

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE-TIME OF SIGHT 12 Nov 52		2. LOCATION Big Springs, Texas		12. CONCLUSIONS	
3. DATE-TIME OF REPORT Local 10450		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION		8. SOURCE AF pilot		☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical	
		9. COURSE 11		☐ Other ☒ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING 300 MPH.				11. COMMENTS Very poor report.	

ACTION

1952 AUG 10 04 17

1. ATIAA
2. ATIA
3. C. Files

56

ATIC

NEC

RA203

10 AUG 52 10 56

WPE011

YDD003

TYE014

TDA011

JEPHQ B008

H JEDEN JEDWP JEPLG 333

DE JEPHQ 03A

P 180308Z ZNJ

FM HQ USAF WASHDC

TO JEDEN/CG AIRDEFCON ENT AFB COLO

JEDWP/CHIEF ATIC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OHIO

JEPLG/CGTAC LANGLEY AFB VA

[REDACTED] FROM AFOIN 55919 FLYOBRPT. ATTN: CMA ATIAA-2C.

FOLLOWING INFO RECEIVED FR FLT SERVICE CENTER CMA OLMSTEAD AFB PA.

BY TELEPHONE CLN AF ACFT NR 4967 TYPE T-6 PLT [REDACTED] CMA

HQ STA BIG SPRINGS CMA TEX REPORTED OVER ABI 1652C 3000 FT VFR CMA

EST TIME OVER BGS 1745C. AT 1652C PLT ADVISED CMA QUOTE. SIGHTED

UNUSUAL OBJECT. PASSED ME WEST BOUND AT TERRIFIC SPEED THREE MINUTES

AGO. EST ALT 15000 FT. SPEED ESTIMATED EXCESS OF 500 MPH. OBJECT NOT

JET. NO WINGS. UNABLE TO DESCRIBE AS TO COLOR OR SHAPE. UNQUOTE.

INFO WAS RELAYED TO ELP AND FTW ARTC. OLMSTEAD RECEIVED BY TELETYPE

FR MAXWELL AFB FLT SERV CENTER.

13/0313Z AUG JEPHQ

7-3719-11

Cy 1

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

I. GENERAL

1. DATE <i>12 Aug 52</i>	2. LOCATION <i>Big Spring, Tex.</i>	3. TIME Local: <i>1849</i> Zebra: <i>2149</i>
4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE GROUND?		
☐ Yes ☐ Naked Eye ☐ Binoculars ☐ Telescope ☐ Theodolite		☒ No
5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY GROUND RADAR?		
☐ Yes ☐ By One Set ☐ By Two Sets ☐ By Three Sets		☐ No
6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE AIR?		
☒ Yes ☒ A/C Observed Object ☐ Interception Attempted ☒ No Intercept Attempted		☐ No
7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT?		
☐ Yes ☐ A/C Scrambled ☐ Visual Contact Made ☐ A/I Contact Made ☐ No Contact Made		☒ No
8. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIRECTION AT ANY TIME?		
☐ Yes ☐ Normal ☐ Violent		☒ No
9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT", WAS IT:		
☐ Blinking ☒ Steady		
10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT:		
☐ 1-15 Seconds ☐ 1-5 Minutes ☐ Over 10 Minutes		<i>unk.</i>
11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit Number and Mailing Address)		
<i>HQ, USAF</i>		

II. ASTRONOMICAL DATA

12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACTIVITY WAS NOTED?		
<i>None</i>		
13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO ARCH DOWNWARD?		
☐ Yes		☐ No
14. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAIL?		
☐ Yes		☐ No
15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO DISINTEGRATE?		
☐ Yes		☐ No
16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELATIVE TO SUNRISE OR SUNSET (Data From Air Almanac)		
☐ Night ☐ Day ☐ Sunrise ☐ Sunset		

III. AIRCRAFT DATA

17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED IN AREA?		
☐ Yes ☐ One Aircraft ☐ More Than One Aircraft		☐ No
18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD?		
☐ Yes		☐ No
19. WERE THERE INDICATIONS OF HIGH BACKGROUND NOISE?		
☐ Yes		☐ No
20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED ABOVE 45° ELEVATION?		
☐ Yes		☐ No

IV. BALLOON DATA

21. WERE BALLOONS RELEASED IN AREA? ☐ Yes ☐ No

22. TIME SINCE SCHEDULED BALLOON RELEASE: _____ Minutes

23. POSSIBLE BALLOON LAUNCH SITES DOWNWIND OF SIGHTING:

	Location	Type	Launching Agency	Lighted?		Describe Lighting
				Yes	No	
a.						
b.						
c.						
d.						

(attach overlay)

V. EVALUATION

21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE:

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Unreliable
- ☐ Extremely Doubtful
- ☐ Hoax

22. DETAILS OF REPORT:

- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☒ Poor
- ☒ Insufficient to Evaluate

23. FINAL EVALUATION:

- ☐ Was Balloon
- ☐ Probably Balloon
- ☐ Possibly Balloon
- ☐ Was Aircraft
- ☐ Probably Aircraft
- ☐ Possibly Aircraft

- ☐ Was Astronomical
- ☐ Probably Astronomical
- ☐ Possibly Astronomical
- ☐ Other: _____
- ☒ Insufficient Data For Evaluation
- ☐ Unknown

24. COMMENTS:

no detail