LODGE TO RETURN TO OUR ACCOMODATION.

WHILE CROSSING THE CARPARK (COR MAIN/ALBERT) WE NOTICED AN "ORANGE BALL" IN THE SKY, WHICH WE LATER ESTIMATED AT ROUGHLY 5,000 FT HIGH, AND ABOUT 45° UP FROM US. THE BALL MOVED QUITE RAPIDLY, CONSIDERING ITS SIZE FROM A NORTHERLY, TO A SSE DIRECTION. AT ITS CLOSEST POINT IT GAVE THE APPEARANCE THAT IT WAS BURNING, AS THE EDGES SEEMED SOMEWHAT JAGGERED AND CONSTIATLY CHANGING LIKE FIRE. IT ALSO PULSATED FROM VERY PALE TO DARKER ORANGE.

AS THE OBJECT GOT FURTHER TO THE SOUTH, IT "APPEARED" TO SEND OFF SHOWERS OF RED SPARKS AT 10-15 SECOND INTERVALS. THIS MAY HAVE BEEN ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS.

ALSO ON 2 OR 3 OCCASSIONS, AS IT HEADED AWAY, IT APPEARED TO DIP AND MOVE FROM SIDE TO SIDE VERY RAPIDLY, ~~AND~~ WITHOUT THE NORMAL TURNING ROOM A PLANE WOULD NEED. IT WOULD MOVE AND RETURN TO ITS CONTINUOUS LINE IN A MATTER OF ONE SECOND OR LESS.

THIS OBJECT WAS IN OUR VIEW FOR 5-7 MINUTES AT A GUESS, BEFORE BECOMING TO DISTANT TO SEE WITH THE NAKED EYE.

WE THEN DECIDED TO RETURN HOME, BUT AS WE TURNED AROUND, WE SAW THREE ^{SIMILAR} OBJECTS, IN A SHALLOW V FORMATION, IN APPROXIMATELY THE SAME POSITION WE SAW THE FIRST.

THE THREE MOVED AND LOOKED THE SAME AS THE FIRST, BUT TENDED TO MOVE TO A SLIGHTLY MORE EASTERLY DIRECTION, NONE OF THE OBJECTS MADE ANY SOUND THAT WE COULD DIRECTLY RELATE TO THEM, THERE WAS THE ODD SWISHING SOUND, BUT IT WASN'T CONSTANT, SO WAS PROBABLY TRAFFIC IN THE CITY.

BEFORE THE THREE WERE OUT OF SIGHT, MYSELF, J. WHO WAS STAGUAT, H. THE ALBERT WENT TO THE RECEPTION AND RONG CHAKKA, WHO TOLD US TO RING P.W. AIRPORT, BUT THERE WAS NO REPLY, THE POLICE WERE NO HELP, SO WE RONG CHAKKA AGAIN, BUT DIDN'T GET VERY FAR, SO TRIED, AND EVENTUALLY GOT THROUGH TO SOMEONE IN CHARGE.

IF YOU SHOULD REQUIRE ANY FURTHER INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT OUR BASE ADDRESS,

WANG ANNU.

WE'LL POSSIBLY BE THERE AFTER NEXT WEEK FOR ABOUT A FORTNIGHT, OTHERWISE ONE OF THE GUYS IN THE FLAT WILL KNOW WHERE WE ARE, BEST RING LATE AT NIGHT.

I LOOK FORWARD TO BEING OF ASSISTANCE,
YOURS FAITHFULLY

25

Air 39/3/3 PR

4 May 1979

Commanding Officer
RNZAF Base Ohakea
Private Bag
PALMERSTON NORTH

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT
FROM SQUADRON LEADER G.R. ALLIN

1. Squadron Leader Allin's reported sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object on the night of 21 April has been passed to me in accordance with standard action procedure.
2. From the description and behaviour of the light, it appeared to have the characteristics of a radar balloon carrying a lamp attached to the radar reflector. This is supported by the Superintendent of Physical Meteorology in the Ministry of Transport and although a balloon had not been launched from Ohakea at the time approximate to the sighting, it is not uncommon for these balloons to be found in the hands of others outside the Meteorological Service.
3. It should be explained to Squadron Leader Allin that the investigation carried out by the RNZAF earlier this year on the sightings off the Kaikoura coast, was an isolated case and that the RNZAF does not normally undertake detailed investigations of Unidentified Flying Object sightings.
4. However, as with this sighting, the RNZAF consults a number of Government agencies in an endeavour to eliminate any obvious explanations.

to
(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

AERIAL PHENOMENON

ALLIN
6 DROYLSDEN PLACE
PALMERSTON NORTH
NEW ZEALAND
telephone 71 383

1. On 26th April 1979, I was the Duty Operations Officer for RNZAF OHAKEA. Whilst at home, on call, I was contacted by the Ohakea telephone operator, who, at 2255 hours, informed me that she had been contacted by several residents of PALMERSTON NORTH, who were enquiring about the 'orange lights' that were traversing the city. One such caller, a _____ was put through to me. He stated that he, and several others, had observed coloured lights travelling from north to south above the city. I asked him to submit a written report to the Base Commanding Officer.

2. Shortly afterwards, whilst I was exercising my dog in Featherston Street, I observed a single orange light, approaching from the north north east, and at about fifteen degrees above the horizon. Being a single light source, it was difficult to estimate range, but I assessed it to be about eight kilometres away and tracking along the watershed of the northern TARARUA Range. The weather was calm, clear and cold but it is unlikely if any noise would have been heard at that range.

3. As my family and I had observed an aerial phenomenon on the evening of 20th April, I was anxious to find witnesses of this sighting. Across the road, two youths were operating a C.B. radio. I asked them to confirm the sighting, and for their names and addresses. These are included in the Appendix.

4. At 2305 hours, I arrived back at my house. In transit, the lighted disc had been observed against a moving background, but the impression was gained that its track curved ~~right~~ from south south west to south east. For two or three minutes, my family and I observed the now stationary disc, still at an elevation of about fifteen degrees and at a range of about fifteen kilometres. With the aid of 8x30 binoculars it was possible to make out the disc shape of yellow light, surrounded by thin corona of red light.

5. At 2307 hours, the size of the distant disc grew smaller, giving the impression of a rapid climb, directly away from these four observers on a heading of 130 degrees True, and with an angle of climb of 25 degrees, until it disappeared into the background of stars.

6. I then rang the WELLINGTON Air Traffic Control Centre, and spoke with the duty radar operator. He was informed of the sighting and informed me that he had neither known traffic nor unidentified blip in the area to the south east of Palmerston North. The Defence Duty Staff Officer was next informed of the sighting, with the coincidence of ^{the} last point of observation being identical to that sighting of the 20th April.

7. At 2335 hours, _____ rang me again, stating that the initial sighting had been of a single light source at 2230 hours, seen initially at an elevation of 45 degrees, to the north. The disc had passed over the head of the observer and his two companions, who were standing in the forecourt of the ALBERT Motor Lodge, Albert Street, Palmerston North. As it did so, at an estimated five to eight thousand feet, a pulsating swishing noise was heard. It was not thought that this could be mistaken for traffic noise. As the disc passed to the south, it was observed to emit sparks, which remained incandescent until they fell from sight behind the immediate horizon. The disc disappeared from sight in a like manner. The course of this first disc appeared to be erratic about south south east.

(2)

8. At 2235 hours, the three observers saw a shallow vic formation of three orange discs of light. These flew on a course of approximately SSE, overflying from the north.. These too, disappeared from sight behind the local horizon. Again, was asked to submit a report in writing to the Base Commander.

9. In the absence of the Base Orderly Officer, the Ohakea telephone operator was asked to request the Orderly Officer to inform the Base Commander of these sightings and to anticipate the receipt of report(s).

10. I have no objection to this report being made available to the media.


(G.R.Allin)

APPENDIX

WITNESSES OF AERIAL PHENOMENA 26th APRIL 1979

Initial Report received from:

TAWA, Wellington.

His associates:

ASHHURST.
Palmerston North.

Witnesses of sighting at 2300 hours:
Self, wife, son and mother.

ASHHURST. Telephone
Palmerston North. Tel.

Copies to :
Commanding Officer
OXO
SATCO

MINUTE SHEET

Department: Air StaffSubject: LIGHTS OVER BURLINGTONFile No. 39/3/3 26Date: 1 May 19

To—

1. They're coming thick and fast — as you can see.

2. In view of the second copy, passed through to Oraker, may I suggest that you also send a letter to CO Oraker (or just send one to him) asking that S/L Allin be de-briefed on the Air Force position. That might be more appropriate than getting to involved in a dialogue with S/L Allin who is obviously rather keen on these 'phenomena'. It would also serve to put CO off in the picture and enable him to take appropriate action at Base level.

Yc OPS (2754)

Item 504

8543/7/78—Y29



**Field Engineering
Corporation**

9250 Route 108
Columbia, Maryland 21045
Tel (301) 730-3700

A Subsidiary of
The Bendix Corporation

30 April 79

RNZAF Base Ohakea
Private Bag
PALMERSTON NORTH
Attn: Squadron Leader M.J.D. Fuller

Reference your 3/16/AIR of 24 April 1979, Subj: Satellite Transits
Visible in New Zealand

Dear Sir,

I regret that I cannot be of much assistance to you in this matter. There are currently over 4600 objects catalogued in orbit about the earth. The orbits of a great number of these satellites take them over New Zealand from time to time. When and how often is a function of the actual orbit of the satellite. We ourselves concentrate on only a small amount of this total, about 200, called Deep Space satellites. It is only on these that we maintain any information, and their nature is such that they normally wouldn't be visible to the naked eye anyway. We ourselves frequently get a satellite on the film that we cannot identify - not because it's a "UFO", but simply because we don't have any data on that particular satellite. All of the information necessary to attempt to correlate a sighting with a particular satellite is maintained by computers in the Space Computational Center, Colorado Springs, Colorado, USA, but I hope you can appreciate the scope of the task involved in accomplishing this, and in this case it is just not feasible. Again, I am sorry that I can't be of any more help to you.

Sincerely,

Richard C. Prucha
Richard C. Prucha
Station Manager
Mt John

PRO/F

1. This passed down by Ohakea.
2. Did you respond to previous letter with net balloon suggestion?
3. Have we pointed out to Base off that S/C A should be briefed on the RNZAF position regarding these things?
4. Suggest an acknowledgment to OH and appropriate reminder that there might be a turning point at some in view of our 'policy'. Please discuss further if you wish.

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F

FILE
1/18
INFILE

✓ Sc Japs (1774)

MINUTE SHEET

Subject:

Department:

File No.:

Date:

UFO SIGHTING REPORT

DASO

Av 39/3/3.

27 APR 79

To -

Dpps
Jbr
30/4
PRO (F)

1. Would you be kind enough to answer them for me.

2. For S/L Allin, whose two reports are more readable I suggest we give the 'Air Staff' view, i.e. we are interested in all such reports but except in the most unusual circumstances do not intend to launch aircrews to investigate.

3. I will talk with the WVA Airfield Supt about our views.

4. Please discuss further if you wish.

Jbr
30/4.

1. At 2320 hours last night I received a telephone report from S/L G. Allin, Okeana Operations Flight Commander and the Duty Operations Officer, concerning a UFO sighted over Palmerston North.

2. The following is the contents of his verbal report which he intends to follow up with a written report:

" At 262245 H the Okeana Teleop contacted the Duty Officer regarding UFO's over Palmerston North. At 262300 H the Duty Officer sighted the UFO similar in appearance, course and pattern to the sighting on 21 April 79. to which there were also 3 family witnesses plus 2 nearby civilians.

The UFO was on a SSW course, height approx 4-5000 ft, about 8 miles away (approx) over the mountain range along the watershed. UFO motion ceased at 2302 deviation +20° at approx position 130° T Palmerston North Township. at a distance approx 10 miles. It then receded gradually on 130° T to approx 20 miles range, remained steady until 2305 then gradually receded into the star background.

Subject:

2.

Department:

File No.:

Date:

To -

" At 2306 Allin contacted the Radar Controller at WNI ACC who reported no radar or traffic movement in the area at that time.

A civilian observer will be forwarding a separate written report to CO, RIZAF Shabea. This observer is a _____ who was staying at the Albert Motor Lodge, Albert St, Palmerston North, last night. His residential address is: _____

WGNM.

(No telephone) "

End of Report.

3. A few minutes after Allin had finished his report I received a further call - this time from Mr Moyes, Duty Supervisor, WNI ACC. He rang to confirm that he had spoken with Allin. He advised that he had been radar operator prior to and during Allin's observations and that he had not observed any unusual sightings at that time or any other time during the evening. (other than normal traffic) He ~~was~~ did observe, though, that WNI

*
NRP
Have spoken
with DPAZE
cannot. No
further
action needed
on this
report.

✓
JBC
1/5

MINUTE SHEET


Subject:

Department:

File No.:

Date:

Radaw was not renown for its reliability and that it would not be capable of picking up an object at 4000' over Palmerston North. The report in the ACC log confirms Allins verbal report. I advised Moyes that a written report was not required. Note: Moyes in conversation made the comment that he considered UFO phenomena do exist and that a scientific survey should be set up!


 (P. J. GREEN)
 SRM CDR
 DASS

27 April 1979

Officer Commanding
No 5 Squadron
RNZAF Base Auckland
Private Bag 2
AUCKLAND

For Information:

Headquarters Operations Group

UFO INVESTIGATION

1. The information provided by Flying Officer B.J. Godwin concerning the radar contact made during a patrol on 9 April has been retained by Air Staff for future reference.
2. Flying Officer Godwin is to be complimented on the effort he put into this report.

(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

ALL.
1/10

[Handwritten signature]

D. Op.

24 20

to me : comment ple

6 Dec 42

26/4/79. 16/10/79

To: ~

Pro Air.

FILE NO: 39.3.3.

SUBJECT: Reports on UFO's

1. The above file was marked out to Pro Air. on 20.4.79.

2. Please advise :

(a) Whether the file is required to action the attached correspondence. Yes/No.

(b) If the file is not required immediately, whether it will be required at a later date for follow-up action. Yes(Date) / No.

for Registrar ([Signature])

MINUTE SHEET

Subject:

RADAR DUCTING.

Department: *ai staff*

File No. *39/3/3 19*

Date: *23.4.79*

To—

1. Please see *Julio* to below.

D. Sp.
for
23/4

2. all this 'g'd talk' is too much for me to handle!

PRO (a) cup!

3. Any comments.

1. Thanks. It's certainly worth retaining for future reference - should we get any more of the see/san type 'sightings'. I did include a comment on this theory in my original report.

St John

S/c
Pro (ai)

2. Would you be kind enough to reply to Fgoff Goodwin, thanking him for his effort and advising him we will retain for future reference. I do not think there is any power in making a 'press release'.

for
23/4

Item 504

90608B-140,000 pads/2/77 D

MINUTE SHEET

Subject: UFO SIGHTING REPORT

Department: OXO OHAKA


AIR 39/3/3
File No. 13

23 18

Date: 23 APR 79

To—

D OPS ✓



ENCLOSURE

Sir,
Herewith the report 'subj'd' of our conversation today. The Carter Observatory informed that at this time of year UFO reports are generated by sightings of satellites. The altitude of the satellite puts it in sunlight while the ground observer is in the dark and then the satellite may disappear in the Earth's shadow. I will write to the US satellite tracking station at Tekepo and ask for a schedule of satellite passages; if it looks useful I will send you a copy.

Sincerely
D. King

Item 504

906000-140,000 gads/2/77 D

AERIAL PHENOMENON

1. At approximately 1850 hours, local, on Saturday, 21st April 1979, my thirteen year old son walked from the back door of our house, a distance of some ten metres to the side door of our workshop garage, wherein I was working, in order to call me for our evening meal. Before reaching the door, he called me, in an urgent voice, to "come and have a look at this light in the sky." Aware of his youthful penchant about UFOs, I was somewhat sceptical, but nevertheless went to the door.

2. It was completely dark, civil evening twilight being at about 1800 hours, and the immediate area was unlit. I looked in the direction that he indicated and, against the cloudy night sky, saw a steady white light, moving slowly from left to right. Initially, because a Cessna 206 had been seen earlier, engaged in skydiving manoeuvres from Milson Airport, I assumed this to be the same aircraft embarking on a night drop, or maybe a James Aviation Cessna 402, in a right hand climbing turn after taking off from Runway 07 and with landing light illuminated.

3. However, this light had none of the characteristics of any aircraft light of which I am aware. Both my son and I moved to our back door and called for my wife and my mother to observe this phenomenon. I then requested that my son fetch my binoculars. These have a magnification of eight and, as they had been used earlier in the day to observe the skydivers, were correctly adjusted to my vision. Thus, I was immediately able to study the light.

4. Initially, with the naked eye, it appeared as a small ball of fire, similar in appearance to the tailpipe view of a single engined jet aircraft with reheat engaged. This is a sight with which I am most conversant, having flown such aircraft for seventeen years. As it was a single light, I could only assume its course by the steady angle of its approach. This I have ascertained, by use of NZMS 1, 17, and 242A Lands and Survey maps, aerial photographs of my house and a service Marching Compass, to be 235° True or 215° Magnetic. The visual appearance of this single light gave the impression of flight on steady heading, at a height of about 2000 feet and, tracking from the direction AOKAUTERE, when first sighted, towards HOKOWHITU; more precisely 40°20'S 175°42'E to 40°22'S/175°39'E. This approximates overflying the general axis of the MANAWATU River, upstream of PALMERSTON NORTH, for a distance of 6.5 kilometres. Our house is situated at 40°20'51"S/175°36'47"E, or 1100 metres north of the centre of PALMERSTON NORTH.

5. Although the light was seen initially on a True Bearing of 080° from our position, its progress slowed as it moved to a bearing of 130° True. During this period, its angle of elevation increased from 25° steadily to 35° above the horizon.

6. Just prior to its motion ceasing, the light was studied with the aid of the binoculars. The magnified image appeared to be an unflickering, naked flame, with KELVIN characteristics similar to the flame of a kerosene Hurricane lamp. The light source was quite circular and was large enough to present itself as a disc and not as a pin-point of light. In no way did it resemble a reflected image as no mirage 'trembling' was evident. To either side and above the disc was a small area of red light. The definite impression gained was of an oncoming, aurally mounted 6 volt old car headlight, shining alongside a red painted surface.

REFERRED TO

D.O.P.S.

The closest comparison that I can draw, to a personally observed source is of an RAF Support Command, scarlet painted Whirlwind helicopter, with only its belly spot light illuminated and shining forward, partly illuminating the under fuselage. Another valid comparison would be of a waxen night light flame, at the bottom of a sherry glass, the far side of which was painted red and illuminated by the flame.

7. At this time, I left my mother, wife and son on the back steps, and decided to investigate further. I rang RNZAF Base OHAKEA and asked for Air Traffic Control, in order to check for aircraft movements and radar echoes. I noted the time as 1854 hours. With no answer, I then rang Palmerston North tower. No answer, so I rang Ohakea again and identified myself as the Operations Flight Commander, asking to be connected to the Radar Supervisor at the WELLINGTON Air Traffic Control Centre as quickly as possible. I then returned to the back door.

8. My family informed me that, in my absence, the light had remained stationary for a time, then had moved back on its original track slightly before growing dimmer and changing its position in elevation. I noted the time as 1900 hours.

9. The light, indeed much dimmer, was still on a bearing of 130° True but now about 30° above the horizon and growing noticeably less bright and smaller as it apparently climbed away on a heading of about 130 True or 110 Magnetic towards PAHIATUA. As it, apparently, climbed, the light was seen to vary or pulse in intensity. This, I assumed, coincided with transit of the thin layer of Altostratus cloud at about 10,000 feet. At this time, the pulsing light was surrounded closely by a slight halo effect, such as a light seen through thin mist. Again, there was a marked similarity to an aircraft climbing directly away from the observer whilst in reheat. Eventually, after another minute or so, the light became so faint that it was lost in the reflected glow from the city. At that time, an occasional sight of stars was made through the thin cloud. At no time was the sound of an aircraft heard, only the muted noise of nearby road traffic, in itself infrequent.

10. At 1905 hours the telephone rang and, after a short delay, I was connected to the Radar Supervisor at Wellington and to whom I gave brief details of our sighting. He stated that no aircraft was airborne in our area, that Ohakea had closed watch earlier and that he would enquire of Palmerston North tower.

11. I next informed the Ohakea Orderly Officer of our sighting and checked weather conditions with the duty meteorologist. These were estimated to be broken Altostratus cloud at approximately 10,000 feet with half cover of Cirrus at 28,000 feet; calm conditions and unlimited visibility.

12. My wife and my son studied the phenomenon through my binoculars.

13. With twenty seven years experience in aviation, I consider myself to be an unbiased, expert witness. Using my personal experience as the only criterion for this opinion, I consider that the phenomenon observed was a sphere of steady flame, of comparatively low temperature, below 1000° Celsius, less than 2 metres in diameter and backed closely on one side by a crimson coloured material. There was no possibility of the

(3)

light emanating through a lens or from a jet pipe, as the intensity of the light remained evenly spread and the circular appearance did not become elliptical as the source changed its relative position and direction.

14. I have no objection to this report being made available to the media.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'G.R. Allin', with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

(G.R.ALLIN)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF

Copy to: OXO
ATC Supervisor

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

TRANSFER OF DOCUMENTS

RECEIVED
24 APR 1970
CENT. REGISTRY
DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE
52

AIR 39/3/3,7

521947

Date: 23 Apr 79

TO: Ar Staff ✓
Defence Headquarters
Wellington

FROM: PSC/CO
DINZAF Base
Onaka

*Details of Vouchers/Documents		Documents Transferred	Reason for Transfer
*Number	*Name		
	Report on Aerial Phenomenon		For your info and action
REFERRED TO PRO A-1 20/11/54			

*Delete as applicable.

ENCLOSURE

Documents returned with receipt (date)

AERIAL PHENOMENON

1. At approximately 1850 hours, local, on Saturday, 21st April 1979, my thirteen year old son walked from the back door of our house; a distance of some ten metres to the side door of our workshop garage, wherein I was working, in order to call me for our evening meal. Before reaching the door, he called me, in an urgent voice, to "come and have a look at this light in the sky." Aware of his youthful penchant about UFOs, I was somewhat sceptical, but nevertheless went to the door.
2. It was completely dark, civil evening twilight being at about 1800 hours, and the immediate area was unlit. I looked in the direction that he indicated and, against the cloudy night sky, saw a steady white light, moving slowly from left to right. Initially, because a Cessna 206 had been seen earlier, engaged in skydiving manoeuvres from Wilson Airport, I assumed this to be the same aircraft embarking on a night drop, or maybe a James Aviation Cessna 402, in a right hand climbing turn after taking off from Runway 07 and with landing light illuminated.
3. However, this light had none of the characteristics of any aircraft light of which I am aware. Both my son and I moved to our back door and called for my wife and my mother to observe this phenomenon. I then requested that my son fetch my binoculars. These ~~have~~ were a magnification of eight and, as they had been used earlier in the day to observe the skydivers, were correctly adjusted to my vision. Thus, I was immediately able to study the light.
4. Initially, with the naked eye, it appeared as a small ball of fire, similar in appearance to the tailpipe view of a single engined jet aircraft with reheat engaged. This is a sight with which I am most conversant, having flown such aircraft for seventeen years. As it was a single light, I could only assume its course by the steady angle of its approach. This I have ascertained, by use of NZMS 1, 17, and 242A Lands and Survey maps, aerial photographs of my house and a service Marching Compass, to be 235° True or 215° Magnetic. The visual appearance of this single light gave the impression of flight on steady heading, at a height of about 2000 feet and, tracking from the direction AOKAUTERE, when first sighted, towards HOKOWHITU; more precisely 40°20'S 175°42'E to 40°22'S/175°39'E. This approximates overflying the general axis of the MANAWATU River, upstream of PALMERSTON NORTH, for a distance of 6.5 kilometres. Our house is situated at 40°20'51"S/175°36'47"E, or 1100 metres north of the centre of PALMERSTON NORTH.
5. Although the light was seen initially on a True Bearing of 080° from our position, its progress slowed as it moved to a bearing of 130° True. During this period, its angle of elevation increased from 25° steadily to 35° above the horizon.
6. Just prior to its motion ceasing, the light was studied with the aid of the binoculars. The magnified ~~image~~ appeared to be an unflickering, naked flame, with KELVIN characteristics similar to the flame of a kerosene Hurricane lamp. The light source was quite circular and was large enough to present itself as a disc and not as a pin-point of light. In no way did it resemble a reflected image as no mirage 'trembling' was evident. To either side and above the disc was a small area of red light. The definite impression gained was of an oncoming, aurally mounted 6 volt old car headlight, shining alongside a red painted surface.

The closest comparison that I can draw, to a personally observed source is of an RAF Support Command, scarlet painted Whirlwind helicopter, with only its belly spot light illuminated and shining forward, partly illuminating the under fuselage. Another valid comparison would be of a waxen night light flame, at the bottom of a sherry glass, the far side of which was painted red and illuminated by the flame.

7. At this time, I left my mother, wife and son on the back steps, and decided to investigate further. I rang RNZAF Base OHAKEA and asked for Air Traffic Control, in order to check for aircraft movements and radar echoes. I noted the time as 1854 hours. With no answer, I then rang Palmerston North tower. No answer, so I rang Ohakea again and identified myself as the Operations Flight Commander, asking to be connected to the Radar Supervisor at the WELLINGTON Air Traffic Control Centre as quickly as possible. I then returned to the back door.

8. My family informed me that, in my absence, the light had remained stationary for a time, then had moved back on its original track slightly before growing dimmer and changing its position in elevation. I noted the time as 1900 hours.

9. The light, indeed much dimmer, was still on a bearing of 130° True but now about 30° above the horizon and growing noticeably less bright and smaller as it apparently climbed away on a heading of about 130 True or 110 Magnetic towards PAHIATUA. As it, apparently, climbed, the light was seen to vary or pulse in intensity. This, I assumed, coincided with transit of the thin layer of Altostratus cloud at about 10,000 feet. At this time, the pulsing light was surrounded closely by a slight halo effect, such as a light seen through thin mist. Again, there was a marked similarity to an aircraft climbing directly away from the observer whilst in reheat. Eventually, after another minute or so, the light became so faint that it was lost in the reflected glow from the city. At that time, an occasional sight of stars was made through the thin cloud. At no time was the sound of an aircraft heard, only the muted noise of nearby road traffic, in itself infrequent.

10. At 1905 hours the telephone rang and, after a short delay, I was connected to the Radar Supervisor at Wellington and to whom I gave brief details of our sighting. He stated that no aircraft was airborne in our area, that Ohakea had closed watch earlier and that he would enquire of Palmerston North tower.

11. I next informed the Ohakea Orderly Officer of our sighting and checked weather conditions with the duty meteorologist. These were estimated to be broken Altostratus cloud at approximately 10,000 feet with half cover of Cirrus at 28,000 feet; calm conditions and unlimited visibility.

12. My wife and my son studied the phenomenon through my binoculars.

13. With twenty seven years experience in aviation, I consider myself to be an unbiased, expert witness. Using my personal experience as the only criterion for this opinion, I consider that the phenomenon observed was a sphere of steady flame, of comparatively low temperature, below 1000° Celsius, less than 2 metres in diameter and backed closely on one side by a crimson coloured material. There was no possibility of the

(3)

light emanating through a lens or from a jet pipe, as the intensity of the light remained evenly spread and the circular appearance did not become elliptical as the source changed its relative position and direction.

14. I have no objection to this report being made available to the media.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'G.R. Allin', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

(G.R.ALLIN)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF

Copy to: OXO
A7C Supervisor

18 April 1979

Mr Harry Harris
346 Manchester Road
West Timperley
Altonham
Cheshire
BRITAIN

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 2 April in which you posed a number of questions relating to the sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects and sought a copy of the investigation report.

The news reports concerning a squadron of aircraft on special alert was in fact an exaggeration by the media. One Skyhawk was placed on standby to investigate further sightings and an Orion aircraft in fact carried out a patrol of the area in which the sightings were being made.

Yes, the pilot of the aircraft carrying the television crew disagreed. However, the pilot also did not believe that the film taken from the aircraft was a good representation of what they actually saw.

Unusual atmospheric conditions on the east coast of the South Island have been well documented since 1948, with many mirage-type effects being witnessed over the years. The specific conditions which prevailed recently were a very clear atmosphere for this time of the year; marked inversion layers and a strong north-west wind aloft causing a föhn effect.

It was suggested that the most likely objects photographed were possibly refracted images of Venus or a squid fleet. The suggestion that the lights from trains and cars could have been responsible for some of the inshore sightings could have been true.

The radar was, at the time, giving so many spurious returns that there was at best only a slight chance of whether or not the lights sighted and the various radar returns were coincident. Bearing in mind that the radar has no height finding facility and that visual observations are not very accurate in term of range, height or bearing, there was very little, if any, correlation between the various sightings.

FILE.

Initials

2.

The RNZAF investigation report has not been made public and it is unlikely that it will be released. The news release is a summary of the findings of the report which was not as categoric as reported by the media. Its findings were confined to the most likely causes.

Because of the report not being released the RNZAF is not in a position to release the names and addressees of the witnesses concerned.

Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations

O.H.M.S.

17-6-79

~~The Chief of Air Staff,
Royal New Zealand Air Force,
Defence Headquarters (Air),
Private Bag,
WELLINGTON.~~

Attention.

~~Sgt Air Eng~~

FM WELLINGTON

1) REFRACTION & RUMORINGS

NNNNZCZC AMA336 100021 BF
GG N7WPYM
100007 NZKLYM
YOUR 092332 AND 2345Z

2) TANGAROA $39.85 / 179.8 E$

EXAMINATION OF CLOUD PICTURES FOR ABOUT 090300Z
~~SHOW~~ LITTLE SIGNIFICANT CLOUD IN SIGNIFICANT CLOUD IN AREA
YOU MENTION. THERE WAS A BELT OF HIGH TOP CLOUD RUNNING
NORTHEAST/SOUTHWEST THROUGH 4CSOUTH 18C WHICH IS MUCH CLOSER
TO COAST THAN 200MILES AND EVEN THIS CONSIDERING VISIBLE
AND INFRA READ TOGETHER DOES NOT LOOK THE SORT OF THING
THAT WOULD PRODUCE STRONG ECHOES.

~~NNNN~~ AMA346 090026 BF

JJ NZZZAL

091203M NZWNY

TANGAROA/ZMKL

99397 31798 08213 33218 99020 18620 31700 01209 00119 30402 05403

REPEAT TANGAROA/ZMKL

1130 411 33505 98011 ///// 33602

1130 312 71405 97022 ///// 721//

1200 574 60406 99032 ///// 00808 // / 2/000

1130 318 73406 96020 ///// 757// /////

NNNNZCZC AMA347 090027 CD

JJ NZZZEL

090021 N TUYF

METREP N E E E

METREP MC 1220 330/15-20 VIS 60KM 1/8 SC 6000 2/8 AS 11000

3/8 CS ABV T17 QNH 1014

ZCZC AMA191 082012 BD
FF NZZZKD
081957 NZKLYM
BRIEFING STATEMENT VALID TO 1500HR

NORTH ISLAND

DECR WESTERLY FLOW WITH ISOL TCU CB RASH GRADU CONFINED TO RANGES
VIS OCNL BELOW 4000M AND BASE 800-1200 AT TIMES IN SH BUT SLOW
IMPROVEMENT ISOL TCU CB TOPS TO 25000 SUBSIDING
IN GISBORNE HAWKES BAY AND WAIRARAPA CLOUD OFTEN SCT AND SH
INFREQUENT AWAY FROM RANGES
FZ 10-11000

SOUTH ISLAND

IN CANTERBURY AREAS FOG TIL ABOUT 0900HR EXTENSIVE LOW ST AND FOG
OVER SEAS AND ABOUT COASTS SOUTH OF OU-MF WITH BASE 300-600 AND
AREAS MORNING DZ VIS DOWN TO 2000M SCT TCU CB ABOUT AND WEST
ALPS WITH TOPS TO 25000 SUBSIDING AND RASH VIS 3000M AT TIMES BEC
LESS FRQ
FZ 8-9000

NNNNZCZC AMA448 090406 AD

GG NZZZRA

090405 NZAKYF

1600HR AEROS

KT 011 72911 82152 81820 83825 86362 02116 1017.7=
WR 058 82010 75152 83816 84650 86360 1017.4=
WP 112 83207 65616 82710 84818 88460 01817 1017.8=
AK 115 83006 60616 82712 83640 88460 01817 1018.1 (QFF)=
AA 119 83204 60606 81705 83810 88460 01817 1018.1=
AR 136 83004 58616 82707 84710 88625 1018.2=
HN 172 MIS=
TG 185 MIS=

RO 246 MIS=

AP 243 70000 80152 82818 87462 01715 1016.3=

NNNNZCZC AMA452 090411

GG NZZZRA

090410 NZAKYF

1600 HR SOUTHERN AEROS

CH 780 70612 80052 83709 84470 87278 01512 1012.8=
WG 783 70711 80052 83609 84470 87272 1012.7=
MF 720 73509 70606 81710 83720 87850 1013.7=
OU 795 70506 70022 87706 01411 1012.7=
KI 677 70000 86032 81845 83367 86075 01713 1013.7=
WS 514 70805 84152 84825 83650 1016.2=
QN 830 52508 86012 85850 0171 E E E 85850 01707 1011.2 ACCI=
HK 614 50108 81152 81710 83820 85640 01813 1015.3=
NV 844 71611 80802 81820 87645 01613 1010.7=
TU 772 71203 80022 84711 87615 1012.3=
DN 890 70000 70602 81705 87540 01514 1011.9=

NNNNZCZC AMA460 090440 BB

GG NZZZRF

090437 NZWNYF

LATE ADDN CENTRALS

PM 405 72612 82052 82825 85835 85365 018// 1015.5=

090405 NZWNYF

1600HRS CENTRAL AEROS

NP 308 72904 81162 86820 01816 1017.3=
WN 436 50115 86012 84813 019// 1014.4=
GS 291 73111 83152 81820 87075 02313 1015.7=
OH 401 73011 82052 81822 84635 86070 01914 1016.0=
PP 417 50307 84012 82816 84078 01916 1015.8=
NS 545 10510 87010 81840 01812 1014.8=
KL 434 63115 84012 82812 83364 01813 QFF 1014.2 G34=
WJ 325 72812 82052 83830 86359 019// 1016.9=
BR 585 33325 82011 82915 82357 83077 24 //=
BM 577 43112 88010 82845 022// 1013.0=

NNNNZCZC AMA454 090419 AB

GG NZZZRA

090415 NZAKYF

1600HR AEROS

TG 185 83006 70802 83810 87460 01916 1017.7=
HN 172 83403 70505 87712 1017.6=
RO 246 82806 81602 81820 83635 87460 01815 1017.4=

NNNNZCZC AMA422 090312 CB

GG NZZZRK

090302 NZCHYF

1500HR SOUTHERN AEROS

CH 780 70611 82052 83710 87278 01612 1012.8=

TU 772 71106 80022 84711 87615 01614 1012.6=

NV 844 71702 86152 81820 87650 0171- 1010.7=

WS 514 70707 84152 82825 85650 1016.2=

MF 720 83612 70606 81710 83720 87850 1013.9=

01714 1015.6=

GG NZZZRA

090305 NZAKYF

1500HR AEROS

KT 011 72912 82152 83830 85360 87362 02217 1017.7=

WR 058 83107 80252 83818 87650 1017.3=

WP 112 83007 85606 81710 82818 88460 01918 1017.9=

AK 115 82907 60616 82712 83640 88460 01918 1018.3 (QFF)=

AR 136 83404 58808 82707 88630 1018.4=

HN 172 83204 70605 82710 84625 87460 01917 1017.9 LT RA COMM 1450

VIS RED TO S AND W=

TG 185 83308 70152 85710 85620 88458 01917 1017.7=

WK 190 83210 58808 82708 86625 01817 1017.5 VIS 40KM TO W=

RO 246 82907 82152 81820 84645 87410 01915 1017.3=

AA 119 83305 60616 81705 84810 88460 01918 1018.2=

NNNNZCZC AMB224 090315 BE

GG NZZZRF

090305 NZWNYF

1500HR CENTRAL AEROS

NS 545 30113 87011 81840 01912 1015.0=

PM 405 62812 82052 83825 84835 85365 020// 1015.5=

OH 401 53012 81052 81822 85070 01914 1016.2=

PP 417 73509 84052 83816 86078 01915 1015.8=

BM 577 69905 89022 81850 84270 02214 1013.1=

WU 325 52810 82051 82828 85462 01915 1016.0=

NR 372 71410 86022 84835 87462 02216 1014.7=

NP 308 63505 81162 81825 86075 02016 1017.1=

GS 291 73309 86152 81820 87075 02412 1015.9 VIS 45KM TO NW=

WN 436 70114 86022 81813 87075 019// 1014.3=

KL 434 73213 84022 82812 87075 01813 QFF 1014.3=

GG NZZZRK

090310 NZCHYF

LATE AERO 1500 0U795 70610 70022 87706 01412 1014.0=

NNNNZCZC AMA426 090319

CH


NNNNZCZC AMA427 090320 AF


GG NZZZRA


090317 NZAKYF


1500HR AEROS CONTD


AP 243 80000 80152 82818 88464 01715 1016.5=











RESTRICTED

HRK 57/5/5
RNZAF 345



ROYAL NEW ZEALAND AIR FORCE

TELEPHONE: WEI 3000 Ext 886

No 5 Squadron
RNZAF Base Auckland
Private Bag 2
AUCKLAND

IN REPLY QUOTE


12th April 1979

The Chief of Air Staff
Royal New Zealand Air Force

Attention: Public Relations Officer

For Information:

Operations Group



UFO'S ?

1. At 0330 on 9th April 1979 we were on the north bound leg of a patrol at 9,000 feet when a radar contact was obtained in position 3710S 17130W. This contact, bearing 116/20nm from the aircraft appeared to be keeping formation with the aircraft.
2. We turned on to investigate, and, whilst homing on, the target was sectored upon and the tilt control varied. The target was most distinct at -1.3° of tilt, indicating a target below us, and had the appearance of a light-medium cloud, 3nm long, along track (116°) about 1nm wide. Throughout the homing to "On Top" there was no target movement. After the "On Top" the contact was lost (i.e. aircraft to the east of target) but was regained when the aircraft was again to the west of the target. Despite two homings to "On Top" nothing that could explain the target was seen either above or below us.
3. As the AEO and Radar Operator I believe this contact to have been a cloud contact at a true distance of 404nm on a bearing of 116° true from its apparent position.
4. The P3B radar has a PRF of 400 PPS giving a PRT of 2500 micro-seconds, this limits the max. theoretical range of the radar, (due to time available to "listen" for an echo) to 202nm. Normally at that range the power output would be insufficient to allow detection of anything but the most perfect reflector. However, with atmospheric ducting this range could be increased significantly, allowing the echo to come back not in the listening time for its own pulse, but in time for the next, or even one after that. This would mean that targets would be displayed at a range of X-202 or 404 or 606 etc where X = the actual range of the target from the radar.

5. If this phenomena were to happen in an aircraft travelling at say 300 kts and the real target were say 424nm away, then the target would appear to be at a distance of only 20nm. Furthermore the target would appear to keep formation with the aircraft because the true relative

REFERRED TO	
PRO	PIR


RESTRICTED

/bearing

bearing would only alter very slowly. The radar would only be able to pick up the contact outside of 404nm because as the range closed to say 403nm the echo would arrive back in time, not for zero range on the third pulse, but in time to appear as 202nm on the second pulse's "listening time". Although the contact was not observed coming in at extreme range after the "On Top" due to display limitations, I believe the above to be an explanation of the contact we gained.


6. After extensive enquiries about cloud formations around New Zealand at that time, the Wellington Met Office informed me that the only significant cloud at that time was a belt of high top cloud running north east/south west through 40S 180E/W which coincides with the position of our contact in position 4045S 17915W. This position is calculated by taking 404nm from the apparent targets initial position on the initial bearing of 116°T, and 404nm from the last seen position of the apparent target on the final bearing of 120°T.

7. Had this contact been picked up by a less flexible and well equipped platform the result would almost certainly have been another UFO sighting report. Perhaps this incident, as a proveable example of radar ducting is of public relations value.



(B.J. GODWIN)
Flying Officer
for Officer Commanding

- Enclosures: 1. Chart
2. Weather Reports



78 12
Air 30/3/3 PR

12 April 1979

Master Timothy Bourne
Takeley County Primary School
Takeley Bishop's Stortford
Herts
BRITAIN

Dear Timothy,

Thank you for your letter of 16 January asking for information on Unidentified Flying Objects.

There is no official RNZAF policy on Unidentified Flying Objects and no general study of UFO sightings is made by the RNZAF or a specific group within the RNZAF.

I regret that I cannot provide the information you require.

Yours faithfully,



(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer



Solicitor

(Washway Road)

Altrincham

Cheshire

AIRMAIL

2nd April 1979

Ministry of Defence,
Chief of Air Staff,
Defence Headquarters,
Private Bag,
Wellington,
New Zealand.

Dear Sirs,

Re: "U.F.O. Sightings"

I thank you for your letter of the 22nd ultimo with its enclosure and trust that you would not consider me presumptuous if I ventured to raise the following questions arising out of my perusal of the report which you sent to me, namely:-

1. According to reports in the British Press, a Squadron of planes was placed on special "alert standby" to chase any further "mystery" objects? Are these Press reports correct?
2. Would it be possible to have the names and addresses of the witnesses concerned?
3. According to reports in the British press, the pilot of the plane which took the television pictures disagreed with your official explanation - have you any comment to make?
4. I refer to the fourth paragraph of your "news release" document - is there any record of the rather "unusual" atmospheric conditions occurring before at this time of the year with similar results? If not perhaps you could kindly specify exactly what these atmospheric conditions were that were so peculiar to this year and which, apparently, have not occurred before.
5. Are you suggesting that that which was photographed by the television cameras was the planet Venus or the light from the Japanese Squid Ships or lights from trains or vehicles travelling in the vicinity of the Clarence River Mouth?
6. I refer to the fifth paragraph on page 2 of your "news release" and am somewhat surprised when you state that the large "light" which was filmed by the television team was not seen on radar screen since it is my recollection from a filmed interview on British Television of one of your Radar operators to the effect that this gentleman stated that he had never seen so many "unidentified" objects on his screen before - it is also my recollection that this gentleman stated that he had been a Radar operator for some 20 - 30 years (I forget which) - have you any comment?

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

Q. S. Is it possible to have an actual copy of the airforce investigation report?

Essex County Council

Takeley County Primary School

Takeley Bishop's Stortford Herts

Headmaster ~~W. S. Thompson~~

telephone Bishop's Stortford 870541

date 16/1/79




Dear Sir,

Two friends and I have decided to do a project on ufo's, and we were wondering if you could send us some information on ufo's, where they were sighted and when. If you could we would be much obliged.

yours faithfully

Timothy Bourne.

REFERRED TO	
PRO	AIR



CL 3784

AIR 39/3/3

10A

1	ORIGINATOR'S INITIALS	DBGmcl	
2	BEFORE SIGNATURE	to	
3	FOR SIGNATURE	by	SecDef
4	BEFORE DISPATCH	to	
5	DISPATCH	Date	Initials
6	AFTER DISPATCH	to	
7	PRINTED UP	on	to
8	FILE	Date	Initials

9/4/79

9 April 1979

Mrs Eileen McCallum,

Dear Mrs McCallum,

Thank you for your letter of 4 April about the requests you have been receiving for release of your information about UFO's. I am happy to know your view on the matter. You may rest assured that this Ministry will do nothing to release the results of the enquiry conducted by Air Force without your permission. I have made this point in response to enquiries I have received from a press and television journalist. The sole interest of the Ministry of Defence in this matter is to protect your confidences and privacy arising out of your association with Defence at the time the incident took place.

Yours sincerely,



(D.B.G. McLean)
Secretary of Defence

Air 39/3/3 PR

3 April 1979

Mrs Elsie Reaston
140 Common Road
Low Moor
Bradford
ENGLAND

Dear Mrs Reaston,

Thank you for your letter concerning the photograph that appeared in your daily paper in relation to the sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects in the Christmas/New Year period.

Although I am not aware of the photograph that appeared in your paper, it was most likely the photograph taken from the television film that was made at the time. Photographs were not taken by the RNZAF.

Your identification of three faces in the object of the photograph is certainly an interesting discovery.

The RNZAF investigation into the sightings concluded that they were the result of natural but unusual atmospheric phenomena. It was highly likely that the object photographed was the planet Venus, which at the time of the sightings was rising early in the morning and at that time of the year was unusually bright in appearance.

I regret I cannot satisfy your request for a photograph of the object.

Yours faithfully,



(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

11/27/21

Mr Elsie Keastory
140, Common Road
Ministry of Defence
New Zealand.
Bensford.

Dear Sir, I am writing to
you concerning the U.F.O.
photograph that was published
in our daily newspaper.
I closely inspected the
picture with and also
using a magnifying glass. I also
turned the photograph 90°
from how it was in the
paper and found I could
identify three masked
faces in the black strip


featured, in the round
subject of the photograph
my diagram shows exact
position to look at the
picture



Will you please send me
a reply and if possible
a large gloss photograph
I would be very grateful
and would send you the
cost - please inspect the
picture as this may be
the first photograph of
of our special visitors.


Yours Sincerely

Mrs Elsie Reastor.



U.F.O. SIGHTINGS BEARU.

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
NEW ZEALAND.



DEFENCE

NEW
RELEASE

Address all inquiries to:

Public Relations,
Defence Headquarters,
Wellington, N.Z.

Telephone: 49 800 Ext. 882 or 792.



43 8

RNZAF UFO SIGHTING REPORT

The unidentified radar and visual sightings reported by aircraft and the Air Traffic Control radars off the north east coast of the South Island recently, are the result of natural but unusual atmospheric phenomena.

This is the conclusion arrived at in the Air Force's just completed investigation into the sightings.

Before arriving at his conclusions, the investigating officer interviewed all the principle witnesses involved in the sightings on the nights of 20 and 30 December. He also worked closely with the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, the Civil Aviation Division of the Ministry of Transport, and the Meteorological Service.

His report reveals that during the months of December and early January, atmospheric conditions over New Zealand were conducive to freak effects on radar and light waves. Also, the planet Venus was rising in the eastern sky early in the morning, and at this time of the year is unusually bright in appearance.

It was also revealed that for some time the Wellington Air Traffic Control radar has been giving spurious returns off the east coast of the South Island.

Over the period more than 50 Japanese squid boats sailed from Wellington to a position 120 miles off Banks Peninsula.

Not only would the squid boats give a good source of radar return whilst in transit to the squid fishing grounds, but they generate a very large amount of light when fishing at night. Each boat generates about 200 kilowatts of light to attract squid to its lures, and this light source cannot be discounted as a cause of some of the visual sightings.

The investigating officer also speculates that lights seen in the Clarence River mouth could have come from trains or vehicles travelling along the coast, and affected by unusual atmospheric reflections and refractions.

There is no evidence to connect the many radar and visual sightings in the Clarence River and the larger lights seen to the east.

A Ministry of Defence spokesman said the Ministry was completely satisfied there were no unexplained physical objects in the skies at the time of the sightings.

The lights were almost certainly from surface or planetary sources affected by atmospheric reflection, refraction and distortion.

Radar sets are known to be subject to spurious returns, and it was significant that on the occasions the large light was being filmed by a television team on board the Argosy freighter, neither Christchurch or Wellington radars reported any related visual sightings on their screens.

The spokesman said that the Ministry of Defence was not specifically charged with formal responsibility for investigating so-called unidentified flying objects in peacetime. However, the Ministry does take an active interest in all such reports and within the limitations of its resources, conducts investigations as necessary.

Commenting on some media speculation that the country was defenceless against air attack, the spokesman said that New Zealand did not have a complex air defence system, comprising sophisticated radar equipment and a force of missiles and interceptor aircraft.

The recently announced Defence Review explains that over the next decade at least, a physical threat to New Zealand's security, by sea or from the air, is so remote that expenditure of funds on sophisticated air defence equipments could not be justified.

No costing of a comprehensive air defence system for New Zealand had been done, but the Defence spokesman said that the bill would be enormous and well beyond current resource levels.

The Defence spokesman concluded by saying that the Ministry totally discounts the possibility of visits to New Zealand, and particularly to the areas of the country where the recent reports have suggested, of alien aircraft or other flying machines. It also categorically discounts any suggestion that air activity of any kind has taken place which poses any threat to New Zealand's security. Defence does not share the view of those who believe we are visited from outer space, or covertly by the aircraft or machines of potentially unfriendly nations.

UFG/45/MEMO/656/78

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE DEPARTMENT OF AERIAL STUDIES

*** CLASSIFIED TO ALL PERSONNEL BELOW AGDO 2

CONTAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED 22/ 2/78. (23) AS FOLLOWS:

K5634	J. MITCHELL	LEICESTER	559/7C	CLASS 5	23/ 5/77 0935
K5635	O. KRAMER	BRADFORD	11A/?	CLASS 5	24/ 5/77 4116
K5636	D.M. SMITH	LONDON (SW)	559/7C	CLASS 3	15/ 6/77 0600
K5637	F.W. SHOEMAKER				
K5638	R.L. DOORS	LONDON (SW)	559/7C	CLASS 5	23/ 7/77 4755
K5639	T. BETTS	FALMOUTH	558/0'X	CLASS 2D	23/ 7/77 1721
K5640	W.M. GRANGER	LLANELLI	555/C45	CLASS 5	1/ 8/77 0931
K5641	T.D. PATEL	SOUTHALL	6400KW/2	CLASS 16	11/ 8/77 1159
K5642	S.L.D. O'BRIEN	LEEDS	559/7C	CLASS 5	16/10/77 0445
K5643	T. MONAMARA	BELFAST	088/23	CLASS 16	22/11/77 2350
K5644	E.F. WEST	ABERDEEN	088/23	CLASS 6	23/11/77 0020
K5645	T. BRANDENBURGER	SLOUGH	559/7C	CLASS 5	14/12/77 1807
K5646	F.K. SPINNER	BELPER	H6/44/46	CLASS 16	23/12/77 2300
K5647	V.W. WRIGHT	DERBY	559/KW	CLASS 5	31/12/77 1305
K5648	T.M. SLABY	TRURO	559/?	CLASS 8	2/ 1/78 0430
K5649	D.M. HEDDERLEY	GLASGOW	1010/46	CLASS 10	23/ 1/78 1051
K5650	A. ANDREWS	GLASGOW	559/SERIES	CLASS 5	1/ 2/78 0945
K5651	D.T. SMEDLEY	LONDON (E)	74/7C	CLASS 23	22/ 2/78 1201

/*ENDLIST

CLASSIFICATION NOTIFIED TO THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTS:

- * METROPOLITAN POLICE
- * DEFENCE (AIR FORCE)
- * DEFENCE CIVIL
- * SPECIAL PATROL GROUPS
- * E.E.C. (INTELLIGENCE BRANCH)
- * SUB-REGIONAL CONTROLS
- * COMPUTER DATA SECTION
- * MICROWAVE COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK
- * /*ENDLIST

SECRETARY OF DEFENCE

RECEIVED

Private Secretary

22 11 3 119 79

FURTHER CONTROLS AND DATELINE NETWORK ONDAR VIA NDHQ CHELTENHAM

OPERATION 23 NOTIFICATION TO SECTIONS B H W Z VIA NDHQ CHELTENHAM

NORAD CYBER


DATA COMPILATION NETWORK SECTIONS H K W

FURTHER NOTIFICATION FOR ACTION TO BE TAKEN VIA COM 46 (78)

RLD CIA NORAD UFG 23

*** LISTED AND CLASSIFIED

/*END COPY 17/ 75 CLASSIFIED 2298/22 C/P/60



+2
7

In the recent debate in the House of Lords that the government is operating a cover-up on U.F.O. Lord Strabolgi who spoke on behalf of the Minister of Defence was under a great deal of pressure to deny the existence of U.F.O. and that the government had every intention in the future to deny any accusations from every quarter of a cover-up. The public interest in this matter subject has never been higher and the public gallery during the U.F.O. Debate was jam packed. More than expected interest the day after when very many more Peers than usual asked the Printed Paper Office in the House of Lords for copies of Hansard containing the U.F.O. Debate. They ran out of copies, so the Printed Paper Office contacted H.M. Stationary Office to ask for more copies. No luck! That has not happened before. The General Public had bought them all up. It was a very good debate with 14 speakers but despite the denials of a cover-up by Lord Strabolgi, we have had all along

irrefutable proof of it, and it is high time
the people should be told the truth about
U.F.O and their capability to disarm all
the Defence systems of the major powers, which
has already been put to the test when governments
up until quite recently get trigger happy.
Since the sightings of U.F.O over the western front
in 1943 and the first release of atomic energy
information has been pouring in from all corners
of the globe and continues to do so every 24 hours.
Evidence of people being maltreated, a lot of
well documented evidence of people being killed
by U.F.O, who have suffered radiation burns, some
badly by unknown forms of rays. People carried
off and certainly never returned. A whole
neighbourhood next to an American airforce base
being terrorised by beings 3 ft tall with large
ears, and silver. The airforce were quickly on the
scene to witness these beings as people were
shooting at point blank range at these creatures
to no effect. Airforce on the scene later described
what they had seen to USAF artists and the
press were allowed to print the impressions. Then
there are the countless cases of blood being taken
from people, semen, and ovar from women, and

many cases of sexual intercourse that would stand up to scrutiny. The many cases of cattle being taken away. It's nice to know some like beef, but there are other cases where their interest in other forms of protein are very alarming, because we do not know the implications. This is only the tip of the iceberg and this hardly looks like the behaviour of benevolent beings. — Are we in fact not the first humanity there has been on this planet because others have been wiped out or come unstuck. Man is not just the product of chance of the ordinary workings of evolution. He is that plus something else, the result of some very superior genetic engineering by somebody somewhere, and I think that opposed to those forces the creative powers of the cosmos there are others you could describe as the opposition or the people who are throwing the spanners in the works. We suspect there is a very great struggle going on for control of us. Very recently a group of serious responsible people in America who have managed to penetrate some of the most secret CIA files say they now have irrefutable proof there are four different lots who are fighting for control of Homo.Sap, and they are certainly not all benevolent. Now this would explain as to why these beings are never seen in their different shapes and sizes in accounts.

with each other, nor is it reported in its daily newspapers, radio and television. It is no longer the popular accepted theory that UFO come from other worlds and are trying to make contact with us, because if this were the case, they have been around for far too long just wasting time in the attempt. Information has turned up from top secret CIA files that Defence Chiefs have had meetings with the benevolent type creatures described as most humanlike in appearance except for pink eyes without any pupils. The height of these beings averaged out at around 6', and the clothes they were wearing were described as as looking rather like ski suits. Then 48 hours after the meeting the Defence Chiefs suffered skin spots and rashes, and the medical reports indicated they were all suffering from radiation sickness. It looks like the CIA are giving out more information to requests from very strong pressure groups around the world and this will we hope be the start of a CIA education programme to prepare the people of the real truth about UFO and of the real threat that they mean to our whole world defences. The British Government will always be the last to tell its people!

22 March 1979

Mr Harry Harris
346 Manchester Road
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire
ENGLAND

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 13 March asking for details of the report on the sightings of Unidentified Flying Objects during the Christmas/New Year period.

The Air Force's investigation report was not made public. However, I have enclosed a copy of the statement containing the findings of the investigation that was released to the media.

I believe this statement will provide you with the information you seek.

Yours faithfully,

6
(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF Public Relations Officer

Enclosure

HARRY HARRIS

Solicitor

Telephone: 061-962 6240 & 969 3943

346 Manchester Road
(Washway Road)
West Timperley
Altrincham
Cheshire


OUR REF: HH/CH

AIRMAIL

YOUR REF:

13th March, 1979

Ministry of Defence,
Private Bag,
Wellington,
New Zealand.



Dear Sirs,

Re: "New Years Eve U.F.O Sightings etc"

I would be grateful to receive details of your Government's official explanation for the above incident as the whole topic interests me immensely.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Yours faithfully,

Yours faithfully

[illegible]

Subject:

UFO INVESTIGATION
JAN 79

Department:

Air Staff

File No.:

Ai 39/3/3

Date:

15 Jan 79

To -

DCAS

20/3

DOPS

1. Although critics invariably say the various investigations are maligned, what is really happening is that they are angry at the statements made by the investigators.

2. Since the USAF (with its resources) could reach no terrestrial conclusion in over 2000 sightings it is unlikely that the old person in NZ is going to solve what is a mystery - in other words do the Uffindells of this world believe that if you had done your job properly you would have got the answer! No - he is annoyed your solution doesn't tie in with his.

3. Perhaps you let CO WB know we have seen Uffindell's letter, believe his story that he saw lights, and that we know as much as he does about what caused him to see lights. When we, or any other reliable agency discover the answer, everyone will be informed & until then the Air Force will take the attitude that there are valid explanations, which do not include beings from outer space, or covert activities by the Vietnamese or Albanians, or Icelandic Islanders.

4. CO WB should be informed it was within his power to deal with this matter, and that Air Staff is concerned he should see fit to pass it up the command chain. He is aware of the Defence position and is to support it unless he can throw incontrovertible evidence to the contrary.

1. Reference E8.

2. I am rather surprised at the 'tone' of W/O Uffindell's letter and equally surprised at the manner in which CO Woodbourne has chosen to handle the matter! Nevertheless, since the papers have wended their way through the command chain, and because my integrity is being questioned, I consider it appropriate that you decide whether Air Staff wants to respond.

3. CO Woodbourne has seen a copy of my report since he wrote the covering letter to W/O Uffindell's submission, thus it might be appropriate to ask CO Woodbourne to debrief W/O Uffindell.

Request your advice please.

✓ SC DOPS
(2754)

NFF

Ref DCAS instruction for DOPS to verbally apprise CO Woodbourne of DCAS views (opposite). CO WB so advised 20/3.

✓ SC DOPS
(2754)

11/1/79 DCAS 16/3

RESTRICTED
COVERING RESTRICTED

AIR 51/10/10. 8-4


Telephone: Christchurch 794-240
Ext 734

RNZAF Support Group
RNZAF Base Wigram
Private Bag
CHRISTCHURCH

In reply quote: SG 2/13/AIR

2nd March 1979

The Chief of Air Staff
Royal New Zealand Air Force
Defence Headquarters
Private Bag
WELLINGTON



RNZAF/DSIR INVESTIGATION INTO
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

Forwarded for your action is a letter from Warrant Officer Uffindell regarding the recent interdepartmental investigation into unidentified flying objects. Commanding Officer Woodbourne's covering letter is attached.

(H.S.R. SAUNDERS)
Squadron Leader
for Commander

- Enclosure:
1. Letter from Warrant Officer Uffindell, dated 30th January 1979.
 2. Covering letter from Commanding Officer RNZAF Base Woodbourne, 4/AIR, dated 31st January 1979.

ENCLOSURE
RECEIVED

REFERRED TO	
PRO	AIR
D.G.	

5 2.3.79
J.E. 14/3

COVERING RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED



ROYAL NEW ZEALAND AIR FORCE

TELEPHONE: Blenheim 28511
Ext 600

RNZAF Base
WOODBOURNE
Private Bag
BLENHEIM

IN REPLY QUOTE 4/AIR

31 January 1979

The Commander
RNZAF Support Group
RNZAF Base
Wigram
Private Bag
CHRISTCHURCH

RNZAF/DSIR INVESTIGATION INTO
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Attached is a letter from Warrant Officer I.B. Uffindell in which he expresses his reservations about the results of the inter-departmental inquiry into the South Island east coast UFO sightings in December 1978.
2. Warrant Officer Uffindell observed objects from this Base the night of 22/23 December while these were simultaneously plotted by Wellington Radar. The duty Flight Service Officer, Mr Bill Frame, corroborates the Warrant Officer's observations. Thus Uffindell had a personal and professional interest in the outcome of the inquiry.
3. The inter-departmental team visited Woodbourne on Friday, 5 January 1979, and during my time with them I gained the firm impression that they were here to "whitewash" the affair. The DSIR chaps had the answers before they heard the evidence, and when the evidence did not fit the preconceived theory, then it was seemingly put aside.
4. I hold no particular views on the matter, but do agree with Warrant Officer Uffindell's opinion that the RNZAF has gained no benefit from the affair. The Safe Air captains involved have both been quite critical of the outcome of the inquiry, and therefore, indirectly, of the RNZAF.


RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

-2-

86

5. The Warrant Officer's submission is forwarded with the recommendation that Air Staff be advised of the dissatisfaction of one of the prime witnesses.


(W.R. DONALDSON)
Wing Commander
Commanding Officer

RESTRICTED

A302381
W/O I.B. Uffindell
Tech(M)
No 4TTS


8c

30th January 1979

The Commanding Officer
RNZAF Base Woodbourne

UFO INVESTIGATION

1. I feel that the findings of the Defence Investigation Committee on UFO's off the Marlborough coast Dec 78/Jan 79 are an insult to all those involved in the sightings and subsequent investigation.
2. Whilst the committee was comprised of men with a high degree of intelligence, and scientific background, I believe they were all biased towards the type of finding they arrived at, before they even commenced their inquiry. This was particularly true of Mr Thompson from the meteorological service. He had rubbished the idea of anything but atmospheric conditions being the cause, on numerous occasions on the TV news.
3. Furthermore, Wg Cdr Clements, the committee chairman, stated that he had no doubts whatever that they would find the cause to be astronomical or meteorological phenomena, and the other three members agreed. This was on Friday 5th January, the inquiry was then still in its first day!
4. Wg Cdr Clements further stated that CDS had ordered the inquiry, that they were dragging all the old files out of the archives, and that they were going to try and find the cause or source of the sightings, no matter how long it took. Yet exactly three weeks later on Thursday 25th January the findings are out, case is closed and we don't want to hear anything more about it.
5. On Monday 22nd January Mr Bill Frame and I took bearings and elevations from the Woodbourne control tower to where we had witnessed three UFO's for an hour. I don't think he even had time to send them to the committee, yet they had requested that we take them.
6. Sir, it is my opinion that this inquiry was a farce from beginning to end and has done much to tarnish the RNZAF's image in the public's eye. I request you draw CDS's attention to the points I have raised and urge him to re-open the inquiry, this time with at least some people who hold an open mind on such matters.


(I.B. UFFINDELL)

(6) 3
A- 39/3/3

UFO file

Thinks UFOs could be foam bubbles

NEW PLYMOUTH, Today (PA).—A Waitara man came up yesterday with a bubbling new theory on UFOs — foam wafted from the sea into the air.

Recent UFO sightings over Kaikoura could be explained by the bubble theory, Mr I.L. Wood said.

He said UFOs were transparent light-reflecting bubbles that rose off the sea from patches of foam produced by pollution. The wind carried the bubbles great distances, and at high speed the oil or other pollutants in them gave them bright colours.

"I have studied these for years, and have watched them lift off the sea around Waitara. They are about the size of a basketball."

The bubbles could look bigger than they were, and when the wind got too strong they burst, deceiving people into thinking they had seen a UFO disappear at high speed, he said.

He said steep hills in the Kaikoura region produced strong updraughts which could carry the bubbles to great heights.

Mr Wood has written to the Ministry of Defence about his theory.

"This has opened the whole thing up for me. The things science has been looking at for years are produced in the sea," he said.

FILE.

1/18

6 Initial

(4) 9

Air 39/3/3PR

16 February 1979


WAITARA


Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 12 February, in which you promoted further theories in respect of Unidentified Flying Objects.

Your comments and observations have been noted.


Yours faithfully,


(C.D. COLE)
Squadron Leader
RNZAF PUBLIC RELATIONS OFFICER

FILE
1/10 

AIR 39/3/3/2/2/79

Defense Headquarters



Wautara

Dear Sir

In reply to your letter quote no 39/3/3
I would like to make a few other
comments about the U70s.

I stated that they are made of froth
plus Pollution and I remain very
firm on this question. As however
you seen this take place at odd
times in summer.

If you are in any doubt as to
whether or not this is right I
would like you to refer to
the book (Bermuda Triangle)
where you will have to admit
that we have exactly the same
mystery in this case. Since this
U70 mystery I also have discovered
connections that tie in with the
Triangle, and can describe in
detail exactly what takes place
at the time. It does appear that

PRO(AIR)

with what I now know that I am the only person that has the answer.

You will recall that the air force reported the terrible turbulence down that coast. the following night this is the (Important factor.)

This is what takes place hovering seen and been on the edge of it. Out of a very still air along our coast line there are some places that appear to be the trouble spots. First time on the beach the air was still and all at once there was a terrible strong wind coming from up above at a 40 deg angle which forced the sea down ~~into~~ making a hole 12 to 18 inches in the water and then blasted out the side in a fantail pattern which flattened all the over of water and cut under froth and leaving wind patten on the sea. It appears that it can strike

ment times in the same place through out the day and moves along the water as fast as one can walk & I have been on the outer edge of this swirling air which I believe that had it been much stronger I would have been sucked into it. Where I was standing a leaf took off and did a circle out and around and dropped out at the back of me. I estimated that the distance was 60 feet across the center from where I was standing.

Now in the core of this wild wind is terrible strong and could suck things out of the sky. I have no doubt that it is capable of dictating a plane, as it only lasts for app 15-20 seconds. I had no way of timing it. Now it is the ^{only} wind from this that is strong enough left everything including froth etc. I have watched this action on

a number of times and have
 seen the Bubbles in the morning.
 There are money, ^{other} things
 I can explain as to the shapes
 of the so called U40s.

If you are still interested.
 I am still available at the
 above address or any other
 person wishing to know all the
 details.

Yours faithfully

Waitora

②

THESE CRAFT TRAVEL
AT SPEEDS EXCEEDS
8000 MILES PER HOUR
CAPABLE OF SHOOTING
DOWN THE SLOW USA
CRUISE MISSILE WHICH
TRAVELS A SLOW 500
MILES AN HOUR.
CHINA IS OVER POPULATED - WEAPONS READY AT
AND SEES AUSTRALIA
AS ITS NEAR FUTURE
SOURCE OF FOOD

①

UFOs

CHINA

REC UTLY UFOs
AT NEW ZEALAND
AND ALL PARTS

OF AUSTRALIA UFOs

BIG AS BATTLESHIPS
CAPABLE OF CARRYING

THOUSANDS OF TROOPS

AND TACTICAL NUCLEAR

WEAPONS READY AT


THIS MOMENT TO

DESCEND AND OBLITERATE

AUSTRALIAN NAVY AIR

FORCE BASES AND

ALSO NEW ZEALAND



PEAKED 100
PROBABLE BASES

⑤

THESE CRAFT ZIG ZAG
THIS IS TO AVOID
SURFACE TO AIR
MISSILES



RECENTLY A LARGE

UFO WAS SEEN 45

MILES FROM PUCHUNYAI

AUSTRALIAS LARGEST

ARMY BASE. COUNTRIES

TO THE UFBs

SPLIT UP ARMY BASES

PLACE TROOPS AND

④

TANKS IN GUERRILLA

UNITS TO AVOID

TACTICAL NUCLEAR

STRIKES. REDIRECT

THE 100's OF MILLIONS

OF DOLLARS THAT

WOULD BE SPENT ON

USELESS BATTLESHIPS

AS ONE SMALL MISSILE

FROM A CHINESE UFO

WOULD PUT THEM

ON THE BOTTOM OF


THE OCEAN. REDIRECT

THIS MONEY TO

BUILDING HEAVY MACHINE

⑥

GUNS AND LIGHT
MACHINE GUNS
AS THEIR WILL BE
MANY CHINESE EMBARK
FROM THE GIANT UFOs



⑥
BOMB UFO BASES
IN CHINA IN UNAUTHORISED
AREAS WITH B52
BOMBERS

