

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE-TIME OF SIGHT 12 SEP 52		2. LOCATION Annandale, Virginia		12. CONCLUSIONS	
3. DATE-TIME OF REPORT 12 SEP 52		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE Dept Labor Executive			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1		9. COURSE W	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING				11. COMMENTS	
				Following comm. a/c. Report does not follow 200-5. No winds aloft.	

X

RE046

WPC042

YDC043

TYB036

TDB048

JEPHQ A025

PP JEDEN JEDWP JEPLG 333

DE JEPHQ 02A

P 120153Z ZNJ

FM HQ USAF WASHDC

TO JEDEN/CGAIRDEFCOM ENT AFB COLO

JEDWP/CHIEF ATIC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB HIO

JEPLG/CGTAC LANGLEY AFB VA

[REDACTED] FROM AFOIN 57652 FLYOBRPT ATTN ATIAA-2S. ONE
SPHERICAL SHAPED UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT WAS OBSERVED FLYING ABOVE
AND BEYOND COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT DC4 OR DC6 HEADED IN A WESTERLY
DIRECTION IN VICINITY OF ANNANDALE CMA VIRGINIA. OBJECT
APPEARED TO BE SMALLER THAN A DIME CMA AND WAS OBSERVED FOR THIRTY
OR FORTY FIVE SECONDS. NO AERODINAMIC FEATURES NOTICED. NO TRAIL
OR EXHAUST NOTED. SPEED UNDETERMINED CMA HOWEVER APPEARED TO MOVE
SOMEWHAT FASTER THAN AIRCRAFT AT FAIRLY HIGH ALTITUDE. NO MANAUVERS
OBSERVED. OBJECT SIGHTED AT APPROXIMATELY 1700 HOURS CMA EST CMA
11 SEPT 1952 BY MR [REDACTED] CMA [REDACTED] CMA FALLS CHURCH
CMA VIRGINIA. TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED] CMA CIVILIAN

SEP 12 1952

ATIC

1. Atia
2. Atia
3. C. files

AGG 1
INFOR.

11:11

17-3719-18

Sand question

PAGE TWO JEPHQ 02A

EMPLOYEE AT DEPT OF LABOR. MR [REDACTED] IS ASST DIRECTOR OFFICE
[REDACTED] VISUAL GROUND OBSERVATION WAS MADE FROM
LEFT FRONT WINDOW OF AUTOMOBILE TRAVELING SOUTH ON COLUMBIA PIKE
AT THE BARCROFT APARTMENTS IN ARLINGTON CMA VIRGINIA. MRS [REDACTED] WAS
IN AUTOMOBILE AT TIME BUT DID NOT HAVE TIME TO VIEW OBJECT AFTER
CALLED TO HER ATTENTION

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

Cy1

ENRUC 0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

12/0209Z SEP JEPHQ

24 015

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

I. GENERAL

1. DATE <i>11 Sept 52</i>	2. LOCATION <i>Arman Lake, Va</i>	3. TIME Local: <i>1700 EST</i> Zebra: <i>2202</i>
4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE GROUND?		
☒ Yes		☐ No
☒ Naked Eye		
☐ Binoculars		
☐ Telescope		
☐ Theodolite		
5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY GROUND RADAR?		
☐ Yes		☒ No
☐ By One Set		
☐ By Two Sets		
☐ By Three Sets		
6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE AIR?		
☐ Yes		☒ No
☐ A/C Observed Object		
☐ Interception Attempted		
☐ No Intercept Attempted		
7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT?		
☐ Yes		☒ No
☐ A/C Scrambled		
☐ Visual Contact Made		
☐ A/I Contact Made		
☐ No Contact Made		
8. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIRECTION AT ANY TIME?		
☐ Yes		☒ No
☐ Normal		
☐ Violent		
9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT", WAS IT:		
☐ Blinking		
☐ Steady		
10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT:		
☐ 1-15 Seconds		
☒ 1-5 Minutes		
☐ Over 10 Minutes		
11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit Number and Mailing Address)		
<i>Hq. USAF Washington D.C.</i>		

II. ASTRONOMICAL DATA

12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACTIVITY WAS NOTED?		
<i>No</i>		
13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO ARCH DOWNWARD?	☐ Yes	☒ No
14. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAIL?	☐ Yes	☒ No
15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO DISINTEGRATE?	☐ Yes	☒ No
16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELATIVE TO SUNRISE OR SUNSET (Data From Air Almanac)		
☐ Night		
☒ Day		
☐ Sunrise		
☐ Sunset		

III. AIRCRAFT DATA

17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED IN AREA?	☒ Yes	☐ No
☒ One Aircraft		
☐ More Than One Aircraft		
18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD?	☐ Yes	☒ No
19. WERE THERE INDICATIONS OF HIGH BACKGROUND NOISE?	☐ Yes	☒ No
20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED ABOVE 45° ELEVATION?	☒ Yes	☐ No

IV. BALLOON DATA

21. WERE BALLOONS RELEASED IN AREA?						☒ Yes		☐ No	
22. TIME SINCE SCHEDULED BALLOON RELEASE:						1 hr, Minutes			
23. POSSIBLE BALLOON LAUNCH SITES DOWNWIND OF SIGHTING:									
	Location	Type	Launching Agency	Lighted?		Describe Lighting			
				Yes	No				
a.	Danvers Va	Pitfall	U.S. N.			(irregular)			
b.	Windsor Va	Radiosonde Pitfall	"						
c.									
d.									

(attach overlay)

V. EVALUATION

21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE:		22. DETAILS OF REPORT:	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☒ Fair ☐ Poor ☐ Unreliable ☐ Extremely Doubtful ☐ Hoax		☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor ☒ Insufficient to Evaluate	
23. FINAL EVALUATION:			
☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft		☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other: _____ ☒ Insufficient Data For Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
24. COMMENTS:			

PROJECT 10073 WEATHER DATA SHEET

1. DATE OF OBSERVATION		2. TIME OF OBSERVATION		3. STATION OBSERVING	
------------------------	--	------------------------	--	----------------------	--

4. WINDS ALOFT:					
ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)	ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)
0			25,000		
1,000			30,000		
2,000			35,000		
3,000			40,000		
4,000			45,000		
5,000			50,000		
6,000			55,000		
7,000			60,000		
8,000			65,000		
9,000			70,000		
10,000			75,000		
12,000			80,000		
14,000			85,000		
16,000			90,000		
18,000			95,000		
20,000			100,000		

5. WAS AN INVERSION LAYER NOTED? (If yes, at what altitude? _____)		☐ Yes ☐ No
6. WERE ANY THUNDERSTORMS NOTED IN AREA? (If yes, at what quadrant? _____)		☐ Yes ☐ No

7. CLOUD COVER: _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet.	8. VISIBILITY WAS _____ MILES.
--	-----------------------------------

9. COMMENTS:

No weath info in TWX