

the national archives

(c) crown copyright

UNCLASSIFIED

RESTRICTED/UNCLASSIFIED

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

MOD Form 329D
(Revised 8/00)
PPQ = 100

Date opened (Date of first enclosure)
19 April 2005

Registered file number D/DAS/64/3

Part No. 80

1. Attention is drawn to the notes on the inside flap.
2. Enter notes of related files on page 2 of this jacket

DIVISION/ESTABLISHMENT/UNIT/BRANCH

DAS SEC. (FOI).

[FULL ADDRESS & TELEPHONE NUMBER]

SUBJECT 'UFOS'. PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.

[BLOCK CAPITALS]

RECORD OF KEYWORDS:

(12.7.06)

2014

Referred to	Date	Min/ Encl	Referred to	Date	Min/ Encl	Referred to	Date	Min/ Encl	Referred to	Date	Min/ Encl

SEE PART 81

FOR DRO USE ONLY

1st Review date

2nd Review date

PA ACTION

(MOD Form 262F must be completed at the time of file closure)

RCU001787751 TNT

Produced by MOD
DSDA (PC) Ky. Tel: 0117 9376256

RESTRICTED/UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

ENCLOSURE NUMBER 68

Section 40
Netley Abbey
Southampton
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
12 July 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your letter dated 19 June regarding the release of the Ministry of Defence report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region" and your comments about your own UFO sightings.

As you will be aware from previous correspondence, the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. We do not doubt that individuals observe phenomenon that they are unable to identify, but believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them. It is not, however, the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your comments about the content of the Ministry of Defence UAP report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

1 of 3

Section 40 [redacted]

Netley Abbey,
Southampton.
Section 40
Tel: Section 40 [redacted]

DAS
102No.....
29 JUN 2006
FILE

Sir;
I have been browsing here and there in your much publicised 400 page "Freedom of Information" and feel impelled to comment, after MANY years of actual involvement one to one…with what we still call "UFO`"s although I now feel that "The Visitors" would be a more apt description.

In March 1960 I was a humble insurance agent on my local Gosport, Hants, "debit",and crossing a railway bridge in the town. My left eye was caught by a glint in the bright, clear, cold, and windy sky.I looked at it and saw a "cigar" shaped object apparently hovering at about a thousand feet. I looked hard at it and decided it was an aircraft fighting a very strong wind from the north east.A young man passed by, pushing his bike. I asked him if he thought the object was a `plane. He looked, and said yes,it WAS a `plane. Satisfied,I walked on. The word "flying saucer" has flicked across my mind but was dismissed. I`d read about such in the press etc,,had an open mind about it. Five years in the wartime RAF had given me much food for thought subsequently. Why not? I had been, still am, an "expert" on aircraft recognition. Prewar I was in the AIR TRAINING CORP and stationed on an aircraft Factory roof at Fareham, where I worked at 16,as its "spotter", during the early war years, I pressed the buzzer and got some 100 workers into our shelter as the sound and then the brief glimpse of two Junkers JU 88s breaking low cloud was followed by bomb explosions and then AA batteries…too late! HMS COLLINGWOOD was a huge naval training base just a mile or so away. We learnt, later that a number of Wrens etc had been lining up for their Friday payday. Identification had been too late. Not unusual confusion with our own Blenhiem Bombers. The death toll was appalling. I actually lived just a few hundred yards away from the establishment.

Relevant on that 1960 March morning? As I heard the roar of jet engines thirty minutes after my initial sighting while talking to a client I ran to a vantage point as two Meteor jets hove into view low over the rooftops, heading up where, to my amazement, the "plane" was still hovering. I KNEW then it was no aircraft! Those jets had been "scrambled" from a nearby airbase. As the aircraft drew near the object, it lazily turned on end in the clear blue sky and vanished! I ran into a nearby phone box. Found the number for Thorney Island Airbase,got the control tower officer, gave him my name and address, asked him what was the object those two Meteor jets were chasing?

His answer changed my life, at 30 odd

years of age. `You saw no object, you
saw no jets` I tried again. Precisely the same answer. I left that phone box my mind in a whirl. Cold War Russian surveillance aircraft? It had been over a very sensitive Admiralty Air Surface Weapons Establishment. (ASWE)

Next day my then brother in law called in on a social visit. Coincidence? Much later in life I LEARNT about "coincidences"> Jung called them "synchronicities".

My wife went out to the kitchen to make a cup of tea for our visitor. WHO WORKED AT ASWE! Indulging in "small talk" I said; ` You had some excitement over your place yesterday morning` His face went grey.Then WHITE.His mouth set in a grimline. He never spoke. NEVER DID!
I changed the subject.

On subsequent visits over the years he never brought the subject up as my "library" of "flying Saucer" books grew and even when I appeared on Southern TV speaking on "flying saucers".

So,you see,all is relevant. All is "connected". I mean, WHO did I go to in authority after that on the spot refusal? But I KNEW! For ever! To this day.The photographic proof lies in your hands. YOU don`t want it. YOU KNOW. But SCARED TO TELL US...the PEOPLE,THE WORLD.

My 400 page manuscript lies in my dusty bookcase. Another 400 pages will say "THE END".Or WILL IT? That 1960 incident appeared in top author Nick Redfern`s splendid FACTUAL best seller in 1997 under "A COVERT AGENDA"....FACTS from the OFFICIAL REPORTS.

He has written others. None equal that first one. He wrote in it at a book presentation; `To Ernie.Hope you enjoy the book and thanks for your help`

MY HELP? Of course, why not? He was telling us.me, there were many others having the same experiences. He put me on to his publisher.
No joy there. You see, by then,1997, I `d had MORE sightings..afresh in 1977 and 1978 One with other witnesses five miles away. Another that I didn't recognise with my then wife in 1978...also with a close by neighbour whose story appeared in my local Southampton Daily Echo. The last of THREE in that January 1978 period I was given a swift trip around the Solar system! Funny, I thought! Odd too. I started my own small UFO Group...two ladies and myself.Applicants HAD TOHAVE HAD ACTUAL EXPERIENCES. Together we had many more, even stranger. Odd dreams, I "became" a "healer.. We had "spiritual" experiences. "Sittings" and "spiritual" visits to church or churches produced more awesome incidents. I spoke in public,on radio, TV, on such. Read books that equalled in content what I was going through...AFTER I`d had them.

To sum up what is an ongoing and LONG LONG LONG story. Although I believe the end, the conclusion,is not far off. Why?How?

Cast your eye over a selection of photos. Remember our UFO group has had videos shown to the MOD top man Sir Lewis Mooney a few years back,made into a very good BBC HORIZON "Paranormal" series, featured our local Police Spotter plane encircling (twice) a strange object hovering and moving over Southampton Water in 2001 and 2002 with dates almost to the same day. Totally denied first of all by ALL local authorities. Eventually confirmed by Police Spotter unit,times,locations,confirmed. However, the crews `saw nothing`. `Possibly Jupiter.` Which I thought was pretty good technology for a humble spotter plane,and said so. Later certain matters confirmed the TRUTH as we knew and filmed it.

`Course,you may not KNOW all this. Then again.....?

OK Had enough?

I`ll just leave you to ponder. Much as the total SILENCE from the media ...even from dear old Nick Pope whose first wad of photos earlier this year he thought `fascinating` but the very recent ones...particularly the "humanoid" has brought WEEKS OF NO REACTION. I suggested `awesome` might be more apt this time.

Sincerely

Section 40

Public Relations Officer
Southampton UFO and PARANORMAL GROUP (SUFOG)
1995

PS; Oh,yes...mustn`t forget the 12 abductions accompanied (3) witnessed (3) and"visitations"1932, then 1978 and onwards,last ones June 04, scars and photo of two still visible or on photo.

Section 40

[redacted]

9/6/06

13.1.06

13 : 25


(1)

13 1 06 (2)

13 : 25

PORTSMOUTH HARBOUR
copyright Section 40 SUFOG

PORTSMOUTH HARBOUR
copyright Section 40 SUFOG



13.1.06

13 : 25

(3)

PORTSMOUTH HARBOUR
copyright ernie Bears SUFOG

SATURDAY
Review


The SATURDAY NEWS REVIEW SECTION • STORIES BEHIND THE NEWS *plus* PUZZLES & FIND THE BALL

Just what IS out there?

And is it attracted to the Spinnaker Tower?



KEEPING WATCH: Eric Sears is convinced that the south coast is visited by aliens. He says the pictures on this page are the photographic proof.



ATTRACTING ATTENTION: A strange item in the skies over the Spinnaker Tower in Portsmouth. Inset: The object magnified.

Alien Autopsy, the movie released yesterday featuring Ant and Dec, has rekindled interest in beings from outer space. **Vicki Green-Steel** speaks to a man who has never stopped believing.

THE skies over Hampshire are busy – and not just with planes from the airport. According to Southampton UFO Group (SUFOG), aliens are investigating our county.

Of particular interest for them, strangely enough, is Portsmouth.

The pictures taken by SUFOG member Ernie Sears and his photographer George show the busy skies over the city – where UFOs regularly visit.

Or it may just simply be a flock of starlings.

In 2004, BUFORA, the main UK forum for skywatchers, ruefully admitted that UFO sightings have been in steady decline since the late 1990s.

Most striking of all, the British Flying Saucer Bureau has suspended its activities, because the number of sightings has crashed from a peak of around 30 a week to almost zero.


But for SUFOG, since August last year, UFO activity in the area has increased considerably.

Mr Sears believes that the aliens have been drawn to the Spinnaker Tower out of curiosity.

"We have not seen anything quite like it before," said Mr Sears, 81, from Netley Abbey. "I believe that photograph shows a new kind of alien craft with very advanced technology.

"It seems to be a solid cigar-shaped raft, which is glowing slightly and separated into two sections by mysterious black globes, which is very unusual.

"We can only speculate but I think the Spinnaker may be attracting curiosity from alien life. They want to know why it has been erected and what it can do for them."



Mr Sears is no stranger to unidentified flying objects. His experiences go back to 1960 when he was in Gosport and saw an object in daylight above Portsdown Hill.

"This object was hovering and shining in the March sun. I just thought it was an airplane but half an hour later I heard the roar of jet engines when two Meteor jets were scrambled towards this object," he recalled.

"The jets got near it and it lazily turned on end an disappeared, and the jets went round in circles.

"I went to a call box and found the number for Thorney Island, where the jets had come from, and asked what the object was.

❑ *Continued on page 12*

PTO

Just what IS out there?

❑ *From Page 11*

"I was told: 'You did not see any objects and you did not see any jets'.

"That started my search down various avenues."

Mr Sears even claims to have experienced close encounters of the third kind. He's been abducted too. And he's been on cosmic tours of the universe with aliens. Moreover, he and others have been used as part of breeding programme to create hybrids who live amongst us.

Let's get something crystal clear at this point. Mr Sears accepts people will raise eyebrows at his claims. That's part of the UFO watcher's territory and he's not bothered in the least.

It's solely the truth that interests him - and Mr Sears insists it's out there waiting to be discovered.

All of which brings us onto his abduction and a tale which could form the basis of the next episode of Channel 4's Invasion.

It's over to Mr Sears again:

"There was a report in the *Daily Echo* of a slow-flying object over Southampton. Everyone denied it. It was seen over the city three times in January 1978," he said.

"The third time I saw it with my then wife when we were in the back garden and that was the night I remember for the first time ever being abducted with my wife.

"I just found myself looking at this whacking great black thing going over the garden, very slow, very quiet. There was a window at the front with lights all round it and a light flashed down on me.

"I found myself up in the universe going past planets and I found myself on Earth again a while later.

"My whole outlook in life changed in a few seconds. I understood nature a lot more. I understood the world was in trouble and I had to help and inside a year I became a healer.

"I have been abducted about 12 times in all, twice in 2004.

"I woke up one morning with two puncture marks in my left knee.

"A few days after that I woke up, again after having a disturbed night's sleep, and I had a circle of puncture marks on my upper arm.

"I can remember going to the crafts but I can never remember much after that.

"I'm not concerned about what they do to me when they abduct me. They have told me before that they are giving me knowledge.

I know that I have hybrids floating about somewhere.

"Sometimes I have flashbacks of what has happened to me. I remember once seeing tanks full of water all piled on top of each other with what I thought were fish in them.

"But they weren't actually fish, they were the hybrids which were being incubated."

Mr Sears says he has some recollection of what his abductors looked like. "I remember the little grey ones. They were very short, less than five feet," he said.

"They have smaller ones that I have only encountered once. They are little blue ones in uniform, very dwarf-like.

"They are very round and jolly. They are the ones that push you and jostle you on the table.

"I remember once they jostled me to the window where the greys were looking in. The greys are very cadaverous but friendly.

"There they were outside the window, two of them, and I went through the glass. I know how they do that. They taught me. It is all the body molecules you break down. You can go straight through glass like going through a jelly.

"I remember going up towards this triangular object floating in the sky and I remember going through a jelly-like door. The next thing I can remember is them bringing me back. They do talk to me in English as such but it is all in your mind. They don't have mouths or ears as such. But they put things in your mind and you understand it perfectly.

Mr Sears insists has been strange activity over Portsmouth at least three times a week. "The skies over Hampshire are very busy, particularly Portsdown Hill. It's a hot spot," he said.

"I believe that there is something big coming up connected with the sightings over Portsmouth.It may be a fleet of them coming.

Strange sightings which have not been explained

Have you had an alien encounter or have you seen a UFO? Write to Vicki Green-Steel at *Southern Daily Echo*, Newspaper House, Test Lane, Redbridge, Southampton, Hampshire SO16 9JX or email *vicki.green-steel@soton-echo.co.uk.*



SEPTEMBER 1978: A collection of unexplained lights (circled) in the sky over Totton captured on film by a *Daily Echo* photographer.

THE first modern reports of UFOs in Britain were the wave sightings from airships which began in 1909 and continued until First World War.

However, earlier writers record a number of events which would certainly be categorised as UFO sightings today.

In AD664, according to the Venerable Bede, a light which "made the sun at midday seem dark" appeared at one side of Barking monastery before moving to the other side.

Another sighting by Bede sounds ominously like an alien abduction story as a nun watched a corpse being "carried upwards".



In the middle of the First World War in Aldeburgh, Suffolk, a woman saw a round platform floating ten metres off the ground.

It had a handrail running around its circumference and approximately ten "beings" on the platform each wearing blue uniforms and small round hats. It remained in view for more than five minutes.

In 1939 a grey, bell-shaped object was spotted hovering over a field in near Brockworth, Gloucestershire.

In 1954 there were a number of UFO sightings in the north of Britain – one of the clearest photographs of a "flying saucer" was taken in Coniston, Cumbria

Southampton UFO Group has kept a log of sightings across the county. Here is a selection of reports in recent years.

1999

❑ February 2, Southampton, 6.15pm: Three yellow lights in triangular formation, low and silent.

❑ July 10, Goport, 23.55pm: Fuzzy, pink, spherical light travelling at fast speed.

❑ July 14, Southampton, 3.45am: Oscillating sphere at around 1,000ft.

❑ September 14, Southampton, 1.50am: Beam of light projected at witness in park. Witness felt pins and needles (like an electric shock). Unable to move for ten minutes. Object made 90-degree turn and disappeared. Witness arrived home at 2.45am and unable to account for 25 minutes.

2000

❑ April 8, Chandler's Ford, 11pm: Lozenge shaped, stationary object seen for ten minutes.

❑ August, Lee-on-the-Solent, 1.30am: Bluish-grey transparent object brushed tops of trees and hovered Concentric beams point out from below the object which was as large as a house and 20-25 metres from the witness.

2002

❑ August 18, Calmore, 11pm: Ball of light, bursts of speed and change of direction.

2003

❑ June 9, Eastleigh, 3pm – Woman taking in her washing when she saw a plane coming into Southampton Airport. Above it she saw a silvery colour disc flying in a straight path towards the Isle of Wight.

2004

❑ July 24, Fawley, 1.05am: UFO seen hovering over the oil refinery by witness in Warsash.

❑ September 12, Andover, 5am: Two rapidly flashing red and white lights moved slowly and silently at at about 500 metres. Three more pairs followed.

2005

❑ June 18, Fair Oak, 12.50am : An object appeared from the south-southwest, initially appearing as a brilliant and fast moving light. Within a second or two it became a brilliant white light before dimming and continuing on its course.

❑ June 22, Lock's Heath, 4.30pm: A round shiny ball that looked just like a balloon but it was far too high to have been that or an aircraft.

MOVIE FICTION – OR FACT: Tom Cruise in *War Of The Worlds*.

Lossiemouth in Scotland.

In 1957 a radar station followed a large UFO all over the west of Scotland. A huge flying saucer was seen by dozens of people over Wardle in Lancashire.

In 1960 a tramp who had gone missing from Carlisle, Cumbria five years earlier reappeared claiming he had been on a five-year voyage with aliens.

In 1972 a security guard in Oldham, Greater Manchester

lit with a blue light.

After five minutes it turned on edge showing that it was saucer-shaped with a large dome. Then it shot straight up into the air.

At Tittensor, Staffordshire, in 1977 a cigar-shaped object was spotted. It was about 12 metres long with a small dome on top and was flying about 30 metres above the ground and was in view for about 30 seconds.

In 1979 a pilot flying over Bolton, Lancashire, saw a bright circular object about 12 metres across moving below him.

Minutes later he saw two more moving in the opposite direction at about 250mph.

In 1988 a stranded flying saucer was spotted at the side of the

the UK was the Rendlesham Forest incident.

At the end of 1980 there were a number of UFO reports near Ipswich, Suffolk. It was claimed that a UFO crash-landed in Rendlesham Forest – two miles from the US Woodbridge airbase.


According to investigators, an officer from the airbase claimed to have spoken to the occupants of the craft (they were about three feet in height) who asked for help in repairing the craft.

The aliens managed to fix it themselves and then took off.


Soldiers who took photographs of the aliens and the UFO had their pictures confiscated.

In separate verification of the unnamed officer's account

4/11/05 copyright [redacted] SUFOG
Portsmouth
harbour



[redacted] SUFOG 10-3-06
11:15 10.3.06




over HMS VICTORY - see "marines" photo
copyright [redacted] SUFOG

9-11-05
14+06

[redacted] SUFOG


13-3-06
11:48




FOR YOUR INTEREST?

Tower's UFO mystery

OBJECT FILMED OVER PORTSMOUTH HARBOUR 26/AUG/05 →



ANOTHER UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT - SAME LOCATION - FILMED 9TH SEPT. 05 ENLARGED BELOW.



[redacted] (FISHBURTON) WILL BE SPEAKING, BRIEFLY, ON THESE AND OTHERS ON TUESDAY, 29TH NOVEMBER. ON BBC ONE TV, "SOUTH TODAY" - DURING SIX OCLOCK NEWS. IF YOU BLINK, YOU'LL MISS IT!



❑ By Advertiser reporter

COULD this intriguing image above prove that Portsmouth's newest landmark is creating intergalactic interest?

Sightings of what appears to be a UFO hovering over Portsmouth Harbour were captured on film by UFO spotter Ernie Sears, pictured right.

Ernie, of Southampton UFO Group (SUFOG), believes that the photographs show an alien craft drawn to the tower out of curiosity.

"We have not seen anything quite like it before," said Ernie, 81. "I believe it is a new kind of alien craft with very advanced technology. It seems to be a solid cigar-shaped raft, which is glowing slightly and separated into two sections by mysterious black globes, which is very unusual.

"We can only speculate but I think the Spinnaker may be attracting curiosity from alien life. They want to know why it has been erected and what it can do for them."



SUFOG has recorded five UFO sightings over Portsmouth Harbour in the past two months. It marks a significant rise in the number of south coast sightings reported to the society, which investigates paranormal phenomena including crop circles and ghostly goings-on.

Ernie who spotted his first UFO over Portsmouth Harbour in 1960, added: "We are getting more reports of UFOs recently."


The object remained in the sky for a few minutes before vanishing completely.

THREE SECONDS!


Section 40


[redacted]

60F0C



THE [illegible]
26.8.05










ALMOST THE LATEST!

OLD PORTSMOUTH
15.12.05 - 12.15





Portsmouth Harbour ... 9th November 2005... Above..




Portsmouth Harbour ... 23rd November 2005... Above


More UFO's snapped over Portsmouth...


Yes readers... It appears that George has been photographing more images over Portsmouth Harbour and the naval base. (See last issue of the newsletter and the Southampton Advertiser for the 10th November 05). The remarkable thing is, due to George's poor eye-sigh[redacted] only see 2 or 3 foot in front of himself), he never actually sees the objects in the sky when he is taking the pictures. It is not until he prints them himself from his digital camera that the objects can be seen. Hopefully PRO Ernie Sears will give us a full update on the images and hopefully George will capture more unusual objects over Portsmouth.


Section 40 [redacted]

COPYRIGHT SUFOG











Avebury Truscoe 2004

Search

17 December 2005

ABOUT HAMPSHIRE

UFO Spotting

The Southampton UFO Group have been investigating the unexplained, the mysterious and the potentially 'out of this world' objects spotted in the skies above Hampshire over the past 15 years.



The Southampton UFO Group

Members of the Southampton UFO Group regularly scour the skies looking for any unidentified objects which have no explanation, and just might be alien craft.

Audio and Video links on this page require >

Like this page?

Spotters

Amongst them are veteran spotters Ernie Sears and Davina Reynolds.

Ernie's experiences of unidentified objects go back to 1960 when he was in Gosport and saw an object in daylight above Portsdown Hill: "This object was hovering and shining in the March sun. I just thought it was an airplane but half an hour later I heard the roar of jet engines when two Meteor jets were scrambled towards this object.

The jets got near it and it lazily turned on end and disappeared, and the jets went round in circles. I went to a call box and found the number for Thorney Island, where the jets had come from, and asked what that object was. I was told 'you didn't see any objects and you did not see any jets' - that started my search down various avenues."



Ernie Spears

That wasn't the only time when Ernie's life was changed by forces beyond our understanding: "In 1978 I had three consecutive sightings above my house in Southampton. After the last one I was taken - or abducted - I was taken on a tour of the solar system and was brought back with an entirely changed view of the world."

Davina has also had close encounters: "I think they've been watching us over the years. Sometimes I think they come from another dimension because they 'appear'".

Investigations

Steve Gerard is the founder of the Southampton UFO Group. They research and investigate any reported unusual sightings in the local skies, contact relevant agencies, military, airports, etc and check star charts to find an explanation for objects or lights in the sky: "In 95-6% of cases it turns out to have a rational explanation - if we come up with a dead end, we class it as a UFO - it doesn't necessarily mean it comes from 'out there' as it were, just that we can't explain it."

SEE ALSO

Audio and Video links on this page require

On bbc.co.uk

On the rest of the web

The BBC is not responsible for the content of external websites

Vote

Do you believe aliens have visited Hampshire?

1: Yes (54%)


2: No (46%)

Total votes so far: 54

[illegible]

[illegible]

Also on 96.1FM, 103.8FM and DAB Digital Radio



see? NICK ROPERN'S ..A COVERT AGENDA"

"We've had a rash of reports in the Pompey area with photographs and video footage." says Steve, but military activity or even lights or lazers from nightclubs or entertainment venues can also cause mistaken spottings. "It may not be what people want to hear but people don't know what they are looking at. A lot of time they could be looking at mundane objects, or lights in the sky."

"We do get an upsurge of interest follow a TV programme - sometimes people's minds are led and perceive they've seen something similar to what they've seen on telly."

Out of this World

But what about alien abductions? - "We've had cases of abductions and experiments on people who come back with marks and scratches on themselves - it's fairly rare, but it does happen - not as often as the UFO sightings."

The daily life of a UFO spotter involves spending long hours at night scouring the skies for activity, as Steve explains: "At 3 in the morning at minus four at the top of Cheesefoot Head, you've got to be quite dedicated! It's surprising if you've got a good interest the hours just go by. I've got an interest in the stars and space - we can't be the only life form out there, so just watching the stars, you feel you're somewhere different."

And will we ever get conclusive proof that aliens have visited Hampshire? - Steve is sceptical: "It won't be in my lifetime and, if we did, I'd be surprised. But if we're watching them and they're watching us..."

last updated: 09/12/05

Have Your Say

Do you think aliens have visited Hampshire - or are UFOs merely hoaxes or cases of mistaken identity? Have you any experiences of witnessing UFOs?

Your name:

Your comment:

Send

The BBC reserves the right to edit comments submitted.

Gibster
I have seen cigar shaped things over Sailsbury Plain twice in my youth

Rob
In the mid eighties my wife and I were parked up in kiln lane,Brambridge, about 9pm and dark, when we heard a sound in the distance, it came up from behind us across the fields and stopped directly above us and was incredibly loud. The sound is best described as that which a giant may make, slowly twirling a thick rope over his head. We drove off in a plume of tyre smoke having seen nothing.(not that we looked that hard).

SEE ALSO

About Hampshire
Features

TV & RADIO SPEAKER

ON THE PARANORMAL


Section 40 [redacted] Trained Healer

Healing by Appointment.

Tel Section 40 [redacted]

Section 40 [redacted]

Southampton Section 40


Section 40
[redacted]
8:06 16:28
Section 42
[redacted]
Trunk Cargo Window 1042
(AMR)







Section 40

2·5·06
13:57 [redacted] SUFOG
Refer to "humanoid" photos. same date. time.

WEEKLY NEWS

No. 7858 — 1 January 21, 2006 Price 60p




EXCLUSIVE INTERVIEW

Every day is just like Valentine's for Daniel O'Donnell

WIN

His new album




- Prize Su Doku
- Cartoons
- Uri Geller
- Health
- Films
- Puzzle fun
- Your Stars
- Royals
- Gardening
- TV Vet
- Win Washer Dryers
- Fiction
- Money
- Travel
- Recipes
- Sport

ERNIE TELLS OF HIS CLOSE ENCOUNTER WITH LITTLE BLUE CREATURES ON BOARD UFO



I WAS ABDUCTED BY ALIENS



Terror at sea as pirates opened fire on our cruise ship



WHAT REALLY DID HAPPEN TO KATHY KIRBY? FRIEND TELLS THE INSIDE STORY


Roxanne — soap star, singing star and life-saver!

poor connections between events

LIFE ON OTHER PLANETS?

You'd better believe it!





These mysterious UFO-like objects at Portsmouth Harbour were captured on film by a member of the Southampton UFO Group. But Ernie claims to have been taken aboard a similar alien aircraft.

by Jeremiah Ware

THE truth may be out there, but Ernie Sears still hasn't discovered what that is yet, despite his numerous extra-terrestrial experiences.

He claims to have witnessed a dozen unexplained sightings, an alleged military cover-up, and even openly declares that he's been abducted himself.

The sprightly 81-year-old is also the main spokesman for the Southampton UFO Group, a band of spotters who like to investigate UFO sightings.

Disappeared

When Ernie saw his first UFO, back in 1960, he didn't realise it was going to change his life.

He revealed to *The Weekly News*, "I was an insurance agent and was just doing my rounds in Gosport one cold morning, when I saw a shining object glinting in the sky over the Admiralty Surface Weapons Establishment, which is nearby.

"I thought it was just a plane, so didn't worry about it, but then I heard the sound of approaching air force jets which came flying towards the object.


"As they got closer, it turned away, and just disappeared!

"When I got home, I phoned the air base to find out what the object was, and their reply is something I'll never forget.

"They said, 'Sir, you didn't see any jets and you didn't see any object'.

Ernie added, "The authorities have been denying things like that for years. They like to keep a tight lid on it, mainly because aliens could be a threat to our defences.

"But I wanted to learn more, so I read lots of books on the subject and joined a UFO club."



'Sir, you didn't see any jets and you didn't see any object'

However, it wasn't until 17 years later that Ernie came into contact with extra-terrestrials again.

"I was putting the cats out when I saw a triangle of light floating in the sky," he said.

"It was totally silent, and looked metallic. But, when I turned my head, it simply vanished."

A year later, the sightings came thick and fast, and Ernie had his most-bizarre encounter ever.

"Again, I was out in my garden at night, when this black object came over the top of me," explained Ernie.

"This one had a faint, low humming noise and lights around the edges, then it disappeared over the top of the row of houses.

"It returned the following night but, although I was ready with a camera, none of the pictures came out well.

"But this second time, one of the lights flashed down and hit me. In the next instant, I felt like I was in the solar system, looking past Mars and the other planets.

"I can only remember going to the UFO and back, but not what actually happened onboard.

"I remember seeing lots of little, blue aliens that were quite tubby creatures, and certainly not frightening.

"They took me into the ship which didn't have doors, but was entered through this jelly-like substance. They were being watched over by a grey alien with big eyes and sloping face.

"It felt very real.

Encounter

"I have to accept the fact that I believe I've been abducted. I have a scar on my wrist that I can't remember ever occurring.

"I also remember having a vision of seeing big tanks like test tubes holding hybrid alien/humans that were growing.

"I can only explain these things as I remember them," said Ernie. "I don't know what it all means, and it really doesn't bother me if people don't believe me.

"I DO believe it and, when you have a one-to-one encounter like I have, it's very convincing.

"When it happens, you become more aware of a great, big, awesome situation in advance of us — both spiritually and scientifically.

"As these pictures here show, there's something in the air that's obviously alien."

Ernie Sears conducts illustrated lectures for a fee and can be contacted on 023 804 56000, or www.sufog.freeserve.co.uk

UFO HUNTER CLAIMS THERE'S BEEN A GREAT MILITARY COVER-UP

Wartime airfields now listed

WARTIME airfields linked to the Battle of Britain and the Dambuster raids are to become listed sites, *writes Maggie Wilson.*

This means they're protected from property developers and will be looked after, as they can't be demolished without consent.

The new protection status has been granted to a total of 255 buildings and sites connected to both World Wars, and were identified through a survey of military aviation sites by English Heritage.

The move means that Britain's first-ever airfield containing the World War I hangars at Larkhall, Wiltshire, will become a historic aviation site.

Scampton bomber station, where 617 Squadron of the Dambuster raids was stationed, is also protected.

The few to whom we owe so much will be remembered by the preservation of Battle of Britain fighter stations at Debden, Essex and Dixford, Cambridgeshire.

The 1938 bunker which formed the operations HQ for the Battle of Britain receives Grade 1 status, meaning that it's of special interest. Of the 500,000 listed buildings in England and Wales, only two per cent have achieved this status.

"These sites and the buildings on them are testimony to generations of heroes," said Culture Minister David Lammy. "It's important we remember and learn from the past.

"Hopefully, the protection of these historic sites will help to ensure that."

In a lighter frame of mind

REVELLERS in a town centre are being lulled into good humour on their way home from the bars and clubs with the introduction of mood lighting, *writes Hazel Crossan.*

The North Wales town of Wrexham has introduced the idea to calm people down, in the hope they send them homeward in a more mellow frame of mind when they spill out of the various nightspots in the area in the early hours of the morning.

The low-level lights, set into the pavement, slowly change from blue to green, then to red, all in a 10-minute time frame.


"The whole area has been undergoing a regeneration programme over the last few years, and the lights are really striking when you see them operate alongside our stainless steel street furniture," said Urban Development Manager Isabel Watson.

"You're not really aware of them changing then, suddenly, they're a different colour.

"We've had some very positive feedback from a wide variety of people, including the police."

It's long been accepted that colour can have an effect on mood, and it's hoped that clubbers will wend their way home in a happier frame of mind, reducing aggression and therefore anti-social behaviour, thanks to the innovative lighting.

Beeline to a familiar face



SCIENTISTS have proved that, despite their tiny brains, bees can be trained to recognise faces, *writes Hazel Crossan.*

In a series of experiments, an international team of scientists led by Dr Adrian Dyer of Cambridge University introduced bees to a selection of black-and-white photographs of human faces.

Tiny containers beneath them contained either sugar or bitter quinine.

The bees soon learned to land on the platform under the face where they got the sweet reward — and just to make things more difficult, the photographs were moved around to make sure they were honing in on the photograph, rather than where it was placed on a board.

Once the sugar was removed, the insects still made a beeline for the faces that had rewarded them, and ignored the others.


"The results in this study show that bees are capable of recognising human faces, despite having less than 0.1 per cent of the number of neurons (nerve cells) found in the human brain," said Dr Dyer.

"Further testing showed that bees formed a long-term memory and were still able to recognise the target face two days later."


It's hoped the research could help with conditions like autism where some people have difficulty in recognising faces. +

tsmouth harbour 13 / 06
yright Section 40 SUFOG 13:25

portsmouth harbour SUFOG
copyright Section 40 13:25



4/11/05 copyright Section 40 SUFOG





From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Shipston On Stour
Warwickshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
10 July 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter and newspaper articles regarding the release of the MOD report entitled 'Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region', that I received on the 14 May 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report. I apologise for the long delay in replying.

The Ministry of Defence understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme.

With regard to your comments about the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the DIS and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that it's conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Finally, the details of your UFO sightings have been noted and your letter and copies of the newspaper articles about the study will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40
Shipston On Stour
Warwickshire
Section 40

YOUR REFERENCE: D/DAS/64/3

14/5/06

DEAR Section 40

PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED WITH THIS LETTER, A PHOTOCOPY OF NEWSPAPER ARTICLES, ABOUT A "FOUR YEAR STUDY" OF UFO SIGHTINGS IN BRITAIN OVER 30 YEARS.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THE RESULTS OF YOUR SCIENTISTS OF THE DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE STAFF. MY REASONS FOR THIS IS, I HAVE HAD THREE PERSONNELL EXPERIENCES MYSELF. I DID NOT REPORT THEM AT THE TIME, BECAUSE I DID NOT KNOW WHO TO CONTACT.

MY FIRST SIGHTING HAPPEN SOMETIME IN MID 1978 OR 1979. I WAS ABOUT 14 OR 15 YEARS OLD.

IT ALL STARTED, WHEN I WAS OUTSIDE IN THE GARDEN (ADDRESS TOP OF THIS LETTER). TIME WAS LATE MOURNING TO EARLY AFTERNOON, SUNNY DAY, CLEAR SKY.

I HEARD THE SOUND OF AN AIRCRAFT, ON LOOKING UP, I SAW A SMALL LIGHT AIRCRAFT, FLYING TOWARDS ME. I WATCHED IT FLY OVER THE ROOF OF MY NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOUR'S HOUSE (ON MY LEFT SIDE). I WAS ABOUT TO GO INTO THE HOUSE.

WHEN SUDDENLY, I HAD A STRANGE FEELING TO TURN AROUND AND WALK BACK TO THE SPOT, WHERE I STOOD WHEN THE AIRCRAFT FLEW OVER. FROM BEHIND THE APPLE TREE IN THE GARDEN NEXT DOOR (MY RIGHT SIDE), SUDDENLY APPEARED A SMALL ROUND SILVER BALL. IT MADE NO SOUND AS IT MOVED SLOWLY ACROSS THE SKY. I COULD NOT BELIEVE MY EYES, I TURNED AROUND AND RAN INSIDE TO FETCH MY SMALL TELESCOPE AND TOLD MY 3 BROTHERS. WE RETURNED TO THE SPOT. THE SILVER BALL WAS STILL THERE. I BEGAN TO FOCUS THE TELESCOPE ONTO IT. WHEN IT SUDDENLY SHOT-OFF, AWAY FROM US AT 90°. I WAS THINKING ABOUT REPORTING IT TO THE LOCAL POLICE STATION, BUT DECIDED AGAINST IT, BECAUSE THEY WOULD HAVE LAUGHED AT ME OR SAID I WAS

P.T.O

MAKING IT UP.

I JOINED THE BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION, AND SENT THEM A BRIEF ACCOUNT. AN INVESTIGATOR FROM LEICESTER, Section 40 CAME AND INTERVIEWED ME.

MY SECOND SIGHTING HAPPEN DURING MID 1982 OR 1983, EARLY EVENING. I WAS PLAYING FOOTBALL WITH SOME FRIENDS AT THE SPORTS FIELD ON LONDON ROAD IN SHIPSTON.

I WAS FACING THE RIVER END OF THE FIELD, AND HAPPEN TO LOOK UP. WHEN SUDDENLY, AGAIN FROM BEHIND A TREE. A SMALL RED LIGHT APPEARED. AGAIN NO SOUND, AND WAS MOVING SLOWING ACROSS THE SKY, FOLLOWING THE ROUTE OF THE RIVER. IT WAS IN VIEW FOR ABOUT FIVE MINUTES. THERE WERE ABOUT 4 OR 5 OTHER PEOPLE OUTSIDE THE CLUB HOUSE. I'm NOT SURE IF THEY SPOTTED THE RED LIGHT.

THE FOLLOWING EVENING, WE SAW A SIMILAR OR THE SAME RED LIGHT. AGAIN IT CAME FROM BEHIND THE SAME TREE FOLLOWING THE SAME FLIGHT PATH, UNTIL IT VEERED OFF IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION FROM THE NIGHT BEFORE.

MY FINAL SIGHTING WAS ON THE 5TH MARCH 1996 AT 7.20 P.M. I WAS LIVING WITH MY EX GIRLFRIEND IN Section 40 Section 40 ON THE Section 40 IN SHIPSTON, AT THE TIME (I AM NOW BACK HOME, AT THE ADDRESS OF MY FIRST SIGHTING).

WE WERE WATCHING T.V., WHEN I SUDDENLY HAD ANOTHER STRANGE FEELING, THIS TIME IT WAS TO GO UPSTAIRS, AND LOOK OUT THE FRONT BEDROOM WINDOW. I LOOKED OUT, AND SAW TWO LIGHTS COMING TOWARDS ME. ONE RED, ONE GREEN (I AM NOT SURE IF IT WAS RED ON THE LEFT, GREEN ON THE RIGHT, OR THE OTHER WAY ROUND). I WATCHED THEM COME CLOSER. THEN I GRABBED A PAIR OF BINOCULARS AND RAN BACK DOWNSTAIRS AND INTO THE BACK GARDEN. I CALLED MY EX GIRLFRIEND TO COME AND LOOK. WE WAITED A FEW MINUTES, BUT THE TWO LIGHTS DID NOT APPEAR, INSTEAD WE SAW THREE SMALLER WHITE LIGHTS IN A

P.T.O.

TRIANGLE FORMATION. WE WATCHED THEM MOVE SLOWLY (AGAIN) ACROSS THE SKY, MAKING NO SOUND (AGAIN) I RAN BACK UPSTAIRS, INTO THE BACK BEDROOM. IN TIME TO SEE THEM STOP, TURN LEFT, STOP AGAIN AND GO DOWN INTO SOME TREES.

WE HAD A PET CAT, WHO NORMALLY RUNS OUTSIDE, IF THE DOOR IS OPENED, BUT THIS TIME SHE DID NOT.

I CONTACTED B.U.F.O.R.A. AND INVESTIGATOR Section 40 Section 40 PLACED A SMALL ADVERT IN A LOCAL NEWSPAPER, TO FIND OTHER WITNESSES. I DO NOT KNOW IF ANYONE ELSE CAME FORWARD.

A POLICE SPOKESMAN SAID NO UFO SIGHTINGS WERE REPORTED IN MARCH.

IF YOU WISH, YOU CAN PASS ON MY THREE SIGHTINGS TO THE SCIENTISTS OF THE DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE STAFF. SO THEY MAY KNOW OF AN EXPLANATION FOR THEM.

YOURS SINCERELY

Section 40

[redacted]



Alien visitor.. ET

Boffins to lift lid on UFOs

EXCLUSIVE
By VINCENT MOSS

THE secrets behind UFO sightings in Britain's skies are to be revealed in a report tomorrow.

Experts from Sheffield Hallam University will expose "X-files" the MoD has kept on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) tomorrow in London.

Dr David Clarke and Gary Anthony used the Freedom of Information Act to get the classified document, which reveals the MoD believed UFOs would pose little risk, but might threaten aircraft.

The report says "that UAP exist is indisputable... they clearly can exhibit aerodynamic characteristics beyond those of any known aircraft or missile". But the MoD says it has no evidence to back claims of alien ET-type spaceships from people who spot 100 UFOs a year.

The hot-spots for UFO reports are Loughton in Essex and Devizes, Wilts, where " a big ball of fire in the sky" was spotted.

UFOs? LOAD OF HOT AIR

Flying saucers 'just gas'

By JAMES GLOVER

UFO's are nothing more than big pockets of glowing gas, secret government research claims.

A four-year study by the Defence Intelligence Staff – part of the Ministry of Defence – claims there are no such thing as alien spacecraft and suggests witnesses have fallen victim to tricks of the mind.

DIS scientists examined 30 years of reports of sightings across the UK before concluding glowing "plasmas" of gas were the best explanation.



MYTH: Fake flying saucer

The study says pockets of gas can become electrically charged and start to glow in certain conditions.

Air flows then sculpt the plasmas into aerodynamic shapes which appear to fly at extraordinary speeds.

But the report adds: "The method of formations of the electrically charged plasmas is not fully understood."

UFO groups dismissed the study, completed in 2000 and released under the Freedom of Information Act – saying it did not explain every reported alien sighting.

The British UFO Research Organisation's Robert Rosamond said: "It's just another theory. It may explain some events but sure as hell doesn't cover the whole mystery."

mirrornews@mgn.co.uk

UFOs 'lot of hot air'


A TOP-secret probe into UFOs by the UK's own X Files department has found that flying saucers are just an illusion.

The four-year study examined 30 years of sightings over Britain.

The Defence Intelligence Staff concluded UFOs are atmospheric effects, like ball lightning.

An expert said electrically-charged gas forms into weird shapes that glow like a plasma TV and fly at fantastic speed.

The report, finished in 2000, was so secret it was kept from Government ministers. Details emerged under the Freedom Of Information Act.


DAS
16 MAY 2006

TO

THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE,
F.A.O. [redacted]
DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION 1,
5TH FLOOR, ZONE H,
MAIN BUILDING,
WHITEHALL,
LONDON.
SW1A 2HB.

ENCLOSURE 66 NUMBER

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Bournemouth
Dorset
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
6 July 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter dated 21st June 2006. Your letter has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry for the delay in replying.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


Finally, you may be interested to know that there is already some information about UFOs available for public viewing. MOD files were destroyed for 5 years until 1967 when they were generally preserved for the National Archives. A few have survived before 1967 and these together with records up to 1977 are now available for viewing. The National Archives can be contacted at Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 4DU or telephone, 020 8876 3444. If you have access to the internet, The National Archives have a website containing an on-line catalogue of the records they hold and how to access them. This can be found on the internet at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk. Also, the Ministry of Defence Freedom of Information Scheme also contains documents relating to well known events in Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in

1980 and the final report of the Flying Saucer Working Party in 1951. These can be accessed via the internet at http://www.mod.uk. Further information can also be found in the Publication Scheme Disclosure Log.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40 [redacted]



Section 40 [redacted]

Bournemouth

Dorset

Section 40 [redacted]

21st June 2006

Dear minister

Iam writing to you to ask kindly for some information on ufos. Iam doing a school project about "Are ufos real?". It is about a for and against arguments. Iam doing it for my gcse.s. Iwill be happy to receive any information on ufo.s. What is your view about ufos? do you think they are real?. Thank you for taking your time to read this letter.

Yours faithfully

Section 40 [redacted]



Main building
Whitehall
London
SW~~1A~~ 2hb



Dear Section 40 [redacted]

I am writing with reference to your e.mail back to me - dated 15 June 2006.

You mentioned about the issue of defence in your e.mail. Well, I can assure you that the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time 'picture' of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Defence Region would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling of diversion of air defence aircraft). This applies to any threat to the UK's security from whatever source. I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which substantiate the existence of craft or life forms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

Finally, the MOD does not rely on members of the public to inform us of sightings for defence reasons, we have a strategy in place, (as mentioned above). Therefore, reports are not investigated, and we do not have the remit to investigate aerial phenomena, but we do remain vigilant for anything which might indicate that the integrity of the UK's Air Defence Region may have been compromised.

I hope that puts your mind at rest and is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40 [redacted]

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 15 June 2006 20:41
To: Section 40
Subject: Re: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your response. It happened on the 5th June 2006, at 10:12 PM. It was a ball of light, that looked on fire. It also produced no noise. There were five other reports made to Merseyside police, from Wirral, Huyton and ourselves at Haydock, St.Helens.

We are also open minded, but wanted to put a rational explanation to what we witnessed. We also worried about defence issues, hence why we contated you.

Thank you once again for your assistance.

Section 40

> Dear Sir
>
>
>
> I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying
> object', that you saw above your home, the details of which were
> passed to this Department. This office is the focal point within the
> Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.
>
>
>
> First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence
> examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives
> solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence
> significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United
> Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air
activity.
> Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom
> from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such
> evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each
> sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such
> as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if
> resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function
> of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It
> would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.
>
>
>
> The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying
> saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of
> extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded.
> I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which
> substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.
>

> With regard to your particular observation, what you saw could have
> been due to remnants of a fire that was in a Manchester Warehouse,
> although you haven't given this office a date or time of your
> sighting, to see if the dates match up, but then that is only a
> theory. Also, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO'
> sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO' as you did not forward this
> office in your e.mail, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are
> satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the
> United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.
>
>
>
> Sorry I could not be more helpful.
>
>
>
> Yours sincerely
>
>
>
> Section 40 [redacted]
>
>
>
> Ministry of Defence
>
> Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1
>
> 5th Floor, Zone H
>
> Main Building
>
> Whitehall
>
> London
>
> SW1A 2HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

ENCLOSURE 64 NUMBER

Section 40
Hook
Hampshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
3 July 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on the Das answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Finally, if you have information on a UFO sighting, and would like to inform us of it, please leave the details on our UFO answerphone, as we do not correspond with members of the public by telephone to discuss UFO sightings or other phenomena.


Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 20 June 2006 01:34
To: Section 40
Subject: Re: Request for Information



Thank you for your response Section 40

Section 40

---- "Unwin wrote:
> Dear Section 40
>
>
>
> I am writing concerning your message to the Ministry of Defence about
> information removed from the recently released MOD report entitled
> "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your
> message has been passed to this department because we are the focal
> point within the MOD for correspondence about UFOs (also known as UAP)
> and the department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence
> Staff for the release of this report.
>
>
>
> The report was originally released in response to a Freedom of
> Information request from a member of the public. The areas of the
> report which are removed and marked S.26, S.27 and S.40 refer to
> information withheld in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act
> 2000, Section
> 26 (Defence), Section 27 (International Relations) and Section 40
> (Personal Information). For a full explanation of the reason for the
> use of these exemptions please see the covering letter to the
> applicant who made the original request, on the MOD website at:
>
>
>
> http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/DisclosureLog/Sea
> rchDisclosureLog/UapReportLetterTo Section 40 htm
>
>
>
> I hope this is helpful.
>
>
>
> Yours sincerely,
>
>
>
> Section 40
>
>
>
> Section 40
>
> Ministry of Defence
>
> Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
>
> 5th Floor, Zone H, Section 40
>
> Main Building
>
> Whitehall

> LONDON

SW1A 2HB

> e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

> 19th June 2006

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]
Sent: 19 June 2006 16:37
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: Internet-authorised:Request for Information

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your message to the Ministry of Defence about information removed from the recently released MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your message has been passed to this department because we are the focal point within the MOD for correspondence about UFOs (also known as UAP) and the department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff for the release of this report.

The report was originally released in response to a Freedom of Information request from a member of the public. The areas of the report which are removed and marked S.26, S.27 and S.40 refer to information withheld in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000, Section 26 (Defence), Section 27 (International Relations) and Section 40 (Personal Information). For a full explanation of the reason for the use of these exemptions please see the covering letter to the applicant who made the original request, on the MOD website at:

http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/DisclosureLog/SearchDisclosureLog/UapReportLetterTo Section 40 [redacted]

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H, Section 40 [redacted]
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

19th June 2006

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 15 June 2006 10:16
To: Section 40
Cc:
Subject: FW: Official Action Required
Categories: Do Not Log

Section 40 enquiry if you could help with please.
Section 40
Information Delivery Team

From: Section 40
Sent: 15 June 2006 10:12
To: Section 40 Info-SvcsLibrary-Office
Subject: FW: Official Action Required

Section 40

This should be directed to Section 40 in DAS as Section 40 prepared the document for publication.

Thanks

Section 40

From Section 40
Sent: 14 June 2006 15:11
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FW: Official Action Required

From Section 40 **On Behalf Of** Info-SvcsLibrary-Office
Sent: 14 June 2006 15:10
To: Section 40
Subject: Official Action Required

Official Action Required: Enquiry from Public

Section 40 **FOI Team**

The enquiry below has been received via the MOD website.

Could you please confirm that you will send a response on behalf of the Department. A copy of the responding email ('cc') will suffice, and enable us to keep a record of performance in this area.

Please note that the target response time of 15 working days, as per the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for MOD, is **23rd June, 2006.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent in the same timescale.

If you are dealing with a request for recorded information, the request is covered by the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act, and generally requires a response within 20 working days. If the information is not already in the public domain and might need to be withheld, you should consult your FOI focal point, log and track the request using the Access to Information (AIT) toolkit, and comply with the FOI guidance from DG Info (http://defenceintranet.diiweb.r.mil.uk/DefenceIntranet/Admin/RespondToRequestsForInformation/UseTheFOIGuidance/). If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOI request, you should ask

your FOI Focal Point and refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

[redacted]

Many Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 04 June 2006 00:50
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Research Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted
on Sunday, June 4, 2006 at 00:49:51
---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail [redacted]

txtCountry: Australia

txtComments: Hi, I'm just looking at UAP in the UK Air Defence Region report and wondering why there are so many paragraphs with xxxxx's through them and s.26 crossed out completely. Are you hiding something?



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40



Section 40

Reading
Berkshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
13 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on Das answerphone on 13 June 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighing reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

You asked in your message if someone from this office could ring you back. Sorry, but we do not phone members of the public to discuss UFO sightings or other phenomena, as we have the answerphone for information to be left on.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

ENCLOSURE 61 NUMBER

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
13 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on Das answerphone on 13 June 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Finally, if you have information of a sighting, we have the answerphone for messages to be left on, regarding UFOs.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40

Auckland 4
New Zealand

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
13 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter dated 20th May regarding an article in the Auckland Herald. I assume this article referred to the release of a UK Ministry of Defence report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your letter has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report.

First it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to the released report, I can advise you that the MOD understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme .

As for your comments about the content of the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the Defence Intelligence Staff and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there

may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Your sincerely,

Section 40

[redacted]

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

LOW FLYING UFOS.

DAS
102No
- 1 JUN 2006
FILE

FILE
- 1 JUN 2006
102No
DAS

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P+P.

TO Ref No 3875./2006

CC.

Date 31.5.06.

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

to the Ministry of Defence
Whitehall
LONDON

From Section 40
Auckland 4
New Zealand.

Dear Sir/Madam. re Flying Saucers Controversy.

I noted in our Auckland Herald recently. As no new evidence has come to pass on the above subject. The subject will not be pursued further.

The writer Section 40 and his close friend absolutely dispute your findings.

I enclose for your reading a sequence of events,that happened years ago,but are crystal clear in the minds of the above.Unfortunately the Lawyer who knew of the happening.Who became a High Court Judge in N.Z. could verify part of the extraordinary occurence,is no longer with us.

I enclose a small part of my record which 1 placed in my book.
The part known by the Judge. [The Contract to build a new Complex.]

CIVILIAN-SOLDIER-CIVILIAN.

Yes I am a W.W.2 --2nd N.Z.E.F. veteran,North Africa-Italy campaigns under Montgomery.

I will not say any more.As you may not be interested. Also the the person whom the Flying saucer occupants aimed their [we want you] is still very shocked over the whole [two episodes] is loath to speak about it.

Yours faithfully

Section 40

Section 40

20th May. 2006.

Introduction to this true story.
On my way home after visiting Staff at a Country Site.A lot of chaps on country work.Because they were having marital problems enjoyed the life.The wife and children received a cash amount each week. The rest was banked in the workers name.The idea, my secretaries,was a winner for them both.

Rang from Wanstead Pub.I was on my way back.So as I am late ,just lock up and go home.I cant she said A call from a lawyer for an earlier start on a contract. Documents required on the morrow.I will wait until you arrive. Monday by 10 o,clock.
I arrived back and brought some eats for us both. What an upset secretary greeted me.Threw her arms around me.[I like this I thought.] What is wrong ?
A white light came past the window a while ago.I looked out but could see nothing.But it set me on edge.Not much work had been done.
After a bit more consoling.I think we should have a bite to eat.You have had a long day.

Page One.
U.unidentified. F.flying. O objects.
==========

This true story,has never been told.But could be if the genuine enquiries are $---------confidential.
In this story no year or month date is mentioned.
But the month date year of the U.F.O.is clearly etched.
Like all businesses,work is the lifeblood.The firms Secretary said she would stay late to complete a job contract.[As we were the successful contractor.]
It was a beautiful clear sky outside.Time sneaks along as you are working.A break,for a coffee.
Suddenly a bright light swept past the window of the office.What was that ?? Again it swept past.The white light was anchored on the secretary.Then it was away again.It made two or three sweeps and had a task to capture the secretary.
It was obvious they had missed out,so it disappeared into the night sky.
It took me a long time to console and bed down the Secretary.My Dr.came and attended to the Secretary. Assumed she was overworked and tired out.


Foreman arrived early next day.What a night.The Secretary answered the buzzer in some my night. attire.[Had to pass the House to go to the office.] As quick as wildfire.The episode was known in the Office,Joinery Shop and outside.By Monday.The remarks.Enjoy the company Boss.About time too. I told the Secretary to take a week off.The office girl was really [a young thing.]thinking of all sorts of ways to find out what had been going on. It never did die down with the staff. Even received. invites for the two of us..No complaints from us two Never ever has that episode been spoken about.But it was real. Later in the next year [A successful contract.]All the papers had to be ready for signing the following week. So the Secretary agreed to work late again. Completed the work.Lets have a coffee.Opened the Drapes.A glorious clear starless sky.Then as quick as a flash.A Blinding white light as like last year.


The secretary was transfixed. Orange and Red lights flashed around the circular sphere.
It was possible for me to see the identity of the figures inside. The light [white] grew stronger. It was possible to see a ladder ready to push down. [Believe me it was scary] They were determined to get her.
So I shut the Drapes. The light became more intense.

They gave up [all over in ten minutes] Opened the drapes again. To see the saucer climbing into the night sky. [This phenomenon. Like a mirage, only real]

The writer and Secretary will never forget. It is well and truly etched in our minds for ever.

We lived in an open space of over 200 acres. [clear]
It was at the same time each visit. [Deadly Earnest]
After building scores of homes in that particular area.
The visits never reappeared. The makeup of the saucer was so clear. [see Photo.] similar.





U.F.O,s Flying Saucers, and the mysterious contract coincidences

The contract wording had been completed.The letter from the Clients Lawyer.Come in on a date next week.Client will be there,to complete the signing and go ahead with the work. It was good for the company. As I was on the committee that insisted that to tender on the same basis.[Quantity schedules were to be used by all contractors/The job was over a certain figure. Page 50 Civilian-Soldier-Civilian.

Arrived at the Lawyers.No sign of the client.To this Day.He has never been found,only his car in a remote area,intact,but cleaned out. [How did it get there?/ His Business was kept going for 6 years,[a profitable] one.Even his closest friends.[no knowledge/Mystery. still.

It would have been a breakthrough for the Firm and the Subbies.
I am a returned soldier who is not easily left.What if.
But that Flying Saucer was less than 10 feet from the office window---and us.
No.[local gossip was not silenced.]
Another visitor,same procedure as before.Redfern is still chatting up the Secretary.
Of course when I offered her a fortnight off.She would not take it.
Nothing wrong with me.The junior was told to wipe the smile of her face.and told.[you can guess forever]
But as you cant control yourself.Take this down town to the Lawyer.
Was in the car and off. We enjoyed the invitations as secrets cant be kept in the small town.So they thought.
We still keep in contact.The images of the U.F.O and the Flying Saucer will never go away.Open the Drapes at night.Automatically survey the Night sky.

A Report card.

Date. twice in a year.[date withheld.]

Area. Local .clear area except for own place.

Time 2200 hrs to 2400 hrs

Location North Island New Zealand.

Observation.Two Persons.-Visual.-Close encounter.


Civilian [not a hoax]Not an aircraft.nor Balloon.

Only one object each time.[The secretary does not have those sort of dreams nor myself.

Conclusion. What ever it was.[Amazing-Rewarding.]



"STAY CALM, DR. CONDON--JUST TELL THEM YOU DON'T BELIEVE IN THEM!"







From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40

Bradford
West Yorkshire
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
13 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter in response to my letter of 17th May 2006.

The "basic package" you describe is not distributed by the Ministry of Defence. As described in my previous letter, once we have established that there is no evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity, we do not attempt to positively identify what was seen. We do not therefore have records of "identified" and "unidentified" sighting reports. You also say that in 1995 there were 142 sightings reported. The MOD received 373 sighting reports that year, so clearly this information did not come from the MOD.

You also mention frequent reports of commercial and military aircraft being "buzzed" by UFOs as reported in Paranormal Magazine. The MOD receives only a few such report each year and there is no evidence that these are becoming more frequent. Any reports from pilots or aircrew (civilian or military) are referred to air defence experts within the department, but none have revealed any evidence of a threat to the integrity of the UK's airspace.

You asked why the MOD has a department that "investigates" individual UFO sighting reports from the public, if funds cannot be justified to research the potential threat posed by extraterrestrial craft. It may be helpful if I clarify that the MOD does not "investigate" UFO sightings. The integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted further examination and none revealed any evidence of a threat.

With regard to your remarks about surveillance of internet sites, I can inform you that the MOD has no interest in sites viewed by those with an interest in UFOs and does not carry out any such activity.

Finally, you comment about MOD files being incomplete and inaccurate because we have been unable to supply information relating to "the greys" or the "Mihame". It is not a question of accuracy. The MOD know of no evidence that substantiates the existence of such phenomena. The only relevant records we might hold is if members of the public have written to the MOD about these. We currently hold UFO sighting reports and correspondence records spanning a 25 year period. Clearly, if we were to search all these records the costs would quickly exceed the permitted £600 limit set for compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and as provided by Section 12 of the Act, the Ministry of Defence is not obliged to carry out such an exercise.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Harley Draconis Enterprises

18 MAY 2006

FILE

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your letter dated 17th May (your reference 11-05-2006-085309-004) in which you claimed to have no knowledge of a "basic package".
The basic package usually contains the past 20 years worth of UFO sightings, i.e. 1995 had 142 sightings reported 100 identified and 42 unidentified. It also contained an outline of the British Isles with the previous years unexplained sightings marked with crosses.

Potential threat from UFO's does appear to be more and more frequent with quite scary reports coming out each month with commercial aircraft being "buzzed" by said UFO's. It has been acknowledged that the military have their craft "buzzed" by seemingly alien craft but now these unknown craft have entered our airspace to make themselves known to the general public. Last months Paranormal Magazine features details on this phenomenon and provides details of how to obtain evidence from a researcher.

If public funds cannot be justifiably placed into properly researching the potentially real threat posed by allegedly extraterrestrial craft then why does the MoD have a department that "investigates" individual reports from the public.

Unfortunately I do not have access to the internet at the moment so cannot retrieve the relevant files that I am looking for, including the specific report I asked for but thank you for the details, they are very helpful! I ask you this though, with the internet originally being a military form of communication how can we be sure that your superiors are not monitoring what people look up, each site could transmit a signal that identifies a user to those who are "above the law" and they can then act by manipulating the relevant government department to destroy the soul of the user who just wants to know the truth.

The Future Is Already Here

Section 40

Section 40 Bradford
West Yorkshire

Section 40

Phone: Section 40

Harley Draconis Enterprises

The Text enclosed in my previous mailing is used by the ancients and I am sure if your files were complete and accurate you would find many samples or even a translation sheet. The Mihame are a race more commonly known as "the Greys" and once again you may need to update your files or ask the right questions. If you do choose to do some research of your own, all I ask is that you are careful and make sure you keep yourself safe.

Thank You for your response.

May you travel with silver footprints. Allubei.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]


The Future Is Already Here

Section 40 [redacted]

Section 40 [redacted]
Bradford
West Yorkshire

Section 40 [redacted]

Phone: Section 40 [redacted]



Section 40 [redacted]

Directorate of Air Staff - F.O.I.A.
Ministry of Defence
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building
Whitehall,
London
SW1A 2HB


Section 40
Bradford
W Yorkshire
Section 40



From Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Brighton UFO
Section 40
Hove
East Sussex
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
13th June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter of 24th May addressed to Section 40 regarding UFOs. This department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFO matters and I am Section 40 successor.

It may assist you if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. Any reports of 'UFOs' the MOD receives are examined solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

If you would like to see some of the information on UFOs released by the MOD please look at the Freedom of Information pages of the MOD website at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation. There are also MOD UFO files from the 1950s, 1960s and early 1970s open for viewing at The National Archives, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey. Details of how to access these records and an online catalogue can be found on The National Archives website at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

24 MAY 06

Brighton UFOs ESP

DAS
No
25 MAY
FILE

Section 40

Section 40

Dear Section 40

HOVE
East Sussex

Section 40

I pick up your address
address in a old UFOs magazine
as our group is forming since 2005
we need good contact address for
our future plans

Please can you confirm that you still
have your service a SAE enclosed

Thank you

Yours sincerely

Section 40



Section 40 [redacted]

Official UFO reporting desk)
Main Building
Whitehall,
LONDON
SW1A-2HB

24th May 06

0171 218 2140

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

ENCLOSURE NUMBER 57

Section 40
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
13 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your recent letter and enclosed book that I have just received.

You will know our policy on UFOs due to previous correspondence.

Your comments have been noted and both your letter and book have been placed on our files.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF
- FREEDOM OF INFORMATION .1.
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE.
5TH FLOOR, ZONE H, MAIN BUILDING.
WHITEHALL,
LONDON SW1A 2HB.

Section 40

LONDON

Section 40

action for blind people

Dear Section 40

Please find enclosed small blue book of possible Alien beings, some of these are extracts from books, others are whats in my mind.

Its Possible Aliens have weapons of Mass destruction??? which I put on a separate piece of paper.

Thanking you for your Kind attention.

Yours Faithfully

Section 40

Section 40

P.S.

Its possible that some items in the blue book were put in my mind by souls or spirits by telepathy.

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENCIAL.



DAS
102No
12 JUN 2006
FILE

To Section 40 [redacted]

DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5TH FLOOR, ZONE H, MAIN BUILDING,

WHITEHALL,

LONDON SW1A 2HB.

Section 40
LONDON
Section 40

RNID

Section 40

DEEP SPACE TELEPATH.

M 82. N.G.C. 3034.

THE EXPLODING GALAXY.

THE EXPLOSION TEARING THE HEART OUT OF THE M 82 GALAXY WAS THE FIRST DISASTER OF ITS KIND TO BE DISCOVERED. THE EVENT OF UNIMAGINABLE VIOLENCE WHICH BEGAN 2 MILLION YEARS AGO AND IS STILL GIVING OFF MORE INFRARED RADIATION THAN THAN WE RECEIVE FROM THE REST OF THE MILKY WAY. THE TENDRILS OF GAS ARE EXPANDING AT 600 MILES (960KM) A SECOND AND ARE NOW ABOUT

1,400 LIGHT YEARS LONG.

THE IDEA OF EXPLODING GALAXIES WAS FIRST PUT FORWARD, ALMOST AS A JOKE, BY SCIENTISTS LOOKING FOR THE ORIGIN OF COSMIC RAYS, THE HIGH-ENERGY PARTICLES THAT COME AT US FROM EVERY DIRECTION IN SPACE.

IT BEGAN TO BE TAKEN MORE SERIOUSLY IN THE 1950's WHEN RADIO SIGNALS WERE FOUND TO BE COMING FROM AREA'S of SKY WHERE NO STARS WERE. IT SEEMED TO BE CONFIRMED =

= WHEN THE FIRST VISIBLE OBJECTS TO BE IDENTIFIED WITH THE SIGNALS, TURNED OUT TO BE SOME OF THE FAINTEST AND MOST REMOTE GALAXIES. BECAUSE THE SIGNALS CAME FROM SUCH A GREAT DISTANCE, THE ORIGINAL SOURCE, LIKE THAT OF COSMIC RAYS, MUST HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY POWERFUL.

THE ONLY PROCESS KNOWN TO PHYSICS THAT COULD EXPLAIN THEM WAS SYNCHRONTRON RADIATION, AN EFFECT DISCOVERED IN ATOM SMASHING MACHINES WHEN

ELECTRONS WERE ACCELERATED TO ALMOST THE SPEED OF LIGHT IN STRONG MAGNETIC FIELDS.

BUT THE ENERGY REQUIRED TO PRODUCE SYNCHROTRON RADIATION ON THIS SCALE WAS IMMENSE. THE NUCLEAR FUSION THAT TAKES PLACE IN STARS AND H BOMBS WAS NOT POWERFUL ENOUGH. IN FACT CALCULA-TIONS SHOWED THAT IT WOULD REQUIRE THE EXPLOSION OF AN ENTIRE GALAXY.

THERE WAS STILL NO EVIDENCE OF WHAT WAS

HAPPENING IN THE GALAXIES, BECAUSE THEY WERE 700 MILLION LIGHT YEARS AWAY, AT THE EXTREME LIMIT OF OPTICAL TELESCOPES.

THE BREAKTHROUGH CAME IN 1961 WHEN SIMULAR SIGNALS WERE FOUND TO BE COMING FROM M 82, A NEIGHBORING GALAXY ONLY 13 MILLION LIGHT YEARS AWAY AND WELL WITHIN THE RANGE OF TELESCOPES.

M 82 GALAXY

N.G.C. 3034. M 82 IN URSA MAJOR, IT SHOWS REVEALING SIGNS

OF VIOLENT ACTIVITY, EJECTIONS OF MATTER SEEM TO OCCUR ON BOTH SIDES OF ITS PLANE OF SYMMETRY. ITS VERY STRANGE APPEARANCE MIGHT RESULT FROM A GRAVITATIONAL INTERACTION WITH THE NEIGHBORING GALAXY M 81. WE KNOW OF A DOZEN GALAXIES THAT ARE MORE OR LESS SIMULAR, GROUPED TOGETHER IN (A) THE CATEGORY I-O. ALL CONTAIN A LARGE QUANTITY OF DUST HAVE VERY DISTORTED SHAPES SUGGESTING EJECTIONS OR INTERACTIONS AND NEVER HAVE

BEEN RESOLVED INTO STARS.

THE NEW ASTRONOMY

SECOND EDITION

NIGEL HENBEST & MICHAEL MARTEN.

M 82 GALAXY N.G.C. 3034.

THE GALAXY TO THE NORTH OF THE BEAUTIFUL SPIRAL M 81 HAS PERPLEXED ASTRONOMERS FOR WELL OVER A CENTURY. THROUGH A SMALL TELESCOPE IT IS A BRILLIANT SILVER OF LIGHT. BUT IN 1871 LORD ROSSE'S POWERFULL TELESCOPE REVEALED M 82 AS A MOST EXTRAORDINARY OBJECT x x x CROSSED BY SEVERAL DARK BANDS.

THE OPTICAL IMAGE OF M 82 SHOWN HERE IN FALSE COLOUR (FIG 10.41.) DEMONSTRATES THE PROBLEM OF CLASSIFYING M82, THE DIMMEST PARTS ARE CODED BLUE AND BRIGHTER REGIONS PINK YELLOW RED AND WHITE. THE MIDDLE OF M82 IS BROKEN UP INTO SEVERAL DARK PATCHES. THE SMALL DOTS IN FIG 10.41 ARE FOREGROUND STARS IN THE MILKY WAY. THE GREAT CLASSIFIER OF GALAXIES, EDWIN HUBBLE TOOK M 82 AS THE PROTOTYPE OF "IRREGULAR II" GALAXIES HIS IRREGULAR I CLASS WERE SMALL

GALAXIES WHOSE GAS AND DUST WHERE SCATTERED ROUND IN RATHER A DISORDED WAY. IRREGULAR II GALAXIES WERE THE DUSTBIN OF HIS COLLECTION THOSE HE COULD NOT CLASSIFY NEATLEY AS IRREGULAR I, SPIRAL OR ELIPTICAL. THREE OF THE NEAREST IRREGULAR II GALAXIES LIE CLOSE TO LARGE SPIRALS. N.G.C. 5195, THE COMPANION TO M51. (FIG 10.21 AND N.G.C 3077 AND M 82 IN THE M 81 GROUP (FIG 10.33) THIS LINK WAS NEVER FOLLOWED UP IN HUBBLE'S

LIFETIME, BUT IT IS THE CLUE TO THERE STRANGE APPEARANCE. IN EACH CASE, THE NEIGHBOUR'S GRAVITATIONAL PULL HAS STIRED UP CLOUDS OF DUST THAT MASK THE GALAXY'S TRUE APPEARANCE AND MAKE IT APPEAR 'IRREGULAR'.

THE BLOTCHY APPEARANCE OF M 82 IS HIGHLIGHTED IN FIG 10.45, AN IMAGE AT 'FAR RED' OPTICAL WAVELENGH'S. HERE THE DEEP BLUE CONTOURS DELINEATES THE GALAXY'S FAINTER OUTER EXTENT, WITH REGIONS of INCREASING BRIGHTNESS PALE BLUE. THE SUPERIMPOSED GRAPH

SHOWS THE BRIGHTNESS AS MEASURED FROM ONE END of M 82 TO THE OTHER.

WHILE THE LUMINOSITY of MOST GALAXIES INCREASES STEADILY TOWARDS THE CENTRE, THE GRAPH OF M 82's BRIGHTNESS GOES UP AND DOWN DRAMATICALLY. THESE PATCHES of LIGHT AND DARK ARE PRODUCED SOLELY BY STREAMERS OF DUST - LORD ROSSE'S 'DARK BANDS' - THAT CUT ACCROSS THE FRONT OF THE GALAXY. THE DENSEST BAND of DUST RUNS JUST TO THE LEFT of THE CENTRE,

CAUSING THE BIGGEST DIP IN THE GRAPH. SMALLER DIPS REVEAL AT LEAST NINE OTHER BANDS OF DUST IN PLACES, IT CUTS DOWN THE LIGHT FROM M 82 BY 98 PER CENT.

BUT THIS IS JUST THE BEGINNING OF THE STRANGE PROPERTIES of M 82. Fig 10.42. WAS TAKEN THROUGH A FILTER THAT PASSES NOT ONLY STARLIGHT BUT ALSO RED LIGHT EMITTED BY HOT HYDROGEN. IT IS COLOUR CODED, LIKE Fig 10-41. A THICKER REGION NOW GLOWS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NARROW GALAXY, CENTRED ON TWO

BRIGHT SPOTS THAT PEER OUT LIKE GIANT COSMIC EYES.

A LONGER EXPOSURE THROUGH THE SAME FILTER (Fig 10-43) SHOWS STREAMERS STRETCHING UP AND DOWN, EXTENDING 10,000 LIGHT YEARS FROM THE MAIN BAND OF M 82. THE COLOUR CODING, IS HERE AUGMENTED BY BLACK FOR THE BRILLIANT 'EYES' IN THE CENTRE. IT IS POSSIBLE TO 'SUBTRACT' THE STARLIGHT - AS SEEN IN Fig 10.41. - AND OBSERVE THE DISTRIBUTION OF GLOWING HYDROGEN.

FIG 10.44. IS THE ASTOUNDING RESULT. IT USES THE SAME COLOUR CODING AND IS TO THE SAME SCALE AS THE PREVIOUS THREE IMAGES.

THIS IS A GIANT CLOUD, GLOWING IN HYDROGEN LIGHT, THAT APPEARS HALF AS BIG, AS M 82 ITSELF, YET EXTENDS AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE GALAXY. THE MYSTERY DEEPENED WHEN ASTRONOMERS MEASURED THE POLARIZATION OF THE LIGHT COMING FROM M 82 SOME ASTROPHYSICAL PROCESS CAN

NATURALLY PRODUCE LIGHT THAT VIBRATES IN A SINGLE ORIENTATION. BUT THE LINE EMISSION FROM ATOMS COMES WITH A COMPLETELY RANDON MIX OF ORIENTATIONS, GIVING NO OVERALL POLARAZIATION. NONETHELESS, M 82 SURPRISED ASTRONOMERS ONCE MORE; THE LIGHT FROM THE HYDROGEN - EMITING FILAMENTS IN FIG 10-44 IS POLARIZED.

There is one simple explanation. Light can become polarized when it is reflected; we take advantage of this phenomenon when we use

POLAROID SUNGLASSES TO CUT DOWN THE GLARE OF THE SUNLIT SEA. So M 82 HAS NO GIANT CLOUD OF HOT HYDROGEN. WHAT WE SEE IN (FIG 10.44 IS A GIANT HALO OF TENUOUS DUST PARTICLES, WHICH IS REFLECTING THE HYDROGEN LIGHT FROM A SOURCE HIDDEN IN THE THICK of THE GALAXY. THE SHAPE OF THE FILAMENTS MAY BE SHOWING US SIMPLY THE DIRECTION OF INDIVIDUAL SHAFTS OF ILLUMINATION FROM THE BRIGHT SOURCE, JUST AS SUNLIGHT CAN DRAW BRIGHT

PATTERNS ON A DISTANT LANDSCAPE AS IT STREAMS THROUGH HOLES IN THICK CLOUD. OTHER OBSER-VATIONS CONFIRM THE EXISTANCE OF MATTER AROUND M82 THAT CAN ACT AS A GIANT COSMIC SCREEN. FIG 10-46. SHOWS THE DISTRIBUTION OF DENSE MOLECULAR CLOUDS IN M 82 COLOUR CODED FOR THEIR SPEED TOWARDS US (RED AND YELLOW). THESE DUST-LADEN CLOUDS STRETCH WELL ABOVE AND BELOW THE LINE OF THE GALAXY; OUT TO THE REGION OF THE BRIGHT FILAMENTS.

THE MOTION OF THE CLOUDS IN FIG 10-46. PROVIDES FURTHER EVIDENCE FOR ACTIVITY WITHIN M 82. IF THE GALAXY WERE ROTATING IN A SIMPLE WAY THE VELOCITIES WOULD FORM A SIMPLE PATTERN FROM RED AT ONE END, THROUGH YELLOW AND GREEN TO BLUE AT THE OTHER END. CLEARLY, THAT IS NOT THE CASE. INTERSTELLER MATTER IS MOVING IN OR OUT AT A RATE OF 50 KILOMETERS PER SECOND.

THE SPECTACULAR NATURE OF M 82 IS BRILLIENTLY SUMMED UP

IN FIG 10-47. A COLOUR ENHANCED OPTICAL PHOTOGRAPH, THIS DEEP COMPUTER-PROCESSED VIEW, TAKEN THROUGH A SERIES OF COLOUR FILTERS, EMPHASISES THE NATURAL COLOURS OF EACH REGION OF THE GALAXY AND HIGHLIGHTS LOW CONTRAST DETAILS. (THE BRIGHT RED STREAK AT UPPER RIGHT IS AN ARTEFACT.) THE UNDERLYING GALAXY IS BLUISH AT THE EDGE AND YELLOW IN THE MIDDLE. A HIDDEN SOURCE OF HYDROGEN LIGHT IS ILLUMINATING THE SURROUNDING DUST CLOUD. (OTHERWISE INVISIBLE) IN A

GAUDY DISPLAY.

BUT LOOK MORE CAREFULLY. THE DEEP PINK PATCH OF REFLECTED HYDROGEN LIGHT IS NOT SITTING SYMMETRICALLY ABOUT THE CENTRE OF M 82, BUT SLIGHTLY TO THE RIGHT. FOR MANY YEARS, ASTRONOMERS THOUGHT M 82 WAS RELATED TO 'ACTIVE' GALAXIES, SUCH AS RADIO GALAXIES AND QUASARS WHERE A MASSIVE BLACK HOLE SITS RIGHT AT THE GALAXIES HEART. FIG 10-47 DEMONSTRATES OTHERWISE. M 82'S ENIGMATIC POWERHOUSE DOES NOT

LIE AT ITS HEART; IT IS A DIFFERENT KIND OF ERUPTING GALAXY.

UNVEILED BY INFRARED ASTRONOMY, IN FIG. 10.48, THE UNDERLYING NATURE OF M82 INSTANTLY BECOMES CLEAR. THIS IMAGE WAS OBTAINED AT WAVELENGHS. THAT CUT RIGHT THROUGH THE PALLS OF DUST IN FRONT 'IRREGULAR' GALAXY, COULD HOPE TO BE, THE COLOUR CODING IS FOR WAVELENGH, WHICH EFFECTIVLEY MEANS TEMPARATURE WITH BLUE BEING HOTEST, AND GREEN AND YELLOW

SUCCESSIVLEY COOLER. STARS IN THE GALAXY'S DISC APPEAR BLUE, WHILE THE CENTRE BULGE IS IS WHITE. SHINNING YELLOW AND GREEN ARE TWO HUGE DENSE CLOUDS OF COOL GAS AND DUST, 300 LIGHT YEARS OUT FROM THE CENTRE ON EITHER SIDE OF THE BULGE.

FIG 10-48 PROVES THAT M 82 IS IN FACT A SMALL SPIRAL GALAXY, WITH ONLY ONE TWENTIETH THE MASS OF THE MILKY WAY AND ONE-QUARTER THE DIAMETER. IT IS TILTED ALMOST EDGE ON TO

OUR LINE OF SIGHT, WITH THE TOP (NORTH) RIM NEARER TO US. LONGER-WAVELENGTH INFRARED RADIATION CAN PIN DOWN WHERE THE ACTION IS.

Fig 10-49 SHOWS THE CENTRAL REGION OF M 82, COLOUR CODED FOR INTENSITY, FROM RED FOR FAINT EMMISSION, THROUGH ORANGE AND YELLOW TO WHITE FOR THE BRIGHT-EST PARTS. IT IS MAGNIFIED SO THAT THE DUST CLOUDS SEEN TO EITHER SIDE OF THE CENTRAL BULGE IN Fig 10-48. NOW FILL THE VIEW; AT THIS WAVELENGTH, THE

CLOUDS SHINE BRILLIANTLY WHILE THE BULGE OF STARS BETWEEN THEM IS TOTALLY INVISIBLE. THE EMISSIONS FROM THESE CLOUDS IS SO INTENSE THAT AT MID AND LONG INFRARED WAVELENGTHS M 82 APPEARS TEN TIMES BRIGHTER THAN ITS GIANT NEIGHBOUR M 81. DISPITE ITS DISTANCE, M 82 RANKS EQUAL THIRD IN A LIST OF THE BRIGHTEST INFRARED GALAXIES IN OUR SKIES, ALONG WITH OUR NEIGHBOUR THE HUGE ANDROMEDA GALAXY. (AND AFTER THE TWO MEGELLANIC CLOUDS,

WHICH ARE RIGHT ON OUR GALATIC DOORSTEP)

M 82 EMITS 20 TIMES AS MUCH INFRARED AS LIGHT, A SURE SIGN THAT THE GALAXY IS A HOTBED OF STAR FORMATION. AS A RESULT, IT HAS BECOME THE PROTOTYPE (FOR) OF A NEW KIND OF BEAST IN THE COSMIC MENAG- - ERIE, THE STAR BURST GALAXY. THE INFRARED ASTRONOMICAL SATELITE HAS TRACKED DOWN HUNDREDS OF VERY DISTANT STAR- - BURST GALAXIES, THE MOST EXTREME GENERATING A

THOUSAND TIMES MORE INFRARED RADIATION THAN M 82, COMPARING THE OPTICAL AND INFRARED VIEWS OF M 82, WE FIND THAT THE BRIGHTEST (KIND) CLOUD IN FIG 10-49. (RIGHT OF CENTRE) LIES EXACTLY AT THE APEX OF THE FILAMENTS SHINING WITH HYDROGEN LIGHT (FIG 10.44 AND 10-47) THIS STAR-FORM- - ING CLOUD IS THE POWER- - HOUSE OF THE GALAXY'S STRANGE ACTIVITY.

IN ITS CENTRE, HIDDEN FROM OPTICAL VIEW BY THE THICK DUST

A MASSIVE CLUSTER OF HALF A MILLION HOT YOUNG STARS IS HEATING UP ITS SURROUNDING GAS SO THAT THE HYDROGEN SHINES A (BILLION) BRILLIANT RED. THIS LIGHT IS STREAMING OUT THROUGH PATCHES IN THE DARK CLOUDS AROUND, AND LIGHTING UP A SCREEN OF DUST IN THE GALAXIES HALO.

IRRI-SHELLE-AKE. (SPACE CITY)

THIS ENORMUS INTERSTELLAR CITY WAS ONE OF A FLEET OF SUCH CITY/BATTLE STARS, THAT ARRIVED FROM INTERGALACTIC SPACE POSSIBLY FROM M82 IRREGULAR GALAXY IN URSA-MAJOR. AND WHENT INTO DEEP SPACE ORBIT AROUND PLANET EARTH.

APPROXIMATLY 12 SPACE CITIES. IN ALL.

M82 EXPLODED IN THE REMOTE PAST CAUSING THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE TO TAKE UP NOMADIC LIFE. THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE ARE CREATURES ABOUT

7 FT TALL LARGE STOKIE BUILD. USUALLY GENTLE. WEIGHING ABOUT 20 STONE. THEY HAVE SMALLER CRAFT, CALLED MODULAR ATTACK CRAFT. HOLDING AT LEAST 50 IRRI-SHELLI-AKE, IN EACH ONE. NASA SPACE SHUTTLE WAS SNOT AT TO STOP THEM FROM COMING TO CLOSE. THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO BECOME INVISIBLE. THE ALIENS ALSO HAVE THE ABILITY TO BECOME INVISIBLY. THEY USE A CLOAKING DEVICE ON THERE MODULAR ATTACK CRAFT.

THE LIKELIEST EXPLANATION.

FOR THERE NOMADIC EXISTANCE IS THAT THERE ENTIRE GALAXY EXPLODED i.e, M 82 IRREGULAR GALAXY ALSO KNOWN AS NGC 3034 IN THE REMOTE PAST THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE. WERE FORCED TO TAKE UP THERE NOMADIC WAY OF LIFE. THE IRRI-SHELLI--AKE. WERE FORCED OUT OF THERE HOME WORLD OF M 82. IN URSA MAJOR AND CAME TO THE EDGE OF THE MILKY WAY GALAXY AND FOUND PLANET EARTH ON THERE JOURNEY. AND DECIDED TO LAND HERE.

WITH THERE MODULAR ATTACK CRAFT, ON BLACKHEATH AND WOOLWICH COMMON AND POSSIBLY SHORNAM. PICKING UP SAMPLES TO TAKE TO THERE SPACE CITIES. THERE MODULAR CRAFT HAVE A UP AND DOWN MOTION. LIKE A A LIFT.

THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE, LIKE WATER AND ARE FASINATED BY RAIN. THERE NAME OR PART OF IS (TO) IRRI = TO US IT MEANS TO IRRI-GATE. AS IN IRRIGATION. THUS THEY LIKE WATER, THEY SEEM TO GET BY EASIER IN

THE RAIN.

DO NOT DESTROY CRAFT AS IT COULD CAUSE AN ECOLOGICAL DISASTER & RADIATION. POPULATION OF APPROXIMATLY 60 MILLION, MORE LIKELY 100 MILLION.

ALSO THE TEMPARATURE CHANGES WHEN THEY COME DOWN. IT GETS COLDER,?

THE REASON THAT WE DO NOT SEE THEM IS THAT ON THERE HOMEWORLD THERE STAR WAS CONSIDERABLY BRIGHTER. AND THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO VIBRATE, TO BECOME

INVISIBLE. THEY CAME IN
PEACE. THEY POSSIBLY CAME
DOWN (IN AT) FIRST IN AFRICA.
THE MAIN REASON THEY CAME
DOWN ON EARTH WAS TO
COLLECT STOCKS OF WATER
BOTH FRESH AND SALT WATER.
THEY DO NOT LIKE AGGRESSION.
THEY TRIED IN THIS COUNTRY TO
GET ALONG WITH THE POPULATION
AS THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE ARE
NOMADIC. THEY SHOULD BE
CLASSED AS VISITORS. ONCE
THEY GET THERE STOCK OF
WATER THEY WILL LEAVE.

NEAREST STAR TO OUR SUN.

PROXIMA CENTAURI

APPARENT VISUAL MAGNITUDE 11·05.

ABSOLUTE VISUAL MAGNITUDE 15·45.

SPECTRAL TYPE M5.

PROPER MOTION

(arc sec yr^{-1})

3 - 85.

RADIAL VELOCITY

KM S^{-1}

- 16.

DESCRIPTION OF A (PARSECS)

PARSEC IS A UNIT FOR EXPRESSING
DISTANCES TO STARS AND GALAXIES USED
BY PROFFESSIONAL ASTRONOMERS.

THEY ARE TALL BEAR LIKE IN APPEARENCE. ABOUT 7 FT TALL. THERE ARE TWO DIFFERENT SPECIES OF IRRI-SHELLI-AKE. AND THE OTHER, IS IRRIKON.

THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE AND IRRIKONS ARE BEINGS OF MY MIND. THEY SEEM REAL TO ME.

ALIEN SCENERIO.

POSSIBLY CAME FROM 61 CYGNI A TWO STAR SYSTEM

11.1 LIGHT YEARS AWAY

61 CYGNI A TEMP (K) 4,000.

61 CYGNI B TEMP (K) 3,500.

ABSOLUTE VISUAL MAGNITUDE MV 8. 61 CYGNI A.

ABSOLUTE VISUAL MAGNITUDE MV 8.5. 61 CYGNI B.

61 CYGNI SYSTEM HAS A PLANETARY TYPE OBJECT OF EXCEEDINGLY LOW MASS, A MERE 0,008 SOLAR MASS, WHICH IS EIGHT TIMES THE MASS OF JUPITER. HISTORICALLY IMPORTANT OBJECT,

BECAUSE THIS WAS THE FIRST STAR TO HAVE ITS PARALLAX SUCCESSFULLY DETERMINED BY TRIGONOMETRY, SO FOR THE FIRST TIME IT WAS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE A STAR'S DISTANCE FROM THE SUN.

I BELIEVE THAT ONE OF THERE STARS WAS UNSTABLE AND WAS GOING TO GO NOVA, THEY KNEW ABOUT THIS A LONG TIME AGO, AND THEY KNEW THAT THEY HAD TO LEAVE THE PLANET BEFORE IT WHENT NOVA. THEY MADE LARGE TRIANGULAR SPACECRAFT.

THAT POSSIBLY COULD BE PROPELLED BY NEUTRONS EMMITTED BY THE RED NOVA STAR. RADIO WAVES COULD POSSIBLY HAVE BEEN PICKED UP AT GREEN BANK WEST VIRGINIA. BY ASTRONOMER FRANK DRAKE AND ALSO THE RUSSIANS COULD HAVE PICKED UP SIGNALS ALSO. THEY CAME WITH THERE PRECIOUS CARGO SUSPENDED ANIMATION OF THERE ALIEN YOUNG, WHICH WOULD POSSIBLY BE SIMULAR BEINGS OF CLOSE ENCOUNTERS OF THE THIRD KIND FILM.

THERE POSSIBLY COULD BE AN ALIEN RACE IN OUR SOLAR SYSTEM POSSIBY THE MOON TITAN SATALITE OF SATURN. THEY WOULD POSSIBLY HAVE THE MOTHER SHIP WITH ANTENNIA ON. THERE CRAFT WOULD USE GRAVITY AND ANTI-GRAVITY, DEVICE TO PROPELLE THERE CRAFT. THE TITANS WOULD SPEAK IN A GUTTERAL SOUND SIMULAR TO THE SOUND IN TUBULAR BELLS. THE ALIENS FROM 61 CYGNI I CALL EITHER PROCYONS OR ANDROMEDONS WERE TELEPATHIC AND TAUGHT THE

TITANS HOW TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM USING TELEPATHY. THE TITANS AND ANDROMEDONS. WHANTED ONE THING FROM OUR PLANET EARTH AND THAT WAS WATER BOTH SALT AND FREASH WATER. THEY CAME IN PEACE. THEY WHENT TO AFRICA TO GET SUPPLIES BUT WERE ATTACKED BY LOCAL MILITARY AND THE S.A.S. FROM BRITAIN. WHILE EITHER BEFORE THE ATTACK OR AFTER THEY LANDED THERE PRECIOUS CARGO THE YOUNG NEW GENERATION OF BEINGS. THE SITE FOR THIS WAS

DEVILS TOWER IN WYHOMING U.S.A. IT SEEMS LIKE RELIGION GOT IN ITS WAY I BELIEVE THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH COULD NOT CONTEMPLATE LIVING WITH AN ALIEN RACE OF BEINGS EVEN IF THEY CAME IN PEACE. AND POSSIBLY BRITAIN AND AMERICA COULD NOT ACCEPT LIVING WITH A MORE ADVANCED ALIEN BEINGS. THE PROCYONS OR ANDROMEDONS AND ALSO THE TITANS CAME ORIGINALLY IN PEACE.

IF WE DESTROYED THE PRECIOUS CARGO, WHICH POSSIBLY COULD HAVE BEEN OUR FUTURE, THEN ALL (THOUSE) OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH DESTROYING THE PRECIOUS CARGO OR ANY ONE OF THE ALIENS WILL HAVE NO PEACE. I HAVE BEEN SAYING ALIEN PREYERS FOR SOME YEARS NOW. BUT JUST RECENTLY ASKED IN A MIND CONFER - -SATION SIMULAR TO TELEPATHY I ASKED THE ALIENS IF WE

HAD PEACE WITH THEM,
THEY SAID NO PEACE, HERE
AT [redacted] Section 40
LONDON [redacted] Section 40 THEY SAID
NO PEACE TWICE.?. I HAD
THE PAST DAY OR SO A
THOUGHT THAT IF WE DO NOT
DESTROY THE ANDROMEDONS
SPACE CRAFT AT WHITEHEATH
WALES. THEY WILL NOT DESTROY
LONDON WITH THERE WEAPONS
OF MASS DISTRUCTION.?
SIMULAR TO A PAINTING OF
THE WORLD BEING BLOWN
APART FROM A JOHN HARRIS

PAINTING CALLED THE CHAOS
EQUATION. FROM A BOOK CALLED
MASS. I HAVE A MAUVE/PURPLE
BOOK A4 size FULL OF
ALIEN BEINGS, SCENERIO's, PREYERS,
AND CRAFT. BUT NO ONE
SEEMED TO BE INTERESTED.
I HAVE BEEN COMPILING THIS
BOOK SINCE 1990. AND HAVE
MADE NOTES AND LETTERS ABOUT
ALIENS WELL BEFORE THAT.
I HAVE MADE PAINTINGS OF
ALIEN BEINGS AND SPACE CRAFT.
FOR MANY YEARS. ITS POSSIBLE
THERE MAY BE FEDERATED WORLDS

SIMULAR TO US BUT MORE
ADVANCED TO NAME THREE

(1) EPSILON ERIDANI 10.8 L YEARS
(2) EPSILON INDI . 11.3 L·YEARS.
(3) TAU - CETI . 12-2 L·YEARS.

THERE COULD BE TWO
REASONS WHY THESE
FEDERATED WORLDS, THERE
PEOPLE WOULD HAVE TO LEAVE
ONE BECAUSE THERE STAR
WAS DYING.
TWO BECAUSE THERE PLANET
WAS BECOMING UN-INHABITAL.
THE ALIEN SCENERIO.
IS A GREATLY CONDENSED

ARTICLE ON WHAT I HAVE
EXPERIENCED THROUGH MY MIND.
BUT NOT HAVING ANY PROOF
IN FRONT OF ME. HOW PEOPLE
HAVE ACTED, AND ALSO THERE
BEHAVORE LEEDS ME TO
THINK THAT SOME, IF NOT ALL
COULD BE TRUE. THE
SCENERIO THAT IS.?.

SHALANOO =
2 = SHALANAR = 3 HULAH =
4 = TUTRAH. = MEANS
GREETINGS AND RESPECT ALL
IN HIGH PLACES.
TORRO-NOIR- ZARG.
POSSIBLE MEANING ATTACK BLACK
DAY)

IRRI-SHELLI-AKE PREYER.

IRRI-SHELLI-AKE.

TORRO-NOIR-ZARG.

TORRO-NOIR-ZARG.

TORRO-NOIR-ZARG.

PEACE WITH THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE

PEACE WITH THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE

PEACE WITH THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE.

PREYER FOR PEACE.

GIVE US PEACE IN OUR DAYS

FOR US AND THE IRRI-SHELL-

-AKE. EVERLASTING

PEACE

PEACE EVERLASTING.

AMEN AND ALIEN.

IF THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE

HAS AN AIRBOURNE CRAFT

WITH WEAPONS OF MASS

DESTRUCTION. IF IT IS

SHOT DOWN IT COULD

CAUSE AN ECOLOGICAL

DISASTER IN THAT

PARTICULAR COUNTRY.

I.E. HERE,

IT MIGHT DESTROY

THE TOP FISSURES OF

THE CRUST OF THE EARTHS

SURFACE. POSSIBLY 1 MILE

LONG.

ALIEN SPECIES.

PROCYONS. } = P

ANDROMEDONS. } = A

IRRI-SHELLI-AKE.

IRRIKONS.

KAMINOANS. ???

TAU-CETIANS HUMAN LIKE.

I HAVE PUT THE FOLOWING IN ON 23/7/04.

THE PROCYONS AND ANDROMEDONS ARE ONE OF THE SAME. IE. PROCYON ANDROMEDONS.

THEY TRIED TO GIVE WHAT WE CALL SOULS TO US. THEY ARE A PEACEFUL QUETE BEINGS. THEY HAVE SOULS, AND WHEN WE

WHERE READY THEY WOULD GIVE SOULS TO US.

THE P ON ALTERS IN CHURCH ARE POSSIBLY STANDS FOR "PROCYONS" (PROCYON) AND A FOR ANDROMEDONS.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS

SMALL HUMAN LIKE BEINGS

TELEPATHIC.

THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE

DONT LIKE GREEN.

KAMINO.

BEYOND THE OUTER RIM, APPROXIMATLY 12 PARSECS OUTSIDE THE RISHI MAZE, LIES A PLANET THAT DOESN'T EXIST, — AT LEAST IN THE GALAXY STAR ARCHIVES.

THE TOXIC SABERDART THAT KILLS, ORIGINATES FROM KAMINO. A STAR-MAP SHOWS A HOLOGRAM REVEALING AN AREA OF GRAVITATIONAL PULL WITHOUT A PLANET AT ITS CENTRE. IN THE CENTRE IS KAMINO. THE GRAVITATIONAL PULL REVEALS A RADIANT

DARK BLUE WORLD. THE LAST ICE AGE ON KAMINO COVERED HALF THE PLANET IN CONTINENTAL GLACIERS, WHICH MELTED OVER THOUSANDS OF YEARS. AS THE SEA LEVEL ON THE PLANET SWELLED, LAND-BASED SPECIES DIED OUT AND THE KAMINOANS WERE FORCED TO BUILD THEIR SETTLEMENTS ON HIGHER AND HIGHER GROUND. EVENTUALLY, THERE WASN'T ANY GROUND LEFT.

THE CAPITAL CITY IS TIPOCA, THE LARGEST CITY ON KAMINO. TIPOCA IS A TYPICAL KAMINOAN SETTLEMENT, BUILT ON

GIANT STILTS THAT ARE CONSTANTLY BUFFETED BY THE WAVES OF AN ENDLESS OCEAN. AS WITH MANY OTHER KAMINOAN CITIES, THE STILTS THAT SUPPORT TIPOCA ARE BUILT ATOP A FORMER HIGH PLATEAU NOW SUBMERGED IN SOME OF THE PLANET'S MOST SHALLOW WATER.

THE KAMINOAN CLIMATE IS NOT ALWAYS SO STORMY, BUT DURING THE PERIOD KNOWN AS 'THE BEAUTIFUL SEASON' SUCH INHOSPITABLE WEATHER IS COMMON. THE KAMINOANS

ARE A RACE THAT EVOLVED FOR SURVIVAL BOTH IN AND OUT OF THE WATER THAT BOADERED THE LITTLE REMAINING LAND ON THEIR PLANET. THAT GROUND AS DISSAPPEARED, BUT THE KAMINOANS REMAIN AIR-BREATHING LAND ANIMALS PARTLY ADAPTED FOR WATER. KAMINOANS HAVE ELONGATED BONES IN THEIR LONG, THIN NECKS. THE MALES OF THE SPECIES SPORT DISTINCTIVE CRESTS ON THE TOPS OF THEIR HEADS.

THESE KAMINOANS KEEP TO THEMSELVES. THEY ARE CLONERS. THEY ARE CLONERS.

KAMINOANS SPEAK IN SOFT, SONOROUS TONES THAT MAKE THEIR AMORAL ATTITUDE TOWARDS EUGENICS AND THEIR THRIVING CLONING BUSINESS SEEM ALL THE MORE SURPRISING.

THE FUNCTIONAL AESTHETIC OF KAMINOAN CITIES HAS GOT NOTHING TO DO WITH A LACK OF MATERIALS (THE PLANET HAS ABUNDANT RESOURCES BELOW ITS SEA-BED) BUT MORE TO DO WITH THE WAY THE KAMINOANS' OUTLOOK HAS BEEN AFFECTED BY THEIR LONG STRUGGLE AGAINST THE SEA.

MONSTERS AND THEIR OWN INNER PHILOSOPHY, AND HAVE CULTIVATED A SORT OF AUSTERE BEAUTY AS EXHIBITED BY THE EXTERNAL ELEGANCE OF THEIR 'STILT-SUSPENDED SETTLEMENTS. THE CITIES ARE A RARE AND IMPRESSIVE HIGHLIGHT IN A WATERY LANDSCAPE.

THE KAMINOANS' INWARD-THINKING CULTURE HAS ISOLATED THEM FROM THE AFFAIRS OF THE GALAXY, AND THEY ARE NOT EVEN MEMBERS OF THE REPUBLIC. KAMINOAN PRIME MINISTER IS

LAMA SU.

(AIWHAS) THE AIWHAS.

MANY KAMINOANS CHOOSE TO RIDE GIANT AIWHA BIRDS WHEN THEY NEED TO MAKE UNDERSEA AND AIRBORNE JOURNEYS. LIKE THE KAMINOANS AIWHAS CAN BREATHE ABOVE AND BELOW SEA LEVEL. THEY BREAK THE SURFACE OF THE KAMINOAN SEA AND TAKE TO THE SKIES, LEAVING A TRAIL OF CASCADING SEA WATER IN THEIR WAKE. POWERFUL PECTORAL MUSCLES

BEAT SMOOTH, WATERPROOF WINGS, WHILE KAMINOAN PASSENGERS KEEP A FIRM GRIP ON REINS ATTACHED TO THE CREATURES' NECKS.

AIWHOS USE THEIR NATURAL SONAR ABILITIES FOR NAVIGATING UNDERWATER, AND EMIT A HIGH-PITCHED WHISTLING NOISE WHEN AIRBORNE.

TAKEN FROM A BOOK OF STAR WARS ATTACK OF THE CLONES.

[redacted] KAMINOANS.

IRRICON.

THIS ENORMUS SPACE CITY WAS ONE OF A FLEET OF SUCH CITY/BATTLE STARS THAT ARRIVED FROM INTERGALACTIC SPACE, POSSIBLY FROM THE M31 GALAXY, AND WENT INTO DEEP ORBIT ABOUT THE DOUBLE STAR SYSTEM OF CASSIOPEIA. LITTLE CONTACT WAS ESTABLISHED WITH INSECTOIDIAL FEATURES AND LARGE MEMBRANOUS WINGS - BUT A FEW UNWARY FEDERATION VESSELS WERE DISCOURAGED FROM COMING TOO CLOSE. BY HAVING NON-VITAL HULL SECTIONS SHOT AT

(62)

THE IRRIKON VESSELS REMAINED IN FEDERATION SPACE DURING MOST OF THE SECOND EMPIRE, BEFORE MOVING OUT OF THE GALAXY AND OUT OF CONTACT. IT IS ESTIMATED THAT EACH CITY HOUSED MORE THAN TWO MILLION INHABITANTS. THE LIKELIEST EXPLANATION FOR THEIR STATE OF TRAVEL AND EXISTANCE IS THAT THEIR HOME STAR WENT NOVA AND THE ENTIRE IRRIKON-IAN POPULATION WAS FORCED TO TAKE UP A NOMADIC LIFE.

THIS IS TAKEN FROM A BOOK.

SPACE WARS WORLDS AND WEAPONS

(63)

IRRIKON

CONCLUSIONS BY

Section 40 [redacted]

IF THE IRRIKONS WERE A WAR LIKE BEINGS THEY WOULD HAVE DESTROYED THE FEDERATION VESSEL COMPLETLEY. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEY INHABIT PARTS OF THE WORLD SO THEY CAN GET CERTAIN SUPPLIES TO ENABLE THEM TO CONTINUE THERE NOMADIC EXISTANCE. IN PEACE. I CONCLUDE THAT THEY SHOULD BE LEFT IN PEACE AND No AGGRESSION MADE AGAINST THEM.

(64)

(65)

No TO STEALTH.

OR DECEPTION, IN ANY WAY YOU DO NOT GET A TRUE PICTURE. WHAT HAS BEEN WRITTEN IN THIS BOOK SOME OF WHICH IS FACT. SOME OF WHICH HAS COME FROM MY MIND AND SOME FROM A SCI-FICTION BOOK. POSSIBLY MANY ELEMENTS ARE TRUE BUT WOULD HAVE TO BE DISSCUSSED WITH SIMULAR THOUGHTS AND FACTS OF SIMULAR HAPPENINGS OF WHAT I HAVE WRITTEN. WHAT IS TRUE AND WHAT (IS NOT. ~~REALS~~)

66

I WHOULD HAVE LIKED TO
MEET SOMEONE IN AUTHORITY
WITH SIMULAR IDEAS OF PEACE
WITH ANY ALIEN BEINGS. I
WOULD HAVE LIKED TO HEAR
WHAT Section 40 WOULD
MAKE OF MY MAUVE/PURPLE BOOK
I BELIEVE I HAVE BEEN USED
ALL THESE YEARS NOT ONLY
BY Section 40 POSSIBLY
Section 40
BUT POSSIBLY BY THE RUSSIAN
K.G.B. ALSO I THINK Section 40
USES DISGUISES TO GET INFORMA-
-TION HE HAS USED DISGUISES

67

ON ME WHEN I WAS IN HOSPITAL.
A KIND OF STEALTH. I HAVE HAD
A TORTURE FOR MANY YEARS
NOT KNOWING WEATHER THERE
WAS INVISIBLE ALIENS ON
BLACKHEATH AND OXLEASE WOODS.
I HAVE WRITTEN WHAT THE
ALIENS COULD BE LIKE. I
STILL THINK THEY CAME IN PEACE
I HAVE WRITTEN TO THE M.O.D.
THE HOME OFFICE. BOTH WITH
A NEGATIVE RESPONSE. I HAVE
HAD NO INDICATION OF ANY
KIND FROM MY BROTHER Section 40
OR MY SISTER Section 40

ABOUT ANYTHING MYSTERIOUS,
OTHER THAN THEY SEEMED TO
HAVE USED MY MIND TO
RECTIFY THERE CONSCOIOUSNESS
OR MIND. IT IS POSSIBLE THAT
THE IRRI-SHELLI-AKE OR
IRRIKONS. HAVE HELPED US
IN MANY WAYS. IE IN MIND
AND BODY. I HAVE A FEELING
WE HAVE ABUSED THERE TRUST
IN SOME WAY. PEOPLE HAVE
USED MIND CONTROL IN SOME
WAY ON ME THROUGH MOST OF
2003, ON AND OFF.

IRRIKONS.

(IRRI-SHELLI-AKE.)

TRIANGULAR INVISIBLE CRAFT
APPROXIMATLY 60 STORIES HIGH
LANDED ON BLACKHEATH LONDON SE3.
WHERE PRINCE OF WALES ROAD SE3
ST GERMANS PLACE SE3, AND SOUTH
ROW SE3, IS. I CALL IT THE
TRIANGULAR SECTION OF WHERE THE
POND IS BY THE PRINCESS OF WALES
PUB ● IT POSSIBLY LANDED
ON 3 PM SATURDAY 18TH MARCH
YEAR 2000. I SAW WHAT WAS
FOR A SPLIT SECOND AN UNDEFINABLE
IMAGE SOMETHING FAIRLY LARGE.

(70)

IN THE SUMMER OF 2003.
I NOTICED WHAT LOOKED LIKE
AN OVAL AT THE BOTTOM OF
THE HILL FROM WHERE THE
CAFE IS NEAR A SMALL BUILDING
KNOWN AS A RESEVOIR I MADE
A DRAWING OF IT ON SATURDAY
23rd SEPTEMBER 2001. ON
2 LARGE DOORS IT HAD
THAMES WATER NUGENT HOUSE
VASTURN ROAD READING RG1
8 DB. IN CASE OF AN
EMERGENCY PLEASE TELEPHONE
Section 40 (LOCAL CALL)
GIVING SITE INFORMATION ABOVE.

(71)

I MEASURED UP WHAT LOOKS
LIKE AN OVAL SHAPE. WHEN I
SAY OVAL SHAPE IT IS WHERE
THE GRASS SEEMED TO BE
SHORTER THAN SURROUNDING
AREA. AND WHEN I MEASURED
IT UP IT WAS ALMOST A
PERFECT CIRCLE. I MEASURED
IT UP IN SUMMER OF 2003.
I THOUGHT AT THE TIME IT COULD
BE A CLOAKED CRAFT OF SOME
KIND POSSIBLY 6 STORIES HIGH.
I DONT KNOW WHY BUT I WHENT ON
BLACKHEATH COMMON ONE REASON
WAS TO FEED THE SWANS AND CROWS.

I BOUGHT A LOAF OF BREAD AT THE CO-OP [redacted] SHOOTERS HILL ROAD. I WALKED ON THE TRIANGULAR SECTION ON THE 28 JANUARY 2004. AFTER FEEDING THE BIRDS I HAD A FEELING THAT THE CRAFT WAS GOING TO TAKE OFF. I NOTICED THE SEAGULLS SEEMED VERY AGITATED. IT WAS THE SAME DAY AS THE MUTTON ENQUIRY. I CAME BACK TO Section 40 HAD A CUP OF TEA WATCHED SOME T.V. THEN WHENT TO BED BEFORE 4PM. I WAS WOKEN I BELIEVE MY WIFE.

GOT UP AND SAID ITS SNOWING. I BELIEVE SHE WHENT BACK TO BED ABOUT 25 MINS PAST 5 PM. I HEARD A CLAP OF THUNDER AND A FLASH OF LIGHTING. ABOUT 3 OR 4 MINS AFTER THAT I HEARD WHAT SEEMED LIKE AN LOW FLYING AIRCRAFT THERE SEEMED TO BE 4 OR 5 MORE CLAPS OF THUNDER COMING FROM THE TOP OF SHOOTERS HILL. WHEN I GOT UP ABOUT 5-45PM. THE SNOW WAS QUITE THICK ON THE GROUND. SOON AFTER THE FLASH OF LIGHTNING AND CLAP OF THUNDER I HEARD WHAT

SEEMED A LOW FLYING AIRCRAFT.
GOING FROM SE TO SOUTH IT
SEEMED VERY LOW. OLIVE AND I
GOT UP AND MADE SOME TEA.
THE THUNDER AND LIGHTNING LASTED
FOR ABOUT 15 MINUTES. I NOTICED
THAT WHENT OR BEFORE THE SNOW
CAME THE GRASS ON THE TRIANGULAR
SECTION OF BLACKHEATH LOOKED
VERY BROWN, SEEING IT HAS BEEN
VERY WET LATLEY.

AMBASSADOR SPACECRAFT.
PROCYON ANDROMEDONS.
BLACKHEATH SCENARIO.

POSSIBLE LANDING OF
PROCYON ANDROMEDONS ON
BLACKHEATH YEAR 2000 OR
2001 - AD. THE SMALL AMBASSADOR
CRAFT WAS DESTROYED
INTERNALLY BY A STELTH
DEVICE. AND BURIED ON
THE TRI-ANGULAR SECTION
BY THE POND AT PRINCE CHARLES
ROAD. AND SOUTH ROW.
I WONDER WHO ORDERED IT TO
BE BURIED THERE. P.T.O.

CONTINUED

(76)

I AM WRITING THIS ON 29/3/04. I THINK THERE COULD BE A RADIATION LEAK FROM THE BURIED CRAFT. I DONT KNOW. FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS I HAD IN MY MIND THAT THERE COULD BE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS BURIED IN THE POND BY THE PRINCESS OF WALES PUBLIC HOUSE. ALL OF THIS IS WHATS IN MY MIND. THERE IS MORE THAN ONE [redacted] POND ON BLACKHEATH.? OR IT COULD BE THE THIRD POND AREA.

(77)

I WROTE THIS ON 22/4/04.

TELEVISION FOR MARIA.

BEGGINNG OF JANUARY. 2004.

6TH FEBRUARY 2004 START PART TIME JOB AT Section 40 Section 40 FOR Section 40 6 HOURS A WEEK.

Section 40 I USED TO OWN NEEDS REPAIR WORK TO BE DONE NEW KAMSHAFT. PUT IN. I USED A BUS TO GO TO EMBASSY COURT. SOMETHING HAPPENED ON BUS LIKE HYPNOSIS. POSSIBLY. Section 40 LEAVES CAR PARK JOB. I FEEL SOMETHING

78

WROTE THIS ON 22/4/04

HAPPENED NEAR TO THE

BACK OF EMBASSY COURT

NEAR THE LARGE CAR PARK.

I CHANGED MY CAR. GOING TO

GET DRAFT FROM Section 40 BANK

BROMLEY FOR £2,525. ON THE

WAY OUT IN THE "LIFT" SEEMED

A STRANGE FEELING THAT IN MY

MIND I HERD A LOUD VIOCE SAY

IRRIKON. I WALKED OUT OF

THE BANK AND CROSSED OVER

BY THE LIGHTS NEAR A OLD

CAFE WITH BROWN CHAIRS.

I HAD TO CROSS OVER THE

ROAD AGAIN MY MIND SEEMED

79

WROTE THIS ON 22/4/04.

VACANT AND MUDDLED.

I SEEMED TO THINK OF

Section 40 GASS. POSSIBLY

BECAUSE I KNOW MY STEPDAUGHTER

IS CALLED Section 40 HER FULL

NAME IS Section 40

Section 40 I SOMETIMES THINK

OF Section 40 BOYFREIND HE

CALLS HIMSELF Section 40 HIS

OTHER NAME IS Section 40 BUT

HIS REAL NAME IS Section 40

Section 40 POSSIBLY COULD

BE SOME CONNECTION.

[redacted] DANSON PARK,

DANSON STABLES AND SECURICOR

VAN.? WITH EMBASSY COURT.

(80)

WROTE THIS ON 23/4/04.

WHENT TO OXLEASE WOODS ON TOP OF THE HILL BY THE CAFE. THERE WAS A MAN SITTING DOWN HIS DOG CAME (~~WILD~~ OVER TO ME AND I PATTED IT ON ITS BACK, IT WHENT AWAY FROM ME AND SAT ON THE GRASS, IN FRONT OF ITS OWNER WHO HAD A HAT ON. THE MESSAGE POSSIBLY IN MY MIND WAS THAT THE ALIENS WAS LEAVING BUT HAD POSSIBLY LEFT A DEVICE IF ANYTHING HAPPENED ~~TO ME~~ THE DEVICE WHOULD GO OFF.

(81)

WROTE THIS ON 23/4/04.

POSSIBLY COULD BE IRRITON COULD BE A CONNECTION WITH DANSON PARK, EMBASSY COURT, AND WHAT I WROTE ON PAGES 77, 78, 79, 80., THIS IS WHAT'S IN MY MIND.

2 GRAY SACKS NEXT TO MY GARARGE. THE WOMAN WHO OWNS A FAIRLY NEW V.W. BLUE CAR ASKED ME ABOUT THEM I SAID I THOUGHT THEY WAS LEFT FOR HER. SHE SAID IT WAS - NOT. AND MOVED THEM TO THE WALL.

If a Large very large space craft came down in the world near this country. Do not destroy or it will cause an ecological disaster. It possibly comes from the 10TH planet that has been sighted by Astronomers recently. It possibly came to rectify our position so it would cause least damage to our planet. So that it would not collied with (this) there =

= planet.

The possible two Alien spieces i.e.

IRRI-SHELLI-AKE. THESE ARE POSSIBLY NOMADIC POSSIBLY COMING FROM M82.

NEXT IS.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS.

THERE PLANET IS POSSIBLY THE 10TH PLANET. THAT HAS JOINED OUR SOLAR SYSTEM.

THEY BOTH CAME IN PEACE.

DO NOT USE STEALTH

BE STRIGHT WITH THEM

TREAT THEM WITH THE RESPECT THEY DESERVE.

(84) VERY LARGE SPACECRAFT.

LANDING OF A VERY LARGE. TRIANGULAR SPACE CRAFT NEAR THIS COUNTRY, POSSIBLY PROCYON ANDROMEDONS. THEY COME IN PEACE FOR A REASON I BELIEVE IF WE LEAVE THEM ALONE FOR ONE YEAR, THAT THE REMNANT OF THEIR STAR 61 CYGNI THAT WENT SUPER-NOVA THAT PUSHED THEIR PLANET TOWARDS OUR SOLAR SYSTEM. AND IS NOW THE 10TH PLANET OF OUR SOLAR SYSTEM. THEY WHANT THE POSITION OF OUR PLANET TO BE ON THE OTHER

(85)

SIDE OF OUR STAR THE SUN WHEN THE REMNANT OF THE SUPER-NOVA COMES AND HOPEFULLY THE SUN WILL TAKE THE FULL BRUNT OF THE REMNANT IMPACT. RATHER THAN THE EARTH WHICH POSSIBLY COULD BE DESTOYED OR ALL LIVING THINGS BE DESTROYED, IN THE SUPER NOVA REMNANTS PATH. DO NOT DESTROY THE VERY LARGE SPACECRAFT THE SPACE CRAFT WILL NOT CAUSE ANY DISRUPTION TO OUR PLANET AT ALL.

(86)

THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS
WHANT THE SOULS/SPIRITS
OF THE COUGARO FAMILY
THERE AMBASSADORS 1/2/3.
THEIRR (ENVOY) AND ALL
THE MILITARY BEINGS MISSING
SINCE 1980. THE SOULS
THEIRR OFF.
THE SOULS/SPIRITS THEIR
OFF.
RETURNED
TO THE PROYON ANDROMEDONS.

15TH MAY 2004. (87)

VULCAN

COMMUNIQUÉ

IF ANY HARM COMES TO
THEIR ENVOY, AND
Section 40
THEY WILL, "KILL" THE
WOMAN KNOWN AS Section 40
Section 40 END OF COMMUNIQUE

ON THE 19TH MAY 2004 ABOUT 9 AM. I ASKED IF BRITAIN HAS GOT PEACE WITH THE IRRIKONS, WHICH I REPEATED 3 TIMES. THE MESSAGE IN MY MIND SEEMED CLEAR. IT SAID WAR.

I TRIED AGAIN ABOUT 6 MINUTES PAST 3 PM. AND ASKED AS BRITAIN GOT PEACE WITH THE IRRIKONS AND AGAIN IT SAID WAR, WAR, WAR. MORE THAN 3 TIMES.

ON THE 20TH MAY 2004 ABOUT 6 MINUTES PAST 8 PM I ASKED

HAS BRITAIN GOT PEACE WITH THE IRRIKONS WHICH I REPEATED 3 TIMES. THE MESSAGE IN MY MIND WAS THE SAME BUT VERY AGGESSIVE IT SAID WAR.

IT POSSIBLY COULD HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH BLACKFEN, OR DANSON PARK EVEN EMBASSY COURT WELLING. THEIR WAS A BURST WATER MAIN, WHICH CAUSED EXTENSIVE FLOODING IN BLACKFEN.


WHAT DID HAPPEN TO CAUSE THIS.

ALSO ST JOHN THE EVANGELIST CHURCH NR DANSON PARK. THEIR IS ALSO THE SAME NAME CHURCH AT THE STANDARD


BLACKHEATH SE3.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS SYMBOLS ON PAGE 91 ARE SIMULAR SHAPE TO THIER SPACE CRAFT WITCH I CALL TRIANGULAR CRAFT.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS.



PROCYON SYMBOL No 1
ANDROMEDON.



PROCYON ANDROMEDON
SYMBOL No 2.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS FROM 61 CYGNI POSSIBLY? CAME IN PEACE. BINARY STAR SYSTEM. 61 CYGNI A. 61 CYGNI B.

THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS, ARE BEINGS OF HIGH E-S-P. AND INTELIGENCE. THEY LIVE IN DOMES ORGANISED IN LINES ON THE PLANET. I CALL COUGER. APPROXIMATLEY 1 MILLION BEINGS IN EACH DOME. THE PRESIDENT IS CALLED ARGO. TYPE OF SPACE CRAFT "TRIANGULAR." THE TWIN STAR SYSTEM RED RED 11.1 LIGHT YEARS AWAY POSSIBLY DIFFERENT IN SIZE TO ONE ANOTHER. ONE POSSIBLY WHENT NOVA. RED "B". ALSO PROCYON ANDROMEDONS PLANET 8 TIMES

MASS OF JUPITOR. OTHER WORDS PLANETARY SYSTEM 8 TIMES MASS OF (JUMTOR) (JO') JUPITOR. PARTICLES OF THE RED STAR B GOING NOVA EMMITTED PARTICLES OF NUTRONS WHICH HELPED PROPELL THE STAR SHIPS OF THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS. USEING THERE TELEPATHIC MINDS. THEY PLOTTED A COURSE TO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM. USING OUR OUT WARD THOUGHTS AND KNOWING WE HAD ALSO PLOTTED ITS DISTANCE USEING TRIGONOMETRY FOR THE FIRST TIME IT WAS POSSIBLE

TO DETERMINE A STARS DISTANCE FROM THE SUN.

THE AMBRICAN DRAKE SENT SIGNALS OUT TO STAR SYSTEMS WITH POSSIBLE PLANETARY SYSTEMS ROUND THEM. TO NAME TWO, TAU-CETI, AND EPSILON ERIDANI. BUT THE PROJECT WAS DISCONTINUED BECAUSE THE MASSIVE RADIO TELESCOPE AT GREENBANK VIRGINIA DID NOT PICK UP ANY SIGNALS WITCH COULD BE OF INTELLIGENT LIFE. APPARENTLY IN 1964 THE RUSSIANS WERE

ATTEMPTING A SIMULAR EXPERIMENT WITH THEIR RADIO TELESCOPE AND FOUND INTELLIGENT SIGNALS COMEING FROM 61 CYGNI. APPARENTLY THE WEST DISMISSED THIS, BECAUSE THIS WAS A TWO STAR SYSTEM, ALSO KNOWN AS A BINARY.

APPARENTLEY NO MORE WAS PUBLISHED FROM THE U.S.S.R. AND APPARENTLEY THESE PROJECTS WERE DROPPED THUS THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT THERE COULD BE OTHER

(96)

COUNTRIES CARRYING OUT
SIMULAR PROJECTS DURING
THAT PERIOD. I BELIEVE IT
IS POSSIBLE TO HAVE HAD VISITORS
FROM 61 CYGNI. POSSIBLY RECCE.
SPACE CRAFT, GATHERING UP
INFORMATION ABOUT OUR PLANET
AND ALSO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM.
THERE SYMBOL NO 2. LOOKS
LIKE THE JAPANESE CAR COMPANY
SYMBOL MITSUBISHEI AND TAMIYA
PLASTIC KIT COMPANY HAS 2 STARS
ON THE TOP LID OF THE BOX.
I THINK THAT THE PROCYON
ADROMEDONS LANDED ONE OF

(97)

THERE LARGE SPACE
CRAFT NEAR MOUNT FUJI.
IN JAPAN. I THINK THE JAPANESE
HAD A FESTIVALE TO
COMMEMORATE THERE LANDING.
BUT POSSIBLY SOME TERRORIST
ORGANISATION POSSIBLY R.I.A.
OR RELIGIOUS FANATICS.
DESTROYED PART OF IT. OR
MOST OF THEIR CRAFT

ITS POSSIBLE THAT THE PROCYON
ANDROMEDONS WOULD GATHER UP
DATA ON ALL OUR PLANETS IE
MASS SPEED AND DISTANCE FROM

OUR STAR THE SUN. THESE THEY WOULD RELAY BACK TO THERE STARSHIPS WHITCH WOULD BE SLOWER THAN THE RECCE SHIPS. THE POSSIBILITY ONE OR TWO OF THERE LARGE STARSHIPS WOULD BE ON MARS FOR DECONTAMINATION. TO PREPAIR IT TO LAND ON A SUITABLE SITE ON EARTH. THERE COULD BE 3 SUITABLE SITES ALL OF WHICH COULD BE NEAR DENSELY POPULATED AREA. TO AVOID THEM FROM ANY NUCLEAR ATTACKS FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DAY.

ONE POSSIBLY SITE COULD BE BLACKHEATH. WITH THE BECON ON TOP OF CANARY WHARF TOWER BLOCK AND ON THE OTHER SIDE THE FLASHING LIGHT OF CRAYSTAL PALACE. AND IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION THE LONG STRAIT ROMAN ROAD FROM BEXLEYHEATH, WELLING, LEADING TO SHOOTERS HILL AND EVENTUALLY BLACKHEATH. ALSO A WATER TOWER WHITCH CAN BE SEEN FROM BLACKHEATH WHITCH IS AT THE TOP OF SHOOTERS HILL. IF THERE IS LIFE OUT THERE TRYING

TO CONTACT US WE SHOULD START THINKING HOW TO DEAL WITH IT. IF IT DID HAPPEN, THINK (HOW WHO) MUCH IT WOULD AFFECT OUR LIVES AND TRY AND ACT IN A RESPONSIBLE MANNER, FOR EACH OTHER AND ALSO FOR THE ALIENS AS WELL.

THE PROCYON AND ROMEDONS WAERE AT A POINT TO GIVE US A TYPE OF SOUL IN OUR EVOLUTION. WE DID NOT SEE THAT. PERHAPES HIGH OFFICIALS SAW THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS

AS A THREAT TO OUR CIVILIZATION AND USED MY TELEPATHIC POWERS TO LURE THEM INTO THINKING WE WERE THEIR FRIENDS. I WAS DECEIVED ALL THESE YEARS, THINKING GENERALLY THAT WE COULD MAKE PEACE WITH ANY ALIEN RACE, OR BEING. PERHAPS WE DO NOT DESERVE A SOUL OR SPIRIT. PERHAPES THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS WILL NOT GIVE WHAT WE STRIVE AFTER, LIFE AFTER DEATH.?

(102) PERHAPS THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS WILL LEAVE US ALONE IF WE GIVE THEM BACK THEIR SOULS.

THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS WHANT THEIR SOULS AND SPIRITS BACK OF THE COUGARO FAMILY

MR COUGARO.

MADAME COUGARO.

MADAME CLIO. COUGARO.

COLONAL COUGARO.

WHAT WILL THE OUTCOME BE IF THE COUGARO FAMILY DO NOT GET THEIR SOULS AND SPIRITS BACK? IS FLOODING A TAST OF WHATS TO COME. PERHAPES I DONT KNOW.?

HAVE I GOT ONE OF THE SOULS.

(103)

SHEPHERDLEAS WOODS

ELTHAM NORTH.

WHENT TO TAKE SOME PHOTOS. PANARAMIC AND ORDINARY.

GOT TO SHEPHERDLEAS WOODS ABOUT 3-45PM. ON SUNDAY 15 AUGUST 2004.

WHENT THROUGH THE WOODS I SMELT A BON FIRE NEAR BY, OR BURNING SMELL, I GOT TO A CLEARING SAW A MAN WITH A KITE. AT THE BACK OF A BENCH WAS A LARGE SMOLDERING FIRE IT WAS QUITE HOT. BUT NOT DANGEROUS. I TOOK AT LEAST 3 PANARAMIC

PHOTOS OF THE LARGE POND.
I ALSO USED MY PRAKTICA
AUTOMATIC CAMERA. BEFORE I
GOT OUT OF MY NISSAN CAR
THE RADIO WAS PLAYING MUSIC
BY THE IRISH GROUP THE "CORES",
WHEN A DARK HAIRED GIRL
WALKED BY, AT THAT MOMENT
I FELT MY HEAD SINK DOWN.
POSSIBLY A FEW MONTHS AGO
I FELT THERE WAS A VULCAN
SHIP NOT TERRIBLY LARGE.
COME DOWN BY THE MILLENIUM
DOME. POSSIBLY AMBASSADORS.
THEY MOVED ON AND LANDED

NEAR THE CENTRE OF
SHEPHERDLEAS WOODS POSSIBLY
I DONT KNOW WHY THEY MADE
A FALSE LANDING THERE.
PERHAPES IT WAS SABOTAGED
I DONT KNOW WHY WHEN I
SAT DOWN ~~TODAY~~ NEAR THE
POND ON A BENCH. I TRIED TO
GET IN TOUCH WITH POSSIBLE
VULCAN BEINGS. I DONT KNOW IF
IT WAS ME A VOICE SAID THAT
THEY WERE RUNNING OUT OF
OXYGEN, THAT 2 OF THERE CREW
WHERE DEAD AND THAT THERE
WAS ONLY 4 OF THEM LEFT.

THEY THINK THERE SMALL
CRAFT HAS BEEN BURIED
THEY TRIED TO SHUT DOWN
THERE PROPOLSION ENGINE BUT THE
(THERE) ENGINE HAS GOT A
RADIATION LEAK. POSSIBY
LEAKING OUTWARD. I GOT UP
FROM THE BENCH AND WHENT
THROUGH THE WOODS I WHENT
THROUGH A FENCE AND FOUND
A DISUSED TENNIS COURT. I
SAW A TENT ABOUT 200 YDS AWAY
BUT I DID NOT GO TO INVESTIGATE.
I TOOK ONE OR TWO MORE PHOTOS,
OF OXLEAS WOODS, YOU CAN SEE

THE CAFE FROM THERE.
I BELIEVE THE VOICE SAID
THEY WOULD TRY TO
COMMUNICATE WITH THE VULCAN
HIGH COMMAND.
16 AUG 2004 AT THIS
MOMENT I DO NOT KNOW
WHAT TO DO. WHAT
ORGANIZATION TO CONTACT.
WHEN I CONTACT THE
HOME OFFICE I DO NOT GET
ANY REPLY. HARDLY.
IF THEY ARE BURIED THEY
SHOULD BE RECUED. AND
TREATED SYMPATHETICALLY.

SHPHERDLEAS WOODS

ELTHAM NORTH.

ARRIVED AT SHEPHERDLEAS WOODS ABOUT 8-15AM. WALKED THROUGH PART OF THE WOODS UNTIL I CAME TO THE CLEARING WHERE THE POND IS I SAT DOWN ON THE BENCH THAT I SAT DOWN ON, ON SUNDAY. I TRIED TO CONTACT 3 OF THE 4 VULCAN CREW MEMBERS, THE 4TH VULCAN IS INJURED. I TRIED TELEPATHICALLY TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM. WITH ONLY LIMITED SUCCESSE. I DECIDED TO WALK TO THE POND.

THEN I WHENT TO WHERE THE SMALL FIRE WAS BEHIND THE BENCH. AS I THOUGHT AT THE TIME IT WAS PERFECTLY SAFE. THERE SEEMED TO BE OTHER BURN MARKS AROUND. I WALKED INTO THE WOODS I MET A COUPLE WHO HAD A BLACK DOG. I PASSED THE TIME OF DAY WITH THEM. AND STARTED WALKING BACK TO THE CAR. THERE IS A FENCED OFF AREA BEHIND WHICH IS A CLEARING.

(110)

AUG 18 2004.

BACK GARDEN

Section 40 [redacted]

ABOUT 7-40 PM.

WHENT INTO BACK GARDEN

TO TRY TO COMMUNICATE

WITH THE VULCAN CREW. ALMOST

EMEDIATLY THEY SAID THEY SAID

THEY WHERE ALRIGHT, THE 4TH

CREW MEMBER WAS STILL VERY

ILL. THEY SAID THEY WAS ABLE OWING

POSSIBLY TO HEAVY RAIN, BEEN ABLE

TO OPEN ONE OF THERE DOORS AND

LET SOME OXYGEN IN. THEY SAID

THEY HAVE ENOUGH OXYGEN NOW.

(111)

THEY SAID THEY DID NOT

WHANT TO TAKE ME AWAY

FOR GOOD, APPARENTLY THEY

ONLY WHANTED ME TO GO WITH

THEM FOR APPROXIMATLEY 2 WEEKS

TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE

IRRI-SHELLI-AKE, ON BEHALF OF

OUR PEOPLE. AS I AM THE ONLY

PERSON THEY TRUST. THE 3 VULCAN

CREW MEMBERS ASKED ME TO

WRITE IT DOWN FOR THEM. I

SAID I WOULD. I THEN CARRIED

ON TO DO SOME GARDENING. AND

WHENT BACK IN DOORS ABOUT

10 PAST 8 PM.

IRRIKON
IRRI - SHELLI - AKE.

TORRO - NOIK - ZARG.
TORRO - NOIK - ZARG.
TORRO - NOIK - ZARG.

PEACE WITH THE IRRI - SHELLI - AKE.
PEACE WITH THE IRRI - SHELLI - AKE.
PEACE WITH THE IRRI - SHELLI - AKE.

PRAYER FOR PEACE.

GIVE PEACE IN OUR DAYS
FOR US AND THE IRRI - SHELLI - AKE
EVERLASTING
PEACE
PEACE EVERLASTING.
AMEN AND ALIEN.

IRRI - SHELI - AKE.

AND IRRIKON.

POSSIBLY HAVE WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION THAT CAN BE FIRED FROM OUTER SPACE. PERHAPES THEY CAN ALSO CONTROLL THE "WEATHER." THE WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION COULD MAKE A RIDGE MANY MILES LONG AND MANY MILES DEEP. IF THEY DO THIS 3 TIMES IT COULD MAKE THE EARTH FALL APART. BECAUSE OF ITS SPINNING MOTION.

THEY DONT LIKE THE COLOUR GREEN.

IRRIKON OR.

IRRI - SHELI - AKE. LANGUAGE

"GATTI" = MEANS TO DRINK WATER OR TEA.

IRRIGATE = MEANS TO WATER THE AREA.

SHALANOO = SIGN OF RESPECT.

SHALANARR = DEEPER SIGN OF RESPECT.

POSSIBLE LANGUAGE.

FLEE - FOR - WAY = ?

TOO WAH = ?

SHANA WAH - NOW. = ?

TORRO - NOIR - ZARG = ATTACK BLACK DAY

(115)

STAR LANGUAGE	GAELIC EQUIVALENT	ENGLISH TRANSLATION.
OH-JOOKURAH	UA-TUAISCEARTACH	DESCENDANTS OF NORTHERN PEOPLES.
BOHUTUTAHMAW	BEO T-UTAMAIL.	LIVING GROPING.
HULAH	UILE.	ALL.
duWA	dubHACH.	MOURNFUL.
MAHER	MATHAIR.	MOTHER.
Duh	DUBH.	DARK.
OKAHT	OCAID.	OCCASION.
TUTAHT	TUARTHA	FOREBODE.

(116)

STAR LANGUAGE	GAELIC EQUIVALENT.	ENGLISH TRANSLATION.
NUWRLAHAH.	NUAIR LAGACHAR.	WHEN WEAKNESS.
tutrah	t-uACHTARACH.	IN HIGH PLACES.
AW HOE-HOE.	ATHBHEOITE.	REVIVES.
MARKOTO	MAIREACHTALA-	COST OF LIVING.
tutrah	t-uACHTARACH	HIGH.
ETRAH	EATRAMH	INTERVAL.
MEEKOHTUTRAH	MEANCOG t-uACH TARACH.	MISTAKES IN HIGH PLACES.
ETRO	EATRAMH	INTERVAL.

(117)

STAR LANGUAGE	GAELIC EQUIVALENT.	ENGLISH TRANSLATION
INDRA UKREEARIAH	INDEACRACHLACH	INTERVAL.

The translation therefore READS.

"The living decendants of the Northern peoples are groping in universal darkness. Their (MY) mother mourns. A dark occasion forebodes when weakness in high places will revive a high cost of living; an interval of mistakes in high places;

(118)

TRANSLATION CONTINUED.

AN interval fit for distressing events.

ANDROMEDONS.

PROCYON CIVILIZATION.

THE PROCYONS COME FROM A TWIN STAR SYSTEM CALLED 61 CYGNI. BOTH STARS ARE RED. APPROXIMATE DISTANCE FROM EARTH 11.1 LIGHT YEARS, AWAY.

61 CYGNI A TEMP (K) 4,000.

61 CYGNI B TEMP (K) 3,500.

ABSOLUTE VISUAL MAGNITUDE MV 8. 61 CYGNI A.

ABSOLUTE VISUAL MAGNITUDE MV 8.5 61 CYGNI B.

THE 61 CYGNI SYSTEM HAS

A PLANETARY TYPE OBJECT OF EXCEEDINGLY LOW MASS, A MERE 0.008 SOLAR MASS, WHICH IS EIGHT TIMES THE MASS OF JUPITOR. HISTORICALLY IMPORTANT OBJECT, BECAUSE THIS WAS THE FIRST STAR TO HAVE ITS PARALLAX SUCCESSFULLY DETERMINED BY TRIGONOMETRY, SO FOR THE FIRST TIME IT WAS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE A STARS DISTANCE FROM THE SUN.

THE PROCYONS ARE MELOTARY BEINGS WITH HIGH E.S.P. AND INTELLIGENCE.

THE PRESIDENT IS CALLED ARGO. THEY LIVE IN DOMES ORGANIZED IN LINES ON THE PLANET. APPROXIMATLY 1 MILLION BEINGS IN EACH DOME. APPROXIMATE POPULATION UNKNOWN. A PREDOMINATLY SILENT BEINGS. WHICH COMMUNICATE THROUGH TELEPATHY. ONLY MAKING A SOUND WHEN IN DISCOMFORT. OR WISHING TO ALERT MORE THAN ONE BEING. THEY ARE VERY INDUSTIOUS BEINGS, USUALLY HARD WORKING AND DEDICATED TO ONE CAUSE. THERE LIFE SPAN IS IMMENSE 2,000

EARTH YEARS APPROXIMATLY WITH A TYPE OF "SOUL" AFTER DEATH. THEY CARE FOR THEIR DEAD POSITIVLEY NO MOURNING AT ALL.

THEORY

61 CYGNI TWIN STAR SYSTEM RED - RED 11.1 LIGHT YEARS AWAY. POSSIBLEY DIFFERENT IN SIZE TO ONE ANOTHER. ONE POSSIBLEY WHENT NOVA. RED "B" PLANETARY SYSTEM 8 TIMES MASS OF JUPITOR, POSSIBLEY WITH HIGH INTELLIGENT LIFE FORMS WITH ATOMIC PROOF METALS ABEL TO WITHSTAND

ATOM BOMBS AND HYDROGEN BOMBS. KNOWING ONE OF THEIR STARS WAS GOING NOVA. THEY BUILT LARGE TRIANGULAR STAR SHIPS WITH THESE DIFFERENT METALS. STAR SHIPS OF ALL DIFFERENT SIZES. THE PARTICLES OF THE RED STAR "B" GOING NOVA EMMITTED PARTICLES OF NUTRONS WHICH HELPED PROPELL THE STAR SHIPS OF THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS. AWAY FROM THE NOVA STAR. USING THERE TELE-PATHIC MINDS THEY PLOTTED A COURSE TO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM.

USING OUR OUTWARD THOUGHTS AND KNOWING WE HAD ALSO PLOTTED ITS PARRALAX SUCCESSFULY, DETERMINED BY TRIGONOMETRY, SO FOR THE FIRST TIME IT WAS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE A STARS DISTANCE FROM THE SUN. ONCE EMBARKING ON THEIR LONG JOURNY THEY WHERE ABLE TO RECYCLE CERTAIN THINGS, OF IMPORTANCE DRAKE SENT SIGNALS OUT TO STAR SYSTEMS WITH POSSIBLE PLANETARY SYSTEMS ROUND THEM. TO NAME TWO TAU-CETI.

AND EPSILON ERIDANI. BUT THE PROJECT WAS (DROPPED) DISCONTINUED BECAUSE THE MASSIVE RADIO TELESCOPE AT GREENBANK VIRGINIA DID NOT PICK UP ANY SIGNALS (WITCHED) WITCH COULD BE OF INTELLIGENT LIFE. APPARENTLY IN 1964 THE RUSSIANS WERE ATTEMPTING A SIMULAR EXPERIMENT WITH THEIR RADIO TELESCOPE AND FOUND INTELLIGENT SIGNALS COMING FROM 61 CYGNI. APPARENTLEY THE WEST DISMISSED THIS BECAUSE THIS WAS A BINARY, OR TWO-STAR SYSTEM. APPARENTLY NO MORE

WAS PUBLISHED FROM THE U.S.S.R., AND APPARENTLEY THESE PROJECTS WERE ALSO DROPPED?. THUS THERE IS ALSO A POSSIBILITY THAT THEIR COULD BE OTHER COUNTRIES CARRYING OUT SIMULAR PROJECTS DURING THAT PERIOD. I BELIEVE THAT IT IS POSSIBLE TO HAVE HAD VISITORS FROM 61 CYGNI. POSSIBLEY RECCI SPACE CRAFT GATHERING UP INFORMATION ABOUT OUR PLANET AND ALSO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM. DATA ON ALL OUR PLANETS i.e. MASS SPEED

AND DISTANCE FROM OUR STAR
THE SUN. THESE THEY WOULD
RELAY BACK TO THEIR STARSHIPS
WITCH WOULD (RELAY BACK TO)
BE SLOWER THAN THE RECCI
SHIPS. THE POSSIBILITY THAT ONE
OR TWO OF THEIR LARGE
STARSHIPS WOULD BE ON MARS
FOR DECONTAMINATION. TO PREPAIR
IT TO LAND ON A SUITABLE SITE
ON EARTH. THEIR COULD BE 3
SUITABLE SITES ALL OF WHICH
WOULD BE NEAR DENSLEY
POPULATED AREA'S. TO AVOID THEM
FROM ANY NUCLEAR ATTACKS

FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
DAY. ONE POSSIBLE SITE COULD
BE BLACKHEATH. WITH THE RECON
ON TOP OF CANARY WHARF.
TOWER BLOCKS. AND ON THE
OTHER SIDE THE FLASHING LIGHT
OF CRYSTAL PALACE. AND IN
THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION THE
LONG STRAITS ROMAN ROAD
FROM BEXLEYHEATH, WELLING,
LEADING TO SHOOTERS HILL AND
EVENTUALLY BLACKHEATH. ALSO A
WATER TOWER WITCH CAN BE
SEEN FROM BLACKHEATH.
WITCH IS AT THE TOP OF

SHOOTERS HILL. IF THEIR IS LIFE OUT THEIR TRYING TO CONTACT US WE SHOULD START THINKING HOW TO DEAL WITH IT. IF IT DID HAPPEN. THINK HOW MUCH IT WOULD AFFECT OUR LIVES AND TRY AND ACT IN A RESPONSIBLE MANNER. FOR EACH OTHER AND ALSO FOR THE ALIENS AS WELL.

THEORY. CONECTED TO THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS. THEIR PLANET WAS PROPELLED THROUGH SPACE

WHEN ONE OF THEIR RED STARS WHENT NOVA. SENDING OUT OF THEIR SOLAR SYSTEM OF 61 CYGNI. ON A LONG JOURNEY TO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM THE CODE NAME FOR THEIR PLANET. IS COUGAR. THEY HAD GIANT TRIANGULAR STAR SHIPS GUIDING THERE PLANET THROUGH SPACE. THEY CAME IN PEACE, TO RE-ALIGN THEIR PLANET TO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM.

131

132

HELIX NEBULA.

N·G·C· 7293

76 93 12 12 12

8 5 4 62 36 63 63

9 5 2 76 54.

21 89 76 54.

21 89 5 2 6

11 13 36 12

9 7 2 1 5 8.

76 54 3 29 10.

76 21 9 5 4.

12 13 8 9 7 6.

12 19 71 16 8 9.

10 76 13 81 7 5.

85 17 26 9 45 10.

19 11 54 12 7 6.

(133)

HELIX NEBULA.

1 12 7 95 10

81 12 75 7 12

81 95 17 6 71

12 18 75 9 12

81 7 12 32 8

9 10 12 37 8

92 12 17 8 21

19 21 18 12 7

HELIX NEBULA

12 37 9 18 6

50 91 12 18 11

31 19 81 7 5

52 11 18 21 9

5 12 38 25 6

18 95 21 7

(134)

14 12 81 90 7

HELIX NEBULA

91 12 16 84.

27 32 9 11.

28 83 41 12.

9 11 28 17.

46 11

EVERY 4TH NO IS LONGITUDE

FROM ZERO DEGREES.

SOUTH

HELIX NEBULA.

LONGITUDE FROM

ZERO DEGREES SOUTH.

(135)

TO BE USED IN

2006. YEAR DATE

HELIX NEBULA.

N.G.C. 7293.

98.

CONTINUOUS

MESSAGE FROM

HELIX NEBULA UNTIL

2005 END OF DECEMBER

HELIX NEBULA.

MAKING CONTACT

TO HELP US IF WE

HAVE TO TRY TO AVOID OR

DETER NOVA REMNANT IN OUR

(136)

SOLAR SYSTEM.

HELIX NEBULA.

21	6	5	4	13
6	8	9	13	10
7	8	9	13	12
8	7	21	18	32
27	18	31	41	7
6	5	18	12	13

END OF CO-ORDINETS.

HELIX NEBULA.

32	18	22	6
12	72	18	7

137

21 9 18 7

22 6 8 9

21 16 18 21

9 10 11 32

12 6 8 21

HELIX NEBULA.

21 7 8 12


21 8 9 21

7 8 12 9

18 STOP.

HELIX NEBULA.

15/11/2004



OBJECT IN MY MIND

MORNING OF 15/11/04.

138

HELIX NEBULA.

HELIX 000 000

6 ZERO'S

COMES TO EDGE OF SOLAR SYSTEM BEFORE DEPARTING FOR TUA-CETI,

COMES PAST SOLAR SYSTEM.

AROUND 2007.

GOOD LUCK Section 40

HAVE BEEN TRAVELLING OVER 400 LIGHT YEARS.

HELIX NEBULA.

N-G-C. 7293.

(139)

HELIX NEBULA WANTED
DISTANCE FROM SUN
TO PLUTO ON MORNING
OF 19/11/04.
I GAVE DISTANCE OF
PLUTO APPROXIMATLY
7-15 AM ON 19/11/04.
19TH NOV 04.

(140)

HELIX NEBULA.

N - G - C. 7293
IS WHERE A LARGE VESSEL
POSSIBLY COMES FROM.
THE VESSEL IS APPROACHING
THE OUTER SOLAR SYSTEM,
(NOT THE HELIX NEBULA,)
IT POSSIBLY HAS A VERY
LARGE DISC ATTACHTED TO IT, TO
HELP PROTECT OUR PLANET FROM
A NOVA REMNANT POSSIBLY IN
2006.
THE VESSEL WILL
JETISON THE DISC WITH A
ROBOTIC GUIDING SYSTEM.
THROUGH OUR SOLAR SYSTEM.

(141)

TO ENCOUNTER EARTH POSSIBLY LATE 2005 EARLY 2006.

THE DISC WILL PROTECT EARTH FROM ANY IMINANT DISASTERS.

THE VESSEL WILL BE HEADED FOR TAU-CETI.

THE VESSEL IS ENORMUS STRUCTURE. HAVING TO LEAVE ITS HOME WORLD BECAUSE OF THE HELIX NEBULA. IT USES THE HELIX NEBULA N.G.C. 72 93 AS A CODE SIGNAL. THE DISC NEEDS GUIDANCE FROM EARTH. IE. THE RIGHT CO-ORDINATE.

(142)

30/12/04.

TAU-CETI COMMANDER SAID THROUGH TELEPATHY THAT IF HE IS ATTACKED HE WILL DETONATE DEVICE (NEAR LONDON) HE MAY MEAN IT.

THE SPACE SHIP HE HAS GOT IS CALLED CARGO-STARSHIP.

HAS THE HELIX NEBULA GOT ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE DOUBLE HELIX CROP CIRCLE.

ALL THESE WRITINGS WITH MISS SPELLING ON SOME WORDS IS DUE TO ABUSE OF MY MIND BY PEOPLE NEAR OR AROUND ME, ALSO I HAVE HELPED MANY PEOPLE SORT THEIR MINDS OUT. MOST OF THE WRITING IS DEEP SPACE, IN THIS BOOK. IS SOME FACT OR FICTION ALSO MY MIND HAS BEEN MESSED UP BY PRESCRIBED DRUGS. I ONLY USE PRESCRIBED DRUGS.

PROCYON ANDROMEDONS.

LARGE PROCYON ANDRONMEDONS TRIANGULAR SPACE CRAFT LANDED NEAR MOUNT FUJI JAPAN. THEY HAD A CELEBRATION TO GREET THE PROCYON ANDROMEDONS. POSSIBLE RELIGIONS FANATICS OR I-R-A - MINGLED WITH THE CROWD AND PLANTED A DEVICE SOMEWERE INSIDE THE CRAFT. A TIME BOMB. POSSIBLY. WHEN EVERY ONE HAD GONE IT WAS DETONATED. BEFORE THAT HAPPENED. THE JAPANESE GOVERNMENT SENT A MAN & WOMAN TO ENGLAND, POSSIBLY TO THE ROYAL ARTILLERY BARRACKS

145

WOOLWICH LONDON SE18. TO TELL US THAT A SMALL AMBASSADOR CRAFT WAS GOING TO LAND ON BLACKHEATH LONDON SE 3. BLACKHEATH COMMON. THE TWO JAPANESE OFFICIALS WERE INTERIGATED BY THE ARMY OFFICERS. AND I BELIEVE THEY WANTED TO SEE ME [redacted] THEY WERE IMPRISONED FOR MORE THAN 24 HRS. AND THEN RELEASED. I WAS WEARING MY (PEAK CAP) AND OVERCOAT I WAS. WALL IN NAVY BLUE, I STOOD AT THE TOP OF OXLEASE WOODS BY THE

146

CAFE. I SAW WHAT LOOKED LIKE A JAPANESE MAN & WOMAN WALK PAST THE CAFE DOWN THE HILL. AS THEY PASSED THEY LOOKED AT ME IN A STRANGE LOOK. WHEN THE POSSIBLE CRAFT LANDED ON THE HEATH I WAS OUT SICK AT THE TIME. I WANTED TO GO ON THE HEATH BUT [redacted] WAS HIS NAME PERSAUDED ME NOT TO GO TO BLACKHEATH BECAUSE OF MY ILL HEALTH. THE CRAFT POSSIBLY STAYED ABOUT 2 WEEKS BEFORE IT WAS BLOWN UP. EVERYONE IGNORED IT.

SOME OF THE LARGE PARTS WERE TAKEN AWAY. AND SOME WERE BURIED IN ONE OF THE 3 PONDS ON BLACKHEATH. I HAD A SECOND RELAPSE. AND HAD ELEVEN MONTHS OFF SICK FROM THE [redacted] GB. LEWISHAM. SE13. IN YEAR 2000. THIS IS WHATS BEEN IN MY MIND SINCE THEN. THE JAPANESE MAN AND WOMAN, I DONT KNOW THE EXACT DATE WHEN I SAW THEM POSSIBLY LATE 1990's. [redacted] LOOKED A BIT LIKE ME, WHEN I WEAR MY DRIVING GLASSES. HE

HAS A WIFE NAMED [redacted] THEY ORIGINALLY CAME FROM EAST LONDON. [redacted] WAS VERY FRIENDLY WITH [redacted] WHO USED TO LIVE AT [redacted] USED TO GO TO CANADA QUITE A LOT WITH HIS WIFE [redacted] USED TO GO TO GREAT YARMOUTH AT LEAST ONCE A YEAR SOMETIMES MORE. HE SERVED I THINK 30 YEARS WITH THE POST OFFICE. THIS IS WHATS IN MY MIND. IS IT TRUE OR NOT THE FIRST PART.

(149)

(150)

NOT AN ALIEN ATTACK.

DURING THE 1990's OVER SOUTH EAST ENGLAND AND PARTS OF LONDON POSSIBLY NEAR BLACKHEATH SE3. DEVICES WERE PUT IN THE SKY. POSSIBLY "MINI STAR WARS" SYSTEMS TOO CREATE LIGHTNING WITHOUT THUNDER. POSSIBLE CODE USED D. I. N. AS IN "FLASH" USED IN PHOTOGRAGHPY. D. = = GERMANY. I = INDIA OR IRELAND. N = NORTH KOREA. UNDER GUIDANCE OF AMERICA. SATALITE DISHES TO ACTIVATE THE ARIAL FLASHES. ALL TO BLAME ANY ALIEN BEINGS.

(151)

ANOTHER DEVICE BLAMED ON ALIEN BEINGS TYPE OF MINI WIRLPOOL LOOKING LIKE A SMALL BLACKHOLE. IF IN CONTACT GIVES UNBEARABLE PAIN. CAUSING DARK LIKE BURNT AREA ON THE SKIN. AREA SI POSSIBLY MADE THIS WEAPON IN AMERICA. WHAT I CALL BLACK HOLE DEVICE.

THURSDAY APRIL 14TH (152)

2005.

HEARD STRANG SOUND WHEN I WAS IN BED. IT WAS LIKE 3 HEAVY ROTO'S OF A LARGE HELICOPTER I THINK IT WAS AN ALIEN CRAFT THAT WAS IN OR BY THE FRONT OF QUEEN ELIZABETH HOSPITAL. IT HOVERED NEAR THE WATER TOWER SHOOTERS HILL, AND THEN IT HEADED TOWARDS LONDON AND EXPLODED. I THINK IT EXPLODED NEAR KIDBROOKE SE3, BETWEEN 5-PM AND 5-30 PM.

NEAR DANSON PARK
THERE IS A PLACE
CALLED THE RED HOUSE.
POSSIBLY SOMETHING TO DO
WITH WILLIAM MORRIS.
COULD HAVE BEEN USED
FOR SOME SINISTER PURPOSE.
THEIR ARE 2 BUILDINGS
IN THE GROUNDS.

(5)

EMBASSY COURT

ST JOHNS EVANGELIST CHURCH

NEAR BEXLY GRAMMER SCHOOL

DANSON PARK.

COLD FEELING POSSIBLY

TO DO WITH THE IRRIKON

ALIENS?

BURST WATER MAINS AT

BLACKFEN.

DANSON PARK.

DANSON PARK STABLES.

WHAT CAUSED IT. ?

Section 40 [redacted]

Section 40 [redacted]

HAS Section 40 [redacted] GOT ANYTHING

(4)

TO DO WITH Section 40 [redacted]

HAS Section 40 [redacted] GOT

SOMETHING TO DO WITH

EMBASSY COURT OR FLOODING

AT BLACKFEN, I HAVE SEEN

POSSIBLY 3 PEOPLE LOOKING

LIKE Section 40 [redacted] HAS MY

DAUGHTER Section 40 [redacted] AND HER

BOYFRIEND Section 40 [redacted]

GOT SOMETHING TO DO WITH

ALL THIS. I HAVE BEEN USED

MORE AS AN OBJECT THAN A

HUMAN BEING, Section 40 [redacted]

Section 40 [redacted] ALL USING ME

WHAT TO DISCREDIT MY NAME.?

(3)

(2)

ARRIVED AT SHEPHERD LEAS
WOODS AT 9-AM ON
21 AUGUST 2004.
LEFT WOODS AT 9-21AM.

Section 40 [redacted]

NEXT TO WHITE HAIRED
LADY WHERE ALLY WAY Section 40
OFF OF Section 40 [redacted]

(1)

DANSON STABLES.

TAKE PICTURE ON 20/4/04.

3-50PM.

4-15PM TOOK PICTURES OF

THE BACK OF EMBASSY COURT.

ONE PANARAMA AND

CONVENTIONAL. 20/4:04.

ABOVE THE SHOPS.

DANSON STABLES


SAW SECURICOR VAN OUT SIDE

DANSON STABLES. IT LEFT

AFTER ABOUT 15 MIN. I LEFT

SHORTLY AFTERWARDS. AND SAW IT

ALONG DANSON ROAD PARKED.



THE IRRI - SHELLI - AKE

~~WANT THERE LEADER BACK~~

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

THE WORLD BEING BLOWN APART FROM THE CHAOS EQUATION

FROM A BOOK CALLED MASS

BY JOHN HARRIS.

IS THIS FACT OR FICTION


5TH JANUARY 2009.



~~[illegible]~~ CLIO A PROYON FROM 61 CYGNI.




IRRI - SHELLI - AKE LEADER.



IRREGULAR GALAXY

Frame: TH MLR ENV FID 691 07



3 RIDGES LIKE THIS. AND THE WORLD WILL FALL APART. BY ITSELF.

30/12/04.

TAU-CETI COMMANDER

SAID THROUGH TELEPATHY

THAT IF HE IS ATTACKED

HE WILL DETONATE DEVICE

(NEAR LONDON)

HE MAY MEAN IT.

THE SPACE SHIP HE

HAS GOT IS CALLED

CARGO - STARSHIP. K2 102.

LINK.

(DRAKES

FUN FAIR.

WOOLWICH COMMON. SE18.

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

ENCLOSURE NUMBER 56

Section 40
Woolwich UFO Research Group
Section 40
London
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
12 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter dated 18 May and your email of 22 May regarding further comments on the release of the MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region".

I am sorry to hear you seem to have had difficulties navigating the documents on the MOD website. As the report is very large, it has been split in to a number of pdf files to make it easy and quick to download. In order to make the report easy to find, it has been highlighted in the Top Choices section on the Freedom of Information home page, and a direct link has been provided to all the volumes in the Publication Scheme. Links have also been provided between the letter to the applicant who made the original Freedom of Information request which is in the Disclosure Log Top Choices and the documents in the Publication Scheme. You should also be able to navigate effectively between the Volumes.

With regard to your remarks about the use of "spiders", I can assure you that the MOD has simply made these documents available on our website and has no reason to engage in this type of activity.

You also made some comments about the contents of the report and your theory that this report was released as propaganda to "counter the gathering momentum for disclosure". We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs. The circumstances surrounding the release of the report has already been explained in my previous letter. As for the disclosure of information in general, anyone (anywhere in the World) is able to make a Freedom of Information Act request and if the MOD holds relevant information and we are able to disclose it, we will. A great deal of information has already been released under the Freedom of Information Act and prior to the introduction of the Act, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information. In addition there is information open for public viewing at The National Archives, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey. Further details can be found on their website at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Section 40
Woolwich UFO Research Group
Section 40
London
Section 40

Tel: Section 40

Dear Section 40

Firstly for your amusement, I've been sent in a fantastic cartoon (from someone known to both of use who wishes to remain anon). You might have already seen it? As its all over the internet.... After checking my files it is a pastiche on a mid 60's 'Condon Report' cartoon..... pretty ironic. I have sent Nick Pope a copy, but I presume, everyone has already. Poor old Nick, at least he'll see the sunny side of it!

My main point being hopefully our UFO Group's reply to Project Condign will be ready for the next issues of Rapport, Borderland, Suforg etc as the story has generated *a lot of interest both publicly and in groups..... but sadly as you obviously are aware* its just another whitewash.....

In brief my opinion (after over 25 years of research and numerous personal sightings), is that project Condign is an attempt by the UK (MOD & Govt) to create a 'Condon Report' type propaganda in a hopeless effort to counter the gathering momentum for disclosure.

Was Rendlesham (1980) just weather phenomena? I know several of the witnesses..... Was the craft I filmed and many other witnessed there (I have sent your dept some photography) in Dec 2005, likewise weather phenomena? We could clearly see it was a solid 3D object at close range..... we were possible less than 100 feet from it, 3 times that night.

What about other cases with real evidence, there are hundreds that have affected UK airspace. The Belgium 'Triangle Incidents' or November 1989 & March 1990? The third sighting ended with the 'UFO' vanishing approx: a couple of minutes from Britain's South Coast and within our airspace..... Is this of 'no defence *significance?'..... esp: when the Belgium Airforce was sent to intercept it (made* visual contact, but were unable to follow it). I have a copy of the letter by Maj-Gen W. De Brouwer that states the 'UFO' was not classified as 'HOSTILE'..... very strange.... A flying craft that can evade fighter planes across Europe would presume to be classified as HOSTILE? A similar type sighting was witnessed over the Canterbury area (Kent) between 17-20th Sept 1993. I saw this personally on the 20th September and took photographs.

There are too many other cases to mention & now I know the MOD have more than just a 'limited interest in UFO's'. Myself and other have complained about being tailed many times on skywatches (on public land)..... I have been stopped and questioned both by the police and military, often reporting the matter myself. As Nick Pope told me, 'its obvious..... follow the researchers and you'll find the 'UAP's' (should I say UFO's or ET craft).' To be totally honest I'm no longer concerned about the surveillance, I'll gladly throw an open invite to the officers on these 'secret projects' to attend our skywatches..... if these depts want to read my mail, tap my phone in the name of UFO research go ahead.....

Section 40
Woolwich UFO Research Group
Section 40
London
Section 40

Tel: Section 40

Secondly I met up with a member of TORRA (the weather monitoring organisation) last week and he explained to me, that many years ago his organisation was approached by the MOD, firstly not to look into this type of phenomena. Then when it was known they've been doing this research for years, not to publish any of their findings or hand them on to any 3rd party. He's sent me many pictures of such phenomena over the years, which could almost all be scientifically classified as UFO's but are not in any stretch of the imagination ET craft. I am now doing my best to pursued him to go public with these letters to show how the MOD have lied about secret projects into UFO research not existing……

You'll be pleased to know I have turned down an offer from SKY TV to work on a new project called 'Abduct Me', as I told them it was stupid & irrational. And last the BBC, concerning my pictures and story from Rendlesham December 2005. As they have been approached by new witnesses to collaborate my parties facts. I told her I was'nt interested in the money, and why did they only send a radio crew from BBC Suffolk that night and not cameras….. and leave the car park to head back for Ipswich at about 4pm, 'as it was too cold'……

Yours truly,

Section 40

Section 40 Woolwich UFO Group)

18th May '06

STAY CALM, MR POPE... JUST TELL THEM YOU DON'T BELIEVE IN THEM!



Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted] freeserve.co.uk]
Sent: 22 May 2006 15:22
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: re: thanx for the quick reply

Dear Section 40 [redacted]

Thank you for the quick reply; as I am aware you must be snowed under with requests from people like me.

We are actually still going through the report(s).....

but it was pretty hard to navigate the site, (plus all this site doesn't work yet)the .pdf file sizes were very large for the amount of data contained (?)..... plus other people I know who have also visited the site have notice the amount of data being sent from our systems is quite large.... we are suspicious you are using 'spiders'.... I'm not too concerned personally
as this PC is only full of UFO images & reports..... I have nothing to hide..... plus its all safely back up from hackers.


Hope you liked the cartoon of Nick Pope we posted you..... plus some information on TORRA (whom which I had a meeting
with members last week), the weather research organisation.

Thank you for your answers and we will keep you posted on any future sightings (our last 2 skywatches were washed out by the rain), that we feel
a) could be ET craft b) or UFO's seen in UK airspace..... we've been in this game long enough to discount 'so called weather phenomena', or try
& change the name of UFO to UAP to divert media attention from the subject. Our Govt: has made a 10 year policy of trying to re-name everything,
in order to impose its own belief system on us.

**In brief my opinion (after over 25 years of research and numerous personal sightings), is that project Condign is an attempt by the
UK (MOD & Govt) to create a 'Condon Report' type propaganda in a hopeless effort to counter the gathering momentum for disclosure.**

All the best Section 40 [redacted] WUFORG)










MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
UFO DESK (DAS 4a1 Sec)
ROOM 8245
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON SW1A 2HB
F.A.O Section 40


WOOLWICH UFO
RESEARCH GROUP
Section 40
LONDON
Section 40
Section 40



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Preston
Lancashire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
7 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your recent message left on Das answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your sightings, they have been noted.

Finally, I should like to assure you that Defence technology, including the effectiveness of our air defence systems, is constantly evolving and we are confident that our air defence capabilities fully meet the air defence threat and protect the integrity of the UK Air Defence Region.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

ENCLOSURE NUMBER 54

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Whiteinch
Glasgow
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
7th June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your letter concerning 'unidentified flying objects' seen over Glasgow. Your letter has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about 'UFOs'. I apologise for the delay in replying.

First it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit

With regard to your comments that the defence systems of this country is being breached, I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted further investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat.

I have viewed your video and am content that it contains nothing of defence concern. As requested it is hereby returned to you.

Yours sincerely,


Section 40

Section 40 [redacted] video was viewed but appeared to be blank with no images.

As requested the video was returned to Section 40 [redacted] Section 40 [redacted]

7/6/2006.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **



Lowflying/UFOs

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)PoP TO Ref No 3001 /2006

CC.

Date 19.4.06.

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

PLEASE FORWARD
ENCLOSED TAPE.
TO PRIME MINISTER
TONY BLAIR.

DAS
102
20 APR 2006

THE OBJECTS FILMED HAVE THE ABILITY TO BREAK THROUGH THE DEFENCE SYSTEMS OF THIS COUNTRY AND APPEARED OVER GLASGOWS WEST END AND ALONG CLYDESIDE ALONG FLIGHT ROUTE TO

PLEASE RETURN TAPE.

CONTACT NUMBER.

Section 40 [redacted]

GLASGOW.

GLASGOW AIRPORT TV
OBJECTS DID NOT APPEAR
ON RADAR

PLEASE REPLY TO

Section 40

[redacted]

WHITEINCH,

GLASGOW

SCOTLAND

Section 40

[redacted]

OBJECTS ONLY APPEARED IN 2004.

ENCLOSED FILM CONTAINS WHAT I BELIEVE TO BE NUCLEAR WEAPONS

MI5/MI6, POLICE, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE AVIATION AUTHORITIES, LOCAL AIRPORTS

NONE OF THE ABOVE AUTHORITIES HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THESE OBJECTS ARE, MR. REID DEFENCE SECRETARY WAS SHOWN TAPE

FILM. AND I WAS TOLD BY MINISTRY OF DEF.
THAT THEY WERE HAVING DISCUSSIONS WITH
SECURITY SECTION AT GLASGOW AIRPORT
AS THE OBJECTS I FILMED WERE PLACED
ABOUT THOSE KEY LOCATIONS AND ALONG
CLYDESIDE AND OVER EXITS & ENTRANCES
TO CLYDE EXPRESSWAY & CLYDE TUNNEL

FILMED APRIL 2004.

The Scottish Parliament, Edinburgh EH99 1SP
Tel: 0131 348 5324 Fax: 0131 348 5301 Email: presiding.officer@scottish.parliament.uk
www.scottish.parliament.uk



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40



Section 40

Abingdon
Northampton
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
6 June 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on Das answerphone on 5 June 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Finally, if you have information on a UFO sighting, and would like to inform us of it, please leave the details on our UFO answerphone.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40

Ipswich
Suffolk
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
26 May 2006

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 8 May concerning unidentified flying objects (UFOs) and your comments regarding the release of a Ministry of Defence report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your letter has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the MOD for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit

The MOD report was released in response to a Freedom of Information request from an academic researcher. The MOD understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme .

With regard to your comments about the content of the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the DIS and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree

with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

As for the details you provided of UFO sightings in West Germany and Thailand, I should inform you that the MOD is only responsible for the UK Air Policing Area and does not therefore get involved with UFO reports in other countries. Defence of this airspace would, of course, be a matter for the nations concerned.


Finally, you mentioned the well known reported sighting at Rendlesham Forest in December 1980. You may be interested to learn that all the papers the MOD holds on these events have also been released and can be reviewed on the MOD website as the address given above.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]

Ministry of Defence,
Whitehall

UFO Research Team





Dear Sirs,

I feel compelled to write to you today after hearing your report on BBC Radio Four that UFOs exist but are down to atmospheric phenomena. I hope you will forgive my unprofessional typing and error corrections.

You may have already guessed what is coming - a disagreement with your conclusions on the cause of UFOs. I am a retired person now living in Ipswich. During the 1970s I was employed as a Commercial Assistant in the Hamburg West German Consulate. During my stay in this country, I experienced two spectacular UFO sightings which, I would venture to say, could not be put down to such atmospheric phenomena as you describe, but were almost certainly extraterrestrial in origin.

On holiday with my wife and her mother in Schleswig-Holstein, we were enjoying some good summer weather on a camping site a few miles west of the City of Lubeck. Here we saw, with several other campers, a large dazzlingly bright blue disc cross the sky, lighting up a large area of the sky around it. Bizarrly, it had eight greyish jet trails behind it, four on each side of the centre, these oscillating through 90° about once a second, such that they formed a "fan" then a "lattice". There was no sound. The object disappeared into thin air after some 20 seconds perhaps. Not knowing how high the object was I can only guess at its size: however it was flying through a thin translucent layer of "mackerel" cloud (so thin we could see stars through it). This kind of cloud commonly occupies the sky at roughly 10,000 feet, so it may have been about two miles away. That would make it a very big object indeed. I would say it could have accommodated many Jumbojets inside its circumference. I attach a rough sketch of the object.

In February 1973 the German Air Force was forced to scramble firstly helicopters and when these couldn-t get high enough, Starfighter Jets whose operational ceiling with afterburners is some fifteen miles, to investigate a large blue light appearing over the centre of the City of Hamburg. It stayed put exactly over the town hall outside the earth's atmosphere where our aircraft couldn't reach it, for some twenty-four hours, so synchronously orbiting with the earth. We were told it was a "weather balloon" later, but now could a weather balloon mimic the earth's orbit, and why would the air force be scrambled to investigate such a mundane object?

In November 1995 the World Service of the BBC reported in its "Science in Action" serieshow several red spheres came down onto rice paddies north of the city of Bangkok, Thailand. These objects moved above the treetops out of the way of large numbers of farmworkers who came to see them when word got around. When nothing happened after some time and the onlookers drifted away, they came down to ground level again. A government official sent from Bangkok to investigate also saw the objects and was able to report the farmers hadn't been drinking the local ricewine as suspected.

With respect to the red spheres, and at great risk to any credibility I may have, I must also report having seen these red spheres myself, over open land on the southern edge of Ipswich. I had been seeing fleetingly slow-moving red lights at night around a small wood, "Spring Wood" which my then flat overlooked The wood runs alongside the A14 motorway through Belstead Brook Vale, the aforementioned open land. One day out walking toward said wood, in broad daylight, such a red sphere zoomed down out of the low cloud base across the A14 into the field I was walking in. It hovered a few feet above ground at a distance of some 50 yards from me, so I got a good look at it , before it disappeared into thin air after say 10 seconds. It was a bright, fairly luminous brilliant red sphere about eight feet diameter, without any markings. There was no sound. A neighbour said he also saw such an object while visiting a flat about a mile west of my signting at the same time. This object actually invaded the housing estate, moving between apartment blocks. This was in the late 1980s.

I do not think these objects are "atmospheric phenomena" but have or are guided by, some kind of intelligence. I have a theory they may be "non-baryonic" in their makeup, which would perhaps explain their capability to appear and disappear at will. As they seem to be alien to our laws of physics, we are unlikely ever to "get a handle" on them. I would also remind the investigating team, these objects have often been caught on radar, so cannot be solely down to atmospheric phenomena.

The Hamburg and Thailand events could be authenticated as these must surely be recorded in local newspapers and government files. Unfortunately I cannot prove the veracity of my own sightings, so I hope you will not regard me as a krank or attention-seeker.

Thank you for reading my story.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]


Ipswich Suffolk
Section 40 [redacted]

May 8, 2006


P.S. I have a theory that these spheres may be connected with the famous Rendlesham Forest UFO incident seen by Bentwaters Air Base personnel. The BBC should be able to authenticate also the Thai event from its archives. The Rendlesham event was in 1980.

Bright blue disc
[redacted] fuzzier than shown
due to extreme
luminousity.

1 "Lettuce"



Exhausts oscillated
through 90° about
once per second.



2 "Fan"






MINISTRY of DEFENCE,
WHITEHALL LONDON

UFO Research Team

Section 40 [redacted] lps Section 40 [redacted]



Dear Sir

I am writing concerning your email message to the Ministry of Defence regarding the release of the MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your e-mail has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report.

The Ministry of Defence understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme .

With regard to your comments about the content of the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the DIS and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40 [redacted]
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 10 May 2006 11:13

To: DAS-FOI

Subject: Correspondence with Other MOD Depts

Official Action Required: Enquiry from Public

Section 40 (Directorate Air Staff)

The enquiry below has been received via the MOD website.

Could you please confirm that you will send a response on behalf of the Department. A copy of the responding email ('cc') will suffice, and enable us to keep a record of branch performance in this area.

Please note that the target response time of 15 working days, as per the MOD Service Agreement, is 31st May 2006. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent in the same timescale.

Many Thanks

Section 40
Information Delivery Team
Ground Floor, Section 40
Main Building
London
SW1A 2HB

---

txtName: Section 40

txtEmail: Section 40

txtCountry: UK

txtComments: I find it disgusting that you put out disinformation regarding UFO's to the BBC, complete propaganda.

The UK hacker, Gary McKinnon, hacked the Pentagon, and was in the news recently, and had some interesting things to say about what he saw...

Your attempt at trying to confuse people is pathetic. Anyone with half a brain knows that life must exsist elsewhere, given how big the universe is (or so we've been told).

Jahbulon can suck my nuts.

BBC NEWS

UK hacker 'should be extradited'

Mr McKinnon could face a lengthy jail sentence in the US
McKinnon's reaction

UK hacker Gary McKinnon should be recommended for extradition to the US, a district judge has ruled.

The decision means Mr McKinnon will face trial in America for what the US has called "the biggest military hack of all time".

Although he has admitted hacking US military networks, Mr McKinnon said he was motivated by curiosity not malice.

The final decision on whether he should be sent to the US for trial rests with the home secretary.

Hack attack

The decision was given at Bow Street Magistrates' Court in London and ends three years of uncertainty for Mr McKinnon.

Speaking outside the court after hearing the decision Mr McKinnon said: "It went as expected and now the appeals process can now start."

Karen Todner, Mr McKinnon's solicitor, said: "We're obviously very disappointed with the judgement that was given this morning. We're proposing to appeal this to the Secretary of State, and if we're still refused we will then appeal to the High Court for a decision to allow Gary to be tried here as a British citizen."

GARY MCKINNON SPEAKS

Watch the interview

Mr McKinnon was first arrested in 2002 by the UK's National Hi-Tech Crime Unit for hacking into a series of computer networks used by the US Army, Navy, Air Force, and Department of Defense.

The US in its case for extradition said Mr McKinnon caused more than $700,000 (£375,235) of damage while exploring the computer networks at various US military institutions.

It said one attack at the Earle Naval Weapons Station took place soon after September 11, 2001 made it impossible to use critical systems. The US Department of Justice said it took a month to get systems working in the aftermath of this attack.

Mr McKinnon has admitted that he spent almost two years exploring these networks but has said he was motivated by a search for what he called "suppressed technology".

In a recent BBC interview, Mr McKinnon said he had got close to getting pictorial evidence of technologies that could be of huge benefit to everyone but the US government was not releasing.

In numerous interviews about the case, Mr McKinnon has resisted attempts to portray him as a hacking mastermind. By contrast he said he was a "bumbling hacker" that exploited the lax security policies of the US military.

Speaking after the hearing ended, he said: "My intention was never to disrupt security. The fact that I logged on with no password showed there was no security to begin with."

Ms Todner said she was worried that any sentence Mr McKinnon received in the US would be "disproportionate" to the scale of the offences he committed.

"There is power under the Computer Misuse Act to charge him here and he could stand trial here," said Ms Todner. "In fact, had that happened he probably would have been tried, served his sentence and have been released by now"

If tried and found guilty in the US, Mr McKinnon could face decades in jail and fines totalling millions of dollars.

Story from BBC NEWS:
http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/1/hi/technology/4757375.stm

Published: 2006/05/10 12:06:24 GMT

© BBC MMVI



Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your e-mail regarding the release of the MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your e-mail has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report.

Given your comments it might be helpful if I first explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The Ministry of Defence understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme .

With regard to your comments about the content of the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the DIS and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40

Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS

11 MAY 2006

[redacted]

Low Flying/UFOs/E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) P+P

TO Ref No 3549 /2006

CC.

Date 11-5-2006

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]
Sent: 09 May 2006 15:27
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: RE: UFO - THANK YOU-Section 40 Section 40 [redacted] Ohio 44122 USA

Thank you.Section 40 [redacted] Ohio 44122 USA

Ministers <Ministers@mod.uk> wrote:

> Thank you for your enquiry. For a reply from MOD, please re-send your message to public@ministers.mod.uk, together with your full postal address.
>
> Many thanks,
>
> MOD Ministerial Correspondence Unit
> 5th Floor, Zone A
> Main Building
> Whitehall, London
> SW1A 2HB
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From Section 40 [redacted]
> Sent: 08 May 2006 22:37
> To: public@ministers.mod.uk
> Subject: UFO
>
> Hello. I read about a report released that says there are no flying saucers or alien life here. For what it's worth, I had a legitimate sighting here in the states back in july of 2000. It was no natural thing. It was a daylight sighting of saucer-like objects doing particular movements. I won't go into details and waste your time, but I know that what I saw was of an intelligent nature. I don't know from where they came but if you saw what I did you'd be a believer too.
> Thank you.Section 40 [redacted] Cleveland, Ohio USA
> Section 40 [redacted]



Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your email of 8 May regarding 'unidentified flying objects'. Your message has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

It may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observations, we are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace has been breached by unauthorised aircraft.

If you wish to see information about UFOs which has been released to the public from MOD records, please look at the MOD website http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme . There is also information available for public viewing at The National Archives. Details can be found on their website at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS
102No.
10 MAY 2006
FILE

Law Plyng/Ufos/E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(CA) P+P **TO Ref No** 3542./2006

CC.

Date 10.5.06.

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted] msn.com]
Sent: 08 May 2006 18:58
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: ufos are intelligently driven craft and are not man-made

Dear Minister

It is a fallacy for the government to assume that these vehicles aren't piloted by intelligences. These photos were taken by me and my husband. On both occasions, the crafts were invisible. The reason that I knew where they were as I sensed them and was directed where to point the camera. Natural phenomenon is incapable of that sort of communication. The one over the church tower was taken in the middle of the afternoon over St Saviours Church, Magdalene Street Norwich and there were plenty of people who could have seen it had it wanted to do so.

The second one was taken over my flat. The pink shield like object at the bottom. I sensed the craft was like a living organism, but intelligences were inside of it, (biomechanical).

I have had experiences with these craft and their occupants from the age of four. I remember seeing the greys, and having thought I imagined them by the bed. It wasn't until I woke up the next morning with a small pin hole in my navel and it leaking that I knew something happened. I was married to a man in the military at the time and I had to military officers, who were doctors questioning me at length demanding to know who done the surgical procedure on me. As far as, I am concerned, I just lost a little bit of dna with that encounter. No real harm done and no long term psychological damage. I was much more distressed by the military doctors abrupt questioning than the incident itself.

I have always come back intact. However, I have developed a sixth sense, as it were, or they somehow communicate to me where to point the camera. Two days before the photograph of the craft over the church, I had had a dream interrupted where a tall, white being communicated to me.

There is no hostility associated with their presence in our atmosphere. Then again, we did invite them with the Voyager craft in the 70's.

However, I don't like my intelligence being insulted by telling me that these beings do not exist and they are not visiting. We send craft out there; is mankind's ego so big that it can't handle visitors taking an interest in our planet.

Earth is a beautiful planet and is a living organism in itself. It is a contrast to see the beauty marred by violence, bloodshed, hunger, rape, etc, etc. The bombs used in warfare are as detrimental to the environment, as the carbons emitted by cars. By warring with each other, we are not only destroying ourselves, but our planet. Global Warming can't solely be blamed on pollutants, cars, and toxins. Weapons of war contribute to the planet's health.

Besides, if we have any hope of reaching the furtherest planets and meeting our neighbours outside of our solar system, then we need to take into account that if we are to achieve that goal that we must unite in peace and find a common goal.

They are visiting us without interference, or hostility. Would we be so peaceful, or we would just go at take whatever we want from another civilisation and strip it of it's minerals and destroy it's people and it's environment like we are doing to our own.


thank you for taking the time to read this.

My full address is at the bottom of this email.

Section 40 [redacted]

[redacted]kenham
[redacted]orwich, Norfolk Section 40

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! MSN Messenger







Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your e-mail regarding the release of the MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". Your e-mail has been passed to this Department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs (also known as UAP) and the Department jointly responsible with the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) for the release of this report.

The Ministry of Defence understands the public interest in information about UFOs and has embraced the spirit of open government. All requests for information are answered as fully as possible and, despite the size and original classification of this report, staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. We are pleased to be able to make the report available to a wide audience via the MOD website and if you have not yet had an opportunity to see the full report, you may wish to view it at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme .

With regard to your comments about the content of the report, I can advise you that the study was conducted purely to establish whether the UFO sighting reports received by the MOD were of any value to the DIS and whether there was a requirement for the DIS to see them in the future. Given the conclusion it was decided that there was no such requirement and, since December 2000, UFO reports have not been forwarded to the DIS. We appreciate that there may be those who disagree with the report's findings, but the MOD is satisfied that its conclusions are sufficient for defence needs.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 08 May 2006 01:16
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, May 8, 2006 at 01:15:32

---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail: Section 40 [redacted]

txtCountry: USA

txtComments: You guys have got to be kidding with the plasma nonsense. Ever heard of Nick pope?
Very few people still believe ufos don't exist.



Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your email of 8 May regarding extraterrestrials and UFOs. Your message has been passed to this department because we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

It might be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. Any reports of 'UFO' sightings the MOD receives are examined solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

If you wish to see information the MOD has released about UFOs, please look at the MOD website at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
[redacted]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
[redacted]
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS
10 MAY 2006
FILE

Lowflying/UFO/E-MAIL

~~TREAT~~ OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P+P

TO Ref No 3505 /2006

CC.

Date 10/5/06

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40
Section 40 DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 08 May 2006 05:15
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Ask a Minister

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, May 8, 2006 at 05:15:06

---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail Section 40 [redacted]

txtCountry: usa

txtComments: Please inform the public Extraterrestrials and UFOs are real.

I call them all the time and they are the best bunch of Deities you can ever meet, your loss.

Section 40 [redacted]

Kentfield, CA 94914 USA



Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your email of 7 May about contingency plans in regard to the possibility of alien invasion / visitations. Your message has been passed to this department because we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about 'unidentified flying objects'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your questions about contingency plans and international agreements, I can advise you that as the MOD has no evidence of the existence of alien-life forms or any such threat to the UK, there are no contingency plans for such a situation. Equally the UK is not part of any related international agreements.

Should you wish to view information regarding UFOs that has been released from MOD records, please look at the Freedom of Information page of the MOD website at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/ . There are also MOD records open for public viewing at The National Archives. Details can be found on The National Archives website at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 May 2006

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Low Flying VFRs /E-MAIL

DAS
102No.
10 MAY 2006
FILE

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA) P+P

TO Ref No 3535 /2006

CC.

Date 10 MAY 06

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 07 May 2006 23:50
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Ask a Minister

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, May 7, 2006 at 23:50:18

---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail: Section 40 [redacted] yahoo.co.uk

txtCountry: Great Britain (England)

txtComments: Is there a state contingency plan in regards to the possiblity of alien invasion/visitations? (seriously)

Im a politics student and I would be very interested to learn if there are any international agreements in place. Whether the UK has any such plans in place. Even to learn whether the possibility of Alien invasion/visitation has ever been and seriously discussed in defence circles. thanks

Section 40 [redacted] Lancaster, Section 40

ENCLOSURE 45 MUMBER

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Preston
Lancashire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
18 May 2006

Dear Section 40


I am writing with reference to your message left on Das answerphone on 18 May 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

If you have information on a UFO sighting, can you please leave the details on our UFO answerphone. Or if you would rather correspond by letter, write to us on the above address.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail dated 16 May 2006, regarding the subject of UFOs. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

Finally, the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Sorry I could not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted] on behalf of Low Flying
Sent: 16 May 2006 09:54
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: FW: Low Flying Enquiry

-----Original Message-----
From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 16 May 2006 00:44
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, May 16, 2006 at 00:44:00
---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail: Section 40 [redacted]

txtCountry: Germany

txtComments: regarding socalled "UFOs" and how it may be possible to recognize some of them by humans:
- old newicon Cameras have no stable Picture as near as the object comes (i.e. the picture stretchs to one corner)
- dayover one can recognize objects from still to any speed and any direction (seems to be, that gravitation has no direct influence)
- one very small(!) object (on an old Super8 film) is surrounding trees in a garden. funny!
- an highspeed accident (about over plane speed) on/near ground which totally destrois the object by glowing like magnesia. but in this case i?m not shure what ever that was
- air combat (air/air-rockets) against several objects without any result (objects have had multiple speed). but, no clear situation and no official comment.
- visual fade in or fade of objects within the air
- observing 1 big object (size like a truck) at daylight(with a clear structure) from two different point of views, partially behind a building. one camera nera the object gets picture trouble when the objects comes around the building or a short time
- accident with a little airplane, where a small object (smaller than a football) cuts one plane and the pilot has to save itself by leaving the airplane (interesting is, that normally noone would have been recognized the "flying spot" which means the object) . . . and so on these "funny stories" are not for public use, i think. believe it or beat to it.
Kindly Regards

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 16 May 2006 00:40
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, May 16, 2006 at 00:39:41

---

txtName: Section 40

txtEmail: Section 40 [redacted]

txtCountry: anywhere

txtComments: regarding socalled "UFOs" and how it may be possible to recognize some of them by humans:
- old newicon Cameras have no stable Picture as near as the object comes (i.e. the picture stretchs to one corner)
- dayover one can recognize objects from still to any speed and any direction (seems to be, that gravitation has no direct influence)
- one very small(!) object (on an old Super8 film) is surrounding trees in a garden. funny!
- an highspeed accident (about over plane speed) on/near ground which totally destrois the object by glowing like magnesia. but in this case i?m not shure what ever that was
- air combat (air/air-rockets) against several objects without any result (objects have had multiple speed). but, no clear situation and no official comment.
- visual fade in or fade of objects within the air
- observing 1 big object (size like a truck) at daylight(with a clear structure) from two different point of views, partially behind a building. one camera nera the object gets picture trouble when the objects comes around the building or a short time
- accident with a little airplane, where a small object (smaller than a football) cuts one plane and the pilot has to save itself by leaving the airplane (interesting is, that normally noone would have been recognized the "flying spot" which means the object) . . . and so on these "funny stories" are not for public use, i think. believe it or beat to it.
Kindly Regards

ENCLOSURE 43 NUMBER

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Woolwich UFO Research Group
Section 40
London
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
18th May 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter of 8th May regarding the recent release of an MOD report entitled "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena in the UK Air Defence Region". You seem to have misunderstood the purpose of this report and the circumstances surrounding its release, it may therefore be helpful if I explain this in more deta

As stated in previous correspondence, the Minis ct
of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question l
lifeforms. To date the MOD knows of no evide
alleged phenomena and is not involved in any s
the UFO sighting reports which we receive is to f
anything that may be of defence concern. Once it is established that a report does not provide such evidence no further investigation is carried out by the MOD and we do not attempt to positively identify what was seen. It is not the MOD's role to provide an aerial identification service and would not be an efficient use of resources.

With regard to this study and the subsequent report that has now been released, I can inform you that this study was not conducted to search for, or investigate, the possibility of extraterrestrial life-forms. Until 1996 in line with our policy of examining reports for anything of defence significance, UFO sighting reports from either military or civilian sources were sent to the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) in case they contained any information of value in DIS's task of analysing the performance and threat of foreign weapons systems, nuclear, chemical and biological warfare programmes and technologies and emerging technologies. In 1996 the MOD held a policy review concerning the handling of these reports and DIS decided to conduct a study to establish once and for all whether they were of any value to their work. Based on all the available evidence remaining in the Department (reported over a 30 year period) the study concluded that UFO sighting reports did not have any significant Defence Intelligence value and since then they have not been sent to DIS.

As for your comment about the length of time taken to conduct this study and Mr Pope's involvement, I can confirm that the study was conducted between December 1996 and March 2000. Mr Pope was not involved with the study. During an earlier policy review during Mr Pope's employment in this branch (previously know as Secretariat (Air Staff)) a similar study was suggested by DIS, but was never conducted. Mr Pope left Secretariat (Air Staff) in 1994 some two years before this study was started and six years before its completion.

With regard to the circumstances surrounding the release of this report, I can confirm that the MOD received a Freedom of Information request for a copy of the report. The MOD has embraced the spirit of open government and despite the size (over 460 pages) and original classification (Secret), MOD staff have taken great care to ensure that the majority of this large report is made available. Sections have been withheld only where the information is covered by FOIA exemptions, and it is considered that it is not in the public interest to release it. If you wish to view the entire report please look at the Freedom of Information page of the MOD website at http://www.mod.uk/DefenceIntrenet/FreedomOfInformation/PublicationScheme. An explanation of the reasons for withholding a small amount of information from the report can be found in the covering letter to the individual who requested the report Section 40 in the Disclosure Log on the website.

You also asked how much this project was budgeted for annually. There was no annual budget for conducting this study. The study and subsequent report were conducted by one person who was initially employed as a contractor and commenced work on the report in December 1996. He was employed on a part-time basis to work with the DIS (not solely on this project) and divided his time between working for the DIS and working on his company's business. He left his company on early retirement in Section 40 following which he was employed as a consultant for the DIS until completion of the report in March 2000. During the period December 1996 to March 2000, producing the report was only one of several tasks in which he was engaged and it is, therefore, not possible to determine how much of his time was divided between producing the report and his other tasks.

In your letter you also comment about being "stopped many times on public land by the military and what were presumed to be other Security Services" when you have been on Skywatches. I am not aware of any such incidents or indeed why this would be considered necessary, unless of course, you were on MOD land or in the immediate vicinity of a military establishment.

Finally, you mention dis-information across the media concerning UFO research and inaccuracies in Ms Georgina Bruni's book 'You Can't Tell The People'. The MOD makes official information available whenever possible in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and when we believe requested information may of interest to a wider audience, it is placed on the MOD website. We are not responsible for how the media report UFO matters or what is written by individual authors.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

DAS
11 MAY 2006

Section 40
Woolwich UFO Research Group
Section 40
London
Section 40

Tel: Section 40

Dear Section 40

I am writing to you concerning the disclosure of information relating to project 'Condign'.

Over the past 25 years I have had many replies from departments in the Ministry of Defence stating they have made no secret investigations into the studies of UFO's (or E.T. craft) and have only a limited interest in UFO sightings.

Then I hear on Sunday that the Ministry of Defence has been conducting a secret 4 year study into the matter, with the full results being disclosed in a report on the 15th May 2006.

Therefore I conclude that the Ministry of Defence has been lying to the public for at least the last 4 years and to me in person for around 25 years concerning studies on UFO's.

I have written to your predecessor, Mr Nick Pope and am awaiting his reply. I have letters from the 'UFO Desk' from his time of office clearly stating that the Ministry of Defence have not conducted any secret investigations into UFO research and have no future plans to do so.

It is now common knowledge that Mr Nick Pope was involved in the initial setting up of this project. From this it can be concluded that the project got underway prior to 1994..... (Mr Nick Pope's leaving date) a bit longer than the 4 years publicly stated to say the least.

You, yourself in replies to me and others, constantly use the term, 'only limited interest in 'UFO' sightings'. Therefore I would also like to know how much this project was budgeted for annually? And if either you have not got the official figure, or it is not contained in the report when published on the 15th May 2006, whom do I approach to find out this data?

Since I began skywatching in the late 1970's, and still usually go somewhere in the UK on a weekly basis. However I have been stopped many times on public land by the military and what were presumed to be other 'Security Services?' I have often reported this to the Ministry of Defence and the Police. We are of no security threat and we are not terrorists and most of us are also happy to share our data with the Ministry of Defence.

In connection to the rumours spread (yet again) after the Rendlesham 25th Anniversary Skywatch, I do not work for MI5 and find this quite offensive. Yes I did photograph all the number plates of cars who attended the forest that night, but that was so I can prove in future who really was there. As many people claim to have been at various important UFO events in the past when they have not, (esp: the arm-chair UFO brigade..... who trawl through the internet rather than skywatch in person).

I have also recently urged Mr Nick Pope and others connected with the Ministry of Defence to do a J.Allen Hynek and jump ship before its too late. As we are uncovering a web of dis-information across the media concerning UFO research. The latest being the over 400+ errors found in the best selling book 'You Can't Tell The People (Georgina Bruni).

All the best

Section 40


8th May '06




Royal Mail
London
South
09.05.06
07:27 pm

recycle
www.recyclenow.com

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
UFO DESK (DAS 4a1 Sec)
ROOM 8245
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON SW1A 2HB
F.A.O. Section 40 [redacted]


WOOLWICH UFO
RESEARCH GROUP
Section 40
Section 40
LONDON Section 40
e-mail: Section 40

Section 40



From: Section 40
Sent: 17 May 2006 00:01
To: Section 40
Subject: Re: A question of security

Thanks, Section 40

> I hope this is helpful.

As always!

Regards,
Section 40

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]
Sent: 16 May 2006 12:35
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: Internet-authorised:A question of security

Dear Section 40 [redacted]

Thank you for your email of 12th May regarding a Ministry of Defence document which was released to Section 40 [redacted] in October 2004.

I appreciate your concerns, but I can assure you that when we receive requests for information or documents regarding UFOs (or related matters) where the documents were not directly generated by the Directorate of Air Staff, it is our practice to consult the author of the document and any affected parties before release. This was the case with this document and the relevant desk officer confirmed that there was no need to withhold this information. However, in light of your message I have consulted the current desk officer in the area concerned and he has confirmed that while this information may have been considered sensitive when the document was written in 1997, procedural changes since the events of 11 September 2001 mean that this document no longer reflects current practices and there was therefore no need to withhold this information either when it was released to Section 40 [redacted] today.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40 [redacted]
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

16th May 2006

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 12 May 2006 17:33
To: Section 40
Subject: A question of securty

Hello Section 40

I hope you are well.

I should point out that this email is _not_ an FoIA request, but I am seeking advice and possibly co-operation on a matter with implications on national security.

Amongst documents provided to Section 40 was a loose minute from AOAD1 to several addressees dated 25th March 1997 (enclosure 70 in the relevant file, I think).

Paragraphs 3&4 contain information which highlights potential weaknesses in the UKADR. I have discussed this with Section 40 and we hold opposing views.

My view is that this information might have been released inadvertently, and might be useful to a hostile entity. If so, I do not wish to release it.

Section 40 view is that it was released through the appropriate channels, and even if it was a mistake, as a journalist he feels that should the need arise, he is obliged to publish the material.

There are a few takes on this, it might be the case that the weaknesses discussed have since been addressed and no longer apply. If this is so, please advise me and the matter is closed.

On the other hand, if it is genuinely the case that the information released was due to an oversight, that puts both Section 40 and I in an awkward position. If we publish the document with the relevant sections obliterated by us:

1. We are guilty of altering an official document.
2. We are open to accusatiions of being part of the "Government cover-up" should someone else request the documents and receive unobliterated copies.
3. Section 40 journalistic credibility will be damaged.

There are a couple of reasons why I haven't copied Section 40 on this. Following one of our discussions about it (which became quite heated) I agreed that if the same or similar information was released in the Condign report, I would drop my objections. Section 40 did not, as I recall, reciprocate my offer. At the moment, Section 40 has shown no intention to publish the document, but I think it will ultimately be used, if not by him, by myself.

I would appreciate your candid views on this, and it might be useful (if the contents of the paragraphs in question are still an issue within the UKADR) if you could supply me with another copy of the document with the offending details removed. This would then allow me to publish them in original form as I received them from you without leaving myself open to accusations of being a "part of the cover-up", and may go some way to defuse Section 40 objections as well.

I hope I am not wasting your time by making a mountain out of a molehill, but at the end of the day I am a loyal British subject and do not want to compromise the security of our country.

Regards,
Section 40

[redacted] 326/2

70

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

Section 40 [redacted]

2ufo — [redacted]

— wic Section 40 [redacted]

LOOSE MINUTE

D/DAO/1/13

2 Mar 97

SEC(AS)2

Copy to:

PSO/ACAS
DAO
DI ST
Head of Sec(AS)
DI Sec
AD/DI55
DPR(RAF) — [redacted] 27/3

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFO) POLICY

References:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/1 dated 14 Mar 97.
B. D/Sec(AS)/64/1 dated 29 Jan 97.

1. Thank you for your letter at Reference A reminding of the need to make progress on future handling of UFO reports against a background of increasing public interest and therefore workload. You ask what might be required insofar as air defence interests are concerned.

2. Following your earlier letter at Reference B, you will recall our discussion of the topic. My views are unchanged. We have no direct air defence interest in UFO reports unless there is intelligence, reliable sighting or evidence that UK national airspace, or the UKADR, may be, or has been, penetrated by aircraft of potentially hostile powers without authorisation. Orbiting satellites are accounted separately and appropriate COMSEC implemented.

3. A majority of UFO reports are tenuous in nature, are reported at second hand or with a time lag, and frequently overland or at night in areas where we have little radar cover. Those described as at great height, if they exist, may lie above radar cover, as only Fylingdales has tracking capabilities in the endo-atmosphere and in space. Some reports describe objects in terms of manoeuvre, speed and shape which lie beyond our engineering knowledge and that which could be reasonably expected from hostile powers.

4. There is considerable difficulty, therefore, in assessing and prioritising these reports sufficiently quickly to provide, where warranted, an active response. Moreover, when interceptions may be needed, we are constrained by reduced readiness following drawdowns at the end of the Cold War and the considerable time lag in responding from northern bases in the event of incidents in the south, especially if access is needed to intensively used civil

[redacted]

[redacted]

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

airspace. Inevitably, interceptions are infrequently attempted against knowledge that, in the present benign environment, most sightings are unlikely to be associated with threatening activity.

5. I would emphasise that such sightings have been few and far between, with only Russia among potentially hostile powers being capable of penetrating UK airspace at very high altitudes; while no hostile power could reasonably be responsible for low level sightings, because of the range or political risk involved, except in the context of large civil register aircraft diverting from flight plans (where we have had incidents).

6. Staffing UFO matters, however, is a time consuming concern. As you are painfully aware, reported sightings are frequently explored in the public domain, often by organised societies, seeking extra-terrestrial explanations. Whilst using best judgement at the time, we are sometimes left accounting for the inexplicable or investing large numbers of man hours to establish rational explanations (as recently in the "Skegness sighting" when the Service's professional competence was called into question in an MP's letter to the SofS). These frustrations are compounded by supporting PQs of the sort "... on how many occasions have ..." which require paper searches of long put down records. Neither do explanations that "the cost of the search cannot be justified" satisfy the public, for it only re-inforces their conviction that if the truth cannot be found out there, it is certainly available in the MOD. The MOD may eventually be caught out by cross referring to previous answers and other information, cherished on PCs and exchanged on "the web". The consequences are further questions and ever greater care and time taken to ensure that we do not contradict ourselves.

7. The problem is unlikely to subside especially as the US brings into service over the next decade high flying capabilities such as Global Star, Dark Star, the X-33 and, should it come to fruition, the manned spaceplane. Other nations will follow, especially with UAVs, which may permit risk taking in unauthorised penetrations of airspace. Activities of these sorts would clearly require monitoring and control by the MOD.

8. The extra-terrestrial business is also likely to boom, exacerbating the staffing problem. Continuing discoveries of planets, and emerging knowledge of circumstances needed for at least non-intelligent life, lead to speculation that planets and life may commonly occur. With that change of perception, arguing that our rock alone is a teeming and verdant speck in a vast and sterile nothingness may soon be as unrewarding as the Church once found in continuing to enforce the idea that the world was flat; more so, with the knowledge that many suns are older than our own, and perhaps provide conditions for advanced evolution. Even though some experts argue very low probabilities for intelligent life, and allowing for barely imagined transit distances, requiring unknown uses of physics, we cannot rule out entirely the idea of extra-terrestrial observation/visitation, either covert or overt. Our current policy to retain an open mind on these matters is therefore probably correct.

9. It is a fine judgement whether UFO sightings are MOD matters, or Government responsibilities best located with other agencies given the unproven nature of a vast majority of reports. I

[redacted]

[redacted]

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

believe they should continue to be managed in the MOD because of inevitable inter-weaving of terrestrial sightings, some of military origin, with extra-terrestrial pre-occupations. Moreover, any future concerns, terrestrial or extra-terrestrial, are likely to require national or international co-ordination responsive only within security alliances.

10. Whether we should set aside for further examination outside the MOD unexplained phenomena, I leave others to decide, knowing that political, scientific and cost judgements are associated with their investigation. I am not wholly convinced, however, that covert investigations would be the best way forwards. When inevitably discovered, they lead to mistaken ideas that "contact has been made" or that "government is worried, knowing something we don't." Should we decide regularly to investigate UFO reports, then we might look at how the US has handled some aspects with, for example, the targeted search of deep space for artificial signals which attracts little public speculation. The downside, of course, is "Roswell" with plastic kits as visible proof of alien capture and reverse engineering. What are US reporting and investigation practices?

11. The UK air defence interest is primarily to automate reporting, handling and administration of incidents so that operators, infrequently switching their busy routines to consider unlikely phenomena, react in a focused and consistent way. Reaction and reporting needs for UFOs are similar to those practices necessary for handling flight safety incidents, and potentially we could mimic them. However, a computer based system is needed to support accurate handling and recording of incidents, and to allow easy extraction of historical data for parliamentary response or retrospective study. Such a system is not, per se, an air defence requirement.

12. We therefore differ in view over responsibilities, organisation and funding. These matters are not for the air defence forces alone to consider, or to utilise the output from. Public reporting of phenomena is essentially government business to which we contribute infrequently when there are matters of direct air defence interest and, on other occasions, to exclude known air movements. As always, when tasked to respond, we do so to the best of our abilities. However, PQs that ask what similarities we have found between recent incidents and those of years ago, or to count them, leave us embroiled in dusty paperchases or in making retrospective assessments of incidents when we are not fully expert.

13. My response has turned out longer than I had intended, but hopefully lays the issues fully on the table as we see them. The policy is fine, how we manage public enquiries probably needs some re-consideration, also whether we need to fund investigation of a minority of incidents which may be inexplicable; above all, we need an electronic database and management system against which

[redacted]

[redacted]

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

sightings may be uniformally handled and recorded. If nothing else, we owe successors an easily extracted historic record.

[redacted]

AOAD1

[redacted]

[redacted]



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail regarding 'unidentified flying objects' that you passed to us on the 26 April 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs. Sorry for the long delay in getting back to you.

First, I am not disputing that people see objects that they can not identify, but it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

It is clearly outside the Department's remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky. It is quite normal for a sighting to remain unexplained, but require no further official action. (Some of the reports we receive could be hoaxes, and others could be put down to things such as laser light shows, spot lights, satellites, meteorites, weather balloons and so forth). The MOD does not seek to provide a precise explanation for the reports we receive each year, unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by unauthorised aircraft. Once content there is no evidence of a defence concern, the reports are then placed on file.

Sorry I could not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

1

DAS
102No.
28 APR 2006
FILE

Hard Flying//E-MAIL
UFO

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) PtP. **TO Ref No** 5276 **/2006**

CC.

Date 28-4-06

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 26 April 2006 15:03
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Ask a Minister

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, April 26, 2006 at 15:02:45

---

txtName: Section 40 [redacted]

txtEmail Section 40 [redacted]

txtCountry: Great Britain

txtComments: Regarding..U.f.O.s. Address Supplied
Dear sir, as im sure you are aware the evidence is overwhelming regarding visitation on a frequent basis from extra-terrestrials. This country as well as many others are visited on a regular basis by these unknowns. Unknowns perhaps to the majority of people, but certainly not to the few, your department is well aware of the frequent breaches of our defence systems by these craft and yet you do nothing but conceal the facts. The people have a right to know the truth regarding U.F.O.s and whether we are alone in the universe, when is your government going to cease treating the taxpayer as children, its time for the truth. No one is more patriotic to there country than i, its time for the government to be patriotic to its electorate. Kind Regards.
Address.Section 40 [redacted] South Shields, Tyne and Wear, Section 40 [redacted]



Dear Sir

I am writing with reference to your e.mail on 'unidentified flying objects' dated 9 May 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, the MOD is not disputing that you have seen objects that you could not identify, but it may be helpful, if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Sorry I could not be more helpful.

Yours faithfully

Section 40

Section 40 [redacted]

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 09 May 2006 00:29
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, May 9, 2006 at 00:29:24

---

txtName: Section 40

txtEmail: Section 40 [redacted]hotmail.com

txtComments: I have seen many UFO's and I notice you have said there is insignificant evidence of UFO's...What you are doing is denying thousands and thousands of peoples experiences. Once again, I have seen many UFO's.
Thank you.



Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]
Sent: 27 April 2006 09:41
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: Internet-authorised:Unexplained Lights

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your email dated 26 April in reply to mine, concerning your UFO sighting. I will now address the various points raised in your latest message.

First with regard to your comment about the MOD "covering up UFO activity around the country", I can assure you that the MOD has been receiving reported UFO sightings and corresponding with the public about UFO matters since the 1950s and has never denied that we receive such reports or refused to give details of our limited interest in such matters.

As for the low flying helicopter training you experienced, it is possible that these helicopters were taking part in an exercise in your area, which involved an element of low flying, however without a specific date I am unable to provide any further details of this training. I can however, assure you that this activity was not conducted in response to any reported UFO sightings.

You also asked "why have so many UFO documents been covered-up in the past and marked Top Secret and higher?" ". I am aware that many people believe that documents the MOD holds on UFO matters are highly classified, but this is simply not true. No documents are marked Top Secret, in fact the vast majority are unclassified.

With regard to your comment that "you don't seem to want to release documents until they have to be released into the public domain under the Freedom of Information Act". Again, this is not the case. Prior to the introduction of the Freedom of Information 2000 (FOIA) on 1 January 2005, all government files were subject to the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967. This Act of Parliament stated that official files generally remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. It was the case that before 1967 "UFO" files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest in this subject "UFO" report files have been routinely preserved. Any files from the 1950s and early 1960s which did survive and files dating from 1967 to 1979 are available for examination by members of the public at The National Archives, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. Files are added to this collection when they have completed the MOD internal review processes. If you wish to see what files are available and details of how to view them, please look at The National Archives website at www.nationalarchives.gov.uk. In addition to this information the MOD has released information (prior to the introduction of the FOIA) in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information (the Code). This information together with further releases under the FOIA can be viewed on the Freedom of Information pages of the MOD website at www.mod.uk. Further information is added to this site whenever possible.

As for your comments about the Rendlesham Forest incident and alleged threats made to individuals involved. I can assure you that no such tactics are ever used to prevent the release of information about UFO sightings. Sensitive information about any subject, will be withheld in accordance with appropriate sections of the FOIA. All of the documents the MOD holds concerning this incident were released into the public domain several years ago and can now be viewed on the MOD website.

Finally, thank you for the photographs attached to your message. While we are always interested to see photographs the public wish to send to us, as explained in my previous message we do not attempt to positively identify each sighting reported to us. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

I hope this is helpful.

Section 40 [redacted]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40 [redacted]
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

27/04/2006

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 26 April 2006 17:20
To: Section 40
Subject: Re: Unexplained Lights


DSCF3728.JPG
(877 KB)


DSCF3729.JPG
(977 KB)


DSCF3730.JPG
(926 KB)

Dear Section 40

I am very pleased to hear a reply and there is no need to apologise for the long time taken to reply as long as I have received a reply I am very happy there has obviously been some interest in what I have to say and I am very grateful that you have chosen to listen to my concerns.

I am also quite surprised as I have read many UFO reports concerning the MOD very much so just "pushing UFO matters under the carpet" so to speak or in other words covering up UFO activity around the country. I would like to share with you some other UFO encounters I have had that I believe will be of some interest towards you and other MOD officials. I have also got these other pictures of a Fleet of UFO right outside my home two months ago. I also have a question that I would like to ask you about how the MOD treat UFO matters when reported to you. Before I go on I would also like to express the thanks for explaining to me about the low flying training that takes place but I am still confused that since that time and before that time no operations such as that one has been witnessed by myself in the 6 years I have lived in this area. I found it quite odd that just after witnessing a UFO a training operation took place just a bit to coincidental for my liking.

The pictures attached to this email are quite good photos to say the least and if you view them in "Windows fax viewer" and use the zoom in tool to zoom in on these objects photographed I think you will very much agree that these objects are very strange and need attention I have even been contacted by a Author and these pictures along with report are going to be featured in a book which I myself am taking full part in.

My question for you and other MOD officials is -

Why have so many UFO documents been covered-up in the past and marked Top Secret and higher? and I know this happens because I have read many things concerning this and it concerns me that you don't seem to want to release these documents until they have to be released into public domain under the freedom information act.

Another question -

I am not sure if you are familiar with the Rendlesham Forest Incident I am worried to have found out that on the nights of those incidents in which men at RAF bases Woodbridge and Bentwaters experienced Unidentified flying lights which landed in the forest. I found out that MOD officials were said to have threatened people with death threats saying to one RAF man that "Bullets are cheap" I do not find this very comforting to myself as someone who has experienced things like this myself. Do you as an official admit that sometimes drastic actions like this are taken to stop the release of vital information getting out to the people of the United Kingdom?

I would appreciate another reply if at all possible and also answers to my questions which are very important to me if I am to seek out the truth that I believe is shielded and blocked from my eyes.

Thank you very much in advance I really appreciate the time taken to reply to me and I look forward to another reply.

Best Regards.
Section 40

> Dear Section 40
>

>
>
I am writing in response to your e-mail of 23 March concerning lights
> seen near your home over the past three years. Your message has been
> passed to this department as we are the focal point within the
> Ministry of Defence for correspondence about Unidentified Flying
> Objects. I apologise for the delay in responding.
>
>
>
> First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence
> does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer'
> matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of
> extraterrestrial life-forms. We remain totally open-minded, but to
> date we know of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged
phenomena.
> The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying
> objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might
> have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence
> that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by
> hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a
> potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to
> date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to
> identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it
> is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or
> natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function
> of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We
> could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which
> go beyond our specific defence remit.
>
>
>
> I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime
> is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing
> Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination
> of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous
> real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air
> Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular
> circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the
> scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that
> perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined,
> but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is
> considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach
> of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very
> sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have
> warranted further investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat.
>
>
>
> With regard to your comments concerning the presents of low flying
> military helicopters in the vicinity shortly after you saw an orange
> light, I should inform you that low flying training takes place
> throughout the UK and is not connected to UFO sightings. One of the
> main roles of military helicopters is to provide close support to
> ground forces. In the event of conflict helicopters would have to fly
> at very low levels to reinforce troops in the field undetected by
> highly developed radar systems. Low level training for helicopters
> generally takes place at heights below 500 feet down to ground level.
> Helicopters are vulnerable to ground fire and one of the vital skills
> which must be acquired by the pilots is flying as closely as possible
> to the nap of the earth so that the aircraft is shielded and
> camouflaged by the features of the terrain. This is a perishable skill
> that needs to be practiced regularly. This type of training is
> therefore spread as thinly as possible throughout the UK so as not to
> concentrate activity over one are and to keep disturbance to the
> public to a minimum. I am sorry if this training has caused disturbance to you
>
>
>
> Yours sincerely,
>

> Section 40
>
> Section 40
>
> Ministry of Defence
>
> Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
>
> Section 40
>
> Main Building
>
> Whitehall
>
> LONDON
>
> SW1A 2HB
>
> e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk
>
> 26 April 2006



Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your e-mail of 23 March concerning lights seen near your home over the past three years. Your message has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about Unidentified Flying Objects. I apologise for the delay in responding.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life-forms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date we know of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted further investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat.

With regard to your comments concerning the presents of low flying military helicopters in the vicinity shortly after you saw an orange light, I should inform you that low flying training takes place throughout the UK and is not connected to UFO sightings. One of the main roles of military helicopters is to provide close support to ground forces. In the event of conflict helicopters would have to fly at very low levels to reinforce troops in the field undetected by highly developed radar systems. Low level training for helicopters generally takes place at heights below 500 feet down to ground level. Helicopters are vulnerable to ground fire and one of the vital skills which must be acquired by the pilots is flying as closely as possible to the nap of the earth so that the aircraft is shielded and camouflaged by the features of the terrain. This is a perishable skill that needs to be practiced regularly. This type of training is therefore spread as thinly as possible throughout the UK so as not to concentrate activity over one are and to keep disturbance to the public to a minimum. I am sorry if this training has caused disturbance to you.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON

SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26 April 2006

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS
102No.
27 MAR 2006
FILE

Low Flying / UFOs /E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) P&P **TO Ref No** 2475 **/2006**

CC.

Date 24-3-06

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 23 March 2006 08:24
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: Disturbing Lights and Craft



UFO's and Aliens (28).jpg (1 M...

Please take notice of this message as it is very serious and i feel has very much importance.

Dear MOD


I have witnessed some strange and disturbing aircraft in the vicinity of my home in the past three years. I have seen strange triangular craft, strange fleets of small lights and light balls and most recently a strange orange object that seemed to appear behind me out of nowhere. I am concerned that these are not aircraft owned by the RAF and would like to know what they are. Do you own Triangle craft that make no noise of an engine? I would like to know because as a British citizen I have a right to know. I know that you know there are strange things in the skies as when I sighted the orange light shortly after the military was here as I saw two low flying helicopters pass over at a very very low altitude you would think the helicopters were landing in my back garden with the sound made. As the MOD you should tell us what is going on outside our very own homes. If you wish to contact me further to discuss this issue please do. I have encolosed one of my pictures of a light ball craft i have witnessed i think you will agree how amazing the picture is.

Regards,

Section 40

Section 40
Hetton-Le-Hole
Tyne and Wear

Section 40



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
London
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
1 March 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing in reply to your letter dated 25 February addressed to my colleague, Section 40 concerning Roswell and interference with electrical equipment.

Section 40 has explained in previous correspondence that this department examines the UFO sighting reports we receive solely to establish whether they provide any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain totally open-minded, but to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your comments about Roswell, I expect you are aware that Roswell is in New Mexico and therefore any questions or comments that you have about this facility should be directed to the United States Government.

Finally, you talk of interference with electrical equipment within your vicinity. This is not a matter for the Ministry of Defence and I suggest you speak to your electrical supplier. I understand you have said in your previous telephone messages that there is also interference with your office computer and as Section 40 suggested you should talk to your employer about this.

I hope this clarifies our position regarding the issues which concern you. We have nothing further to add and see no value in continuing to correspond on these matters.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

L

Section 40

Section 40 Section 40

LONDON

25.2.06

Dear M.O.D,

Thankyou for your letter dated 7.2.06.
May I reassure you that I have no company with me, and that nobody is working with me on Roswell.
The intention is to put the U.N (diplomatic global unity) in the middle of Roswell.
There are many words and meanings associated with the 'ros' beginning, and I believe it to mean an encounter with invisible intelligencies.
There have been many interferances with the electrical equipment in my vicinity by audio and visual whether in London, Birmingham, Whitby, Hertfordshire or Wiltshire, or Egypt.
It is of aetheric or static concoction.
I have omitted some of my experiences from my Roswell society. moonfruit.com (Roswell.com) website due to the possibility that they may have been manmade, and will review this decision later, when I hope more information has come to light.

Sincerely

Section 40

2 30PM
26 FEB
—Q—

M o D
6th Floor
Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall, London
SW1A 2HB

Section 40

36

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Colchester
Essex
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/62/3

Date
20 February 2006

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 8th February concerning records of UFO sightings. Your letter has been passed to this Department, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

I can confirm that there is no underground bunker beneath Whitehall that contains records of UFO sightings. This Department holds records of UFO sightings reported to the MOD and correspondence with the public about UFO related matters covering a 25 year period. These are held on paper files, the vast majority of which are stored in archives in Derbyshire, with a small number of the later files held within this Department.

Some of the information from our records has been released into the public domain and we intend to continue releasing information in the future. If you would like to view the material that has been released please look at the Freedom of Information Disclosure Log on the MOD website at www.mod.uk. Records from the early 1950s and up to 1978 are open for viewing at The National Archives, Kew, Richmond, Surrey. Details of the records available and how to access them can be found on The National Archives website at www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

LOW FLYING UCOS

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P+P

TO Ref No 1258 **/2006**

CC.

Date 13.2.06

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40
[redacted]
Colchester
Essex
Section 40

Wednesday 8th February 2006

Dear sir/madam,

I was recently told by my teaching assistant, that she was listening to radio 4 last year, and they had a man on the show, who claimed that there is and underground bunker underneath Whitehall that contains records of U.F.O. sightings.

The reason for me writing this letter is to ask if this information is true.

Thank you very much.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
[redacted]

35

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Northwich
Cheshire
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3

Date
15th February 2006

Dear Section 40


Thank you for your letter concerning documents about events at Rendlesham Forest in December 1980.

I can confirm that all the known surviving papers were released in 2001 and are now available for viewing on the MOD website at www.mod.uk. Although there remains a public interest in these alleged events, the MOD has had no cause to carry out any further enquiries or been passed any additional information since the release of these records.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40



Section 40
Northwich
Cheshire
Section 40

11th February 2006

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to ask whether the Ministry of Defence (Air) can shed any further knowledge on the well publicised incidents outside RAF Lakenheath and USAF Bentwaters in East Anglia during December 1980.

I am enquiring as to whether any further documents have been made available for public consumption, and not requested by any researchers,since the documents made available in November 2001.

It has come to my attention of further knowledge and statements made by serving members of H.M.Forces and the civilian population and I am currently investigating these further discoveries.

I fully understand that the Ministry of Defence does not investigate reports of *Unidentified Flying Objects* in British Airspace unless they present a danger to the Country,and this case was deemed to be be under those circumstances at the time of the publicity of the case in 2001,however I am only interested in documents which may have been passed from departments in the Ministry of Defence,Military Bases and also American Air Force and Military Bases since 2001.

I will state that should this further knowledge and statements from people be of interest to the Ministry of Defence, I will make it available to you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

34

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Bracknell
Berkshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
8 February 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning the message you left on the Das answerphone – 6 February 2006.

You asked in the message if someone from this office could call you back. Sorry, but we do not phone members of the public to discuss UFO sightings or other phenomena. We correspond by letter or e.mail.

If you have details of a sighting, can you please leave them on the answerphone.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

33

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
7 February 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your message left on the Das answerphone – 6 February 2006.

Sorry you could not get the help that you required, when you went to the police station regarding the hacking of your computer. If you work for a company, their IT section should be able to help you and get to the root of the problem. If you are not in a company, then you could contact the people that you received the computer from and inform them as to what is going on.

They are the last two suggestions that I can think of, that could be helpful to you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

32

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Edinburgh
East Lothian
Scotland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
6 February 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your last two messages left on Das answerphone.

As stated in my previous letters to you, we can not help you with the various problems you are experiencing. These are not issues that this Department of the Ministry of Defence deals with or can help you with, obviously we would if we could. You need to pursue other avenues that could help you.

We do not investigate UFO sightings, ie. the lights you saw in the sky. It is clearly outside the Department's remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky. It is quite normal for a sight to remain unexplained but require no further official action. The MOD does not seek to provide a precise explanation for the reports we receive each year, unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by unauthorised aircraft. Once content there is no evidence of a defence concern, the reports are placed on file. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most of the sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

Finally, as mentioned before in my letter of 11 January 2006, the problems you are experiencing could be a matter for the civil police. You should seek help from them and they may be able to help you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

31

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Shrewsbury
Shropshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
6 February 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on the Das answerphone – 2 February 2006.

You asked in the message if someone could call you back. Sorry, but we do not phone members of the public to discuss UFOs or other phenomena. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

As stated in my previous letter dated 30 January 2006, we have a limited interest in the subject of UFOs and the MOD does not know of any evidence to substantiate the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Finally, even if we receive a great deal of information on a UFO sighting, we do not investigate what that person has seen. It is clearly outside the Department's defence remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky. It is quite normal for a sighting to remain unexplained but require no further official action. The MOD does not seek to provide a precise explanation for the reports we receive each year, unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by unauthorised aircraft. Once content that there is no evidence of a defence concern, the reports are placed on file. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most of the sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

I hope this has explained our policy on the subject of UFOs.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

30

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Swansea
West Glamorgan
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
2 February 2006

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter dated 23 December 2005. Sorry for the long delay in getting back to you.

I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time 'picture' of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Defence Region would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling of diversion of air defence aircraft). This applies to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source.

The Ministry of Defence does not rely on members of the public to inform us of sightings for defence reasons, we already have that strategy in place. Therefore, reports are not investigated, and we do not have the remit to investigate aerial phenomena, but we do remain vigilant for anything which might indicate that the integrity of the UK's Air Defence Region may have been compromised.

I hope this e.mail has answered your questions.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

DAS
107/No.
05 JAN 2006
FILE

Section 40

Swansea
Section 40

23rd December 2005

Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff
Ministry of Defence

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 18th December, Ref: D/DAS/64/2.

Enclosed is my report of the flying object seen by my Cousin and myself over the Gower Peninsula on Friday 2nd September last.

I am sending this to you, because you state in your letter that you become involved only if *the UK's airspace may have been compromised by an unauthorised overflight.*

The flying object seen by my Cousin and myself was of the type which gives a low or zero radar image, as per the Luftwaffe Gotha fighter aircraft of 1945 and the American Flying Wing designs inspired by the German originals.

Leaving aside any thought of "extra-terrestrials", it may be that another country is using a high-speed low-radar-image craft for unauthorised overflights.

I would question the idea that it might be a British design, since the expense required would be quite beyond our current modest capabilities.

This would leave our Allies the USA, or a secret Russian design left over from the massive Soviet programmes, or possibly a Chinese craft. The latter possibility could link in with efforts into sub-orbital flight?

Please do not simply dismiss this sighting as the ramblings of yet another crank, of which I imagine you come across a large number each year.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

UFO SIGHTING

On Friday, 2nd September 2005, my Cousin and I both saw an Unidentified Flying Object.

Place: Rhossili Bay, South Wales.

Time: Approx 21.30.

Witnesses:
Section 40 aged Section 40 retired Section 40 currently engaged in writing and translating books on military, naval and aviation history.
Section 40 aged Section 40 mature student.

Circumstances:
My Cousin and I had walked my Portuguese Mountain Dog on the beach, and after the sun set we slowly made our way back to the cliff path and began to climb it with the aid of a torch.
Halfway up the steep path we sat down on a bench and looked up at the clear night sky. It was a cloudless night, with no moon. The stars were clearly visible since we were about ten miles from the lights of Swansea, the nearest major conglomeration.
An airliner flew over, then what I took to be a helicopter, both showing flashing lights.
Next we saw what appeared to be a star which moved, from West to East. This I assumed to be a satellite. When this satellite reached the shadow of the edge of the Earth, it became invisible.
My Cousin and I leaned back, looking vertically upwards, discussing the stars spread before us.
Suddenly we became aware of a bright object, travelling at very high speed, moving horizontally from West to East almost directly above our heads.

Description:
The object was shaped like a pair of stubby swept-back wings, meeting at around 90 degrees. The wingtips were round, as was the forward joint of port and starboard wings.
There was no visible fuselage or tail or engines.
The whole craft was white, matt rather than shiny, and reminded me afterwards of parian marble.
It made no sound, but then we had heard no sound either from the aircraft we had noticed earlier.
It displayed no navigation lights.

After what must have been less than a full second, the object disappeared.

My cousin turned to me in surprise, and I exclaimed "What on earth was that thing we just saw?". He suggested it was a shooting star. This thought had also passed through my mind as my brain tried to rationalise what we had just seen. I replied that shooting stars do not have wings, and they usually follow a parabolic path downwards, whereas this winged object was flying straight and level.

We had no means of guesstimating its size, speed or height.

Puzzled as to why it was clearly visible for a brief time, then became invisible, I have since surmised that, like the satellite we had previously observed, this object was illuminated by the rays of the sun below the horizon. When it flew into the Earth's shadow it ceased to be visible.
However, the angle involved at the moment it ceased to be illuminated, based on my observation of the satellite, would mean that the flying object would have passed over at a great height. This would then mean it was travelling at extremely high speed. Equally, to see an object which appeared some two inches across from such a great height would mean it was of considerable size.

Section 40

Section 40 rang the Das answerphone again, 30 January 2006, and said that UFOs/aliens are fallen angels and that they all live amongst us. That there is a spiritual war going on. That we do not understand what he is trying to explain to us.

No reply back to Section 40 as I have told him many times of our policy and that the MOD does not have the knowledge/expertise of the phenomena that he is speaking of. He has rang Das answerphone a number of times now, and just says exactly the same thing.

No further action taken.

Section 40
30 January 2006

28

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Edinburgh
East Lothian
Scotland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
18 January 2006

Dear Section 40

I have just received your message off our answerphone – 18 January 2006.

I am not disputing that these things have happened to you in the past, or are ongoing too. Sorry if I misunderstood you. We are certainly not ignoring what you are telling us, it's just this department, as stated before in my previous letters, is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence purely relating to UFOs, and dealing with the logging of UFO reports, among other duties. The MOD does not deal with the issues you mentioned, and we certainly have not got an attitude towards any member of the public. Plus, the MOD does not have any knowledge or evidence of any of the issues you mention, of fallen angels or any other kind of phenomena. The MOD does not have the remit to investigate into UFOs or any phenomena, contrary to what the public may think.

Also, the Ministry of Defence, deals with the Defence of the UK, and does not have access to your medical records or anyone else's for that fact. We deal with Defence, not health issues of the general public.

Finally, with the subject you mentioned on our answerphone, you should take other avenues that could help you, and hopefully then, you will be able to get the answers you are looking for.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

27

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Edinburgh
East Lothian
Scotland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
11 January 2006

Dear Section 40

I have just received your message off of our answerphone. I don't know if you received my previous letter of 15 November 2005, as I could not make out your address properly (sorry if I have still got it wrong), on our answerphone. So I have enclosed it again, with this second letter.

You mention on various occasions of aliens being among us. If we became aware of any evidence which might suggest a potential threat, action would be set in hand to investigate, analyse and counter that threat, in the light of the circumstances which prevail at the time. This applies to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source. UK airspace is continually policed to ensure that no such aircraft enters our airspace. I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or lifeforms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

As mentioned before in my first letter, if you are being harassed in the general sense, that is a criminal offence and is a matter for the civil police to deal with. If the problems you are experiencing carry on, you should file a complaint.

Sorry I could not be more help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Edinburgh
East Lothian
Scotland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
15 November 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your message left on the Das answerphone on 8 November 2005.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs. Our answerphone is not a general helpline. It is for members of the public to leave reports of UFO sightings. We do not phone members of the public to discuss UFO's or any other issues they may have. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

You told this office on the answerphone, about strange events happening to you. The matter of alien life forms, is a non-issue as far as the MOD is concerned. The MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of extraterrestrial activity. You talked about people trying to kidnap you. Abduction/kidnap in the general sense is, of course, a criminal offence and as such would be a matter for the civil police.

I can not help you with all the other things you are afraid of, they are not issues that this Department deals with. Also, I have no knowledge as to who any of those people are that you mentioned on the answerphone. As mentioned above, this could be a matter for the civil police to deal with.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 rang Das answerphone on 5 December 2005, to say she is going to complain, as I wont give her my personal work number. She will only use it to complain of issues that do not concern this Department, as she has done on the Das answerphone in the past. I have told her many a time, that we correspond by letter or e.mail.

No further action taken.

Section 40

5 December 2005

25

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your e-mail concerning reports of UFO sightings. I have also been given the message you sent to public@ministers.mod.uk on 11th November.

I can confirm that this department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFO matters in the UK. It may be helpful to you if I explain the role of the Ministry of Defence regarding these matters.

The Ministry of Defence does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms. Although we remain totally open-minded, to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. We do not investigate UFO sightings, but examine any reports we receive solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, (and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence), we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

e-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Telephone 0207 218 2140
Fax: Section 40

21st November 2005

From: Section 40 @aol.com [mailto Section 40 @aol.com]
Sent: 19 November 2005 12:35
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: UFO sightings

Madam
Sirs,

I am wondering is this the right email address to report UFO sightings in the UK? I saw this email address as part of the Information Freedom Act.

With kind regards

Section 40 [redacted]

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Low Flying/E-MAIL
UFO

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) PTP **TO Ref No** 8630 **/2005**

cc.

Date 15/11/05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40
Section 40 DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@aol.com
Sent: 11 November 2005 17:39
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: Help needed

Dear Madam
Dear Sirs,

How can I contact the MOD UFO help desk. I read in the web that you have one. Who can I fax information to? Who is charge? Or is it the RAF? I would appreciate fax, phone number and also email address.

I know you are also investigating on this. It has to do with something I have seen.

With kind regards
Section 40 [redacted]
40547 Düsseldorf
Germany
Tel: Section 40 [redacted]

24

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
16 November 2005

Dear Section 40

I have received your message you left on our answerphone. As stated in my previous letter of 10 November 2005, we have a limited interest in the subject of UFOs.

I am not disputing that you had sightings that you could not identify on the 5/6/8 November 2005, but we did not have any other members of the public inform us of any sightings on those dates from Gloucestershire. A lot of reports are made to local newspapers, police stations, RAF stations and air traffic control centres, but we do not always get informed of these. Sometimes a member of the public sends us newspaper clippings or informs us of a website to look at as you have done. Some of the reports we receive could be hoaxes, and others could be put down to things such as laser light shows, spot lights, satellites, meteorites, weather balloons etc.

The MOD does not study or research the phenomena of UFOs, and this office, which forms part of an RAF Secretariat Branch, is not constituted as a 'UFO' information bureau. We look at reports as part of our normal duties to seek to establish whether a sighting might represent something which is of defence concern.
It is clearly outside the Department's defence remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky. It is quite normal for a sighting to remain unexplained but require no further official action. Once content that there is no evidence of a matter of defence concern, the reports are placed on file.

Finally, the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time 'picture' of the UK airspace. So if we became aware of evidence which might suggest a potential threat, action would be set in hand to investigate, analyse and counter that threat, in the light of the circumstances that prevail at the time. This applies to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source. I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or life forms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

I hope that this answers your question of the Ministry of Defence's role in dealing with the subject of UFOs.

Yours sincerely

Section 40 [redacted]

23

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Edinburgh
East Lothian
Scotland

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
15 November 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your message left on the Das answerphone on 8 November 2005. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs. Our answerphone is not a general helpline. It is for members of the public to leave reports of UFO sightings. We do not phone members of the public to discuss UFO's or any other issues they may have. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

You told this office on the answerphone, about strange events happening to you. The matter of alien life forms, is a non-issue as far as the MOD is concerned. The MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of extraterrestrial activity. You talked about people trying to kidnap you. Abduction/kidnap in the general sense is, of course, a criminal offence and as such would be a matter for the civil police.

I can not help you with all the other things you are afraid of, they are not issues that this Department deals with. Also, I have no knowledge as to who any of those people are that you mentioned on the answerphone. As mentioned above, this could be a matter for the civil police to deal with.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

22

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
County Down
Northern Ireland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
7 November 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on our answerphone on the 2 November 2005. Our answerphone is not a general helpline. It is for members of the public to leave reports of UFO sightings. You asked for someone to call you back. We do not contact members of the public by phone to discuss UFOs/alien intelligence, we correspond by letter or e.mail. Also, as stated in my previous letter dated 10 October 2005 regarding your UFO sighting, I mentioned the limited interest that the MOD has with regards to the subject of UFO's.

On your message you mentioned about alien intelligence and extermination of the human race. If we became aware of any evidence which might suggest a potential threat, action would be set in hand to investigate, analyse and counter that threat, in the light of the circumstances which prevail at the time. This applies to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source. UK airspace is continually policed to ensure that no such aircraft enters our airspace. I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or lifeforms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 rang the Das answerphone again on 2 November 2005 and told us that her computer was now totally offline.

No further action taken.

Section 40

3 November 2005

20

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
13 October 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your last message left on the Das answerphone – 13 October 2005.

If you look back at my letter of the 10 February 2005, I informed you, that the hacking of your computer was not a problem for this Department to deal with. As I said before, if you are having problems with your computer, that is a matter for your company to deal with, or if they can't sort it out, then it could be a matter for the civil police.

Our answerphone is not a general helpline for people to ring and complain about general issues. It is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence in which people leave reports of UFO sightings.

After this, I have nothing further to add.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 rang the Das answerphone again on 11 October 2005 and mentioned that she had requested a phone number to ring this Department. Then went on to say, that she is talking about our air space being compromised.

Letter attached is explaining our policy of our air defence, and that we have got a strategy in place.

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
11 October 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message left on our answerphone on the 11 October 2005.

On the answerphone you requested a number for this section. We have the answerphone for members of the public to leave messages on, to do with the subject of UFOs. We do not give out our personal work numbers from this Department to members of the public, we correspond by letter or e.mail.

You asked about the issue of our airspace, (national security) being compromised and how the Ministry of Defence would deal with the situation. Let me assure you the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Defence Region would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might, if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). (This would apply to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat. I should add, the Ministry of Defence does not rely on members of the public informing us of aerial sightings in order to defend UK airspace.

Finally, I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or lifeforms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

I hope this letter has answered your questions and I have nothing further to add.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

18

Dear Section 40

The Air Historical Branch mentioned my name because this Directorate is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs. However, I am afraid I am unable to assist you with your quest for film footage of RAF Woodbridge and RAF Bentwaters as we do not hold any such material.

The only information we hold regarding the alleged events at Rendlesham Forest in 1980 are paper records which have already been released into the public domain. If you have not already seen these, please look at our Freedom of Information Publication Scheme at www.foi.mod.uk and search under 'Rendlesham Forest'.

I am sorry I can not be of more assistance.

Section 40
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H, Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB
6th October 2005

From: Section 40
Sent: 05 October 2005 16:23
To: Section 40
Subject: Fwd: RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge Footage

Hi Section 40
I was referred to you from the below email. I am producing a show for The History Channel about the 1980 UFO incident that happened in Rendlesham Forest between the twin RAF bases, Woodbridge and Bentwaters. We are in dire need of obtaining footage of these two bases while they were in operation. Now our show takes places in the late 70's to early 80's but at this point we are willing to get any sort of archival material that would show life on the base. In other words, our show talks about people on the base, living working on the base and all the footage we have so far is just the base, NO LIFE there whatsoever. So we are looking for any footage that has people on the base. Would you have this sort of material or could you refer me to someone who might have this material. I have contacted ITN, THe British Defense Film Library, the Imperial War Museum, I am running out of options. Any luck with any material or other contacts would be greatly appreciate.

However, I am afraid that time is not on my side. We are in the middle of our edit and there are lots of holes that I must fill. If you could back to me as soon as possible that would be great. You can either email me back directly or contact me at Section 40
Thank you in Advance,
Sincerely,
Section 40
--
Section 40
Associate Producer
Towers Productions, Inc.
549 W. Randolph Street, Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661

voice Section 40
fax Section 40
http://www.towersproductions.com

Begin forwarded message:

From: Section 40
Section 40 @mod.uk>
Date: September 7, 2005 5:46:09 AM CDT
To: Section 40
Subject: RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge Footage
Section 40
Please see below the reply from the RAF's Historical Branch; unfortunately they are unable to help. However, as you can see they suggest that you might contact the RAF Museum or the Imperial War Museum.

We have some contacts which may be of use. I have copied this e-mail to Section 40 who is the PR Director at the RAF Museum and I will telephone him later today and www.iwm.org.uk is the website for the Imperial War Museum which may also be a good starting point.
Hope this helps
Section 40

From: AHB RAF [mailto:ahb.raf@btconnect.com]
Sent: 06 September 2005 16:44
To: Section 40 Sqn Ldr
Subject: Re: RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge Footage

Dear Squadron Leader Section 40

Thank you for your email to Head of AHB (RAF) with regard to footage of RAF Bentwaters and RAF Woodbridge; Seb has seen your email and has asked me to reply on his behalf. Sadly, we cannot respond to Section 40 request, as we as we do not hold any form of film archive. However, large film collections are held by the Imperial War Museum (the official repository) and the RAF Museum, and either/both of these organisations may be able to help the enquirer.

We believe that the reference in Section 40 email to 'what was said to have happened in Rendlesham Forest' may be a reference to an alleged UFO sighting in December 1980. Section 40 DAS FOIA (email: Section 40 @mod.uk) has responsibility for UFO matters within the Air Staff, and we would therefore suggest that Section 40 Section 40 also be informed of the approach from Section 40

Sorry that we cannot help further on this occasion,

Section 40
AHB2b(RAF)
Air Historical Branch (RAF)

----- Original Message -----
From: Section 40 Sqn Ldr
To: AHB.RAF@btconnect.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 10:51 AM
Subject: RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge Footage

Section 40
Could you deal with this request please?
Thanks very much
Section 40

From: Section 40
[mailto Section 40
Sent: 01 September 2005 15:44
To: Section 40 Sqn Ldr
Subject: RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge Footage

Hello Section 40
Per our conversation today, I am emailing you to let you know what my agenda is for obtaining footage of the two RAF bases, Woodbridge and Bentwaters. I am an associate producer for Towers Productions in Chicago. We are currently producing a documentary for The History Channel about the twin air force bases and what was said to have happened in Rendlesham Forest. Since the air force bases are no longer in operation, we are looking for archive footage that would show

them in operation. But really I am looking for any and all archive footage of the two bases. If you could pass this along to the right researcher or department so my inquiry could be addressed that would be greatly appreciated.

One last thing, time is not on my side however production is moving forward quickly. If this could get into the hands of the right person as soon as possible that would be great. I know that after we find the footage there will be a whole other process to work out with licensing the footage. I appreciate you taking the time to read and send this email and for all of you help on the phone earlier. I look forward to hearing from you or someone else at your earliest convenience.

Thank you in Advance,
Sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted]
--
Section 40 [redacted]
Associate Producer
Towers Productions, Inc.
549 W. Randolph Street, Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661

voice: Section 40 [redacted]
fax: Section 40 [redacted]
http://www.towersproductions.com

17

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
21 September 2005

Dear Section 40

Have just received your letter dated 15 September 2005.

In my letter of 9 August 2005 I mentioned a 'certain person', meaning that I did not know who you were talking about or complaining about. Nothing sinister meant by it, or insinuating that I knew who it was. It was just a matter of speech.

Hope this has cleared up the misunderstanding.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

DAS
10 AUG 05
FILE

Section 40

Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
9 August 2005

Dear Section 40

I have been receiving your messages off the Das answerphone. As in my previous letter dated the 10 February 2005, I mentioned that the matters you were complaining of were not a matter for this Department and is clearly a problem that the police should be dealing with.

This is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. So our answerphone is purely for people who want to report their UFO sightings that they have seen. Please do not use this as a means to complain about issues that this Department has nothing to do with, or can do anything about.

You and others that you know obviously have trouble with a certain person. You should contact the police and put in a complaint with them. They will be able to deal with the situation that you have been complaining about. As before, to the matter of your computer being hacked into, that is a matter for your company (if you work for one). They should be dealing with the problem and getting to the bottom of it.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

15/9/05

Section 40

Dear

I have seen ufos and experienced phenomena for many years. 28th August 95 when I saw the 'Alien Autopsy' was a key point I have a website Roswell.com, open since July 04.
Please explain who the "certain person" is
I reinstall my computer from scratch practically on a daily basis it does not help. who is the "certain person"?
Thanking you in advance

Section 40

16

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Georgia 30269
USA

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
14 September 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail that you sent to the Public Ministers Office on 13 September 2005. The e.mail was passed to me and I have been asked to reply.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

Thank you for the video link of the alleged UFO, we could barely see anything on the screen, so it was hard to decipher what it could be. As stated in previous letters to you, we do not analyse video footage or sightings as this department has a limited interest in the subject of UFOs. So I cannot give you an official conclusion as to what is on the video footage. The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

The Ministry of Defence does not rely on people to inform us of UFO sightings for defence reasons, we already have that strategy in place.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Low Rigg //E-MAIL
1105.

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) Pol.

TO Ref No 7055 **/2005**

CC.

Date 14/9/05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@yahoo.com]
Sent: 13 September 2005 00:24
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: Essex UK - please respond

Hello. Once the Ministry of Defense has analyzed this video footage, please write me and let me know what the official conclusion is as soon as you can.

This is a prime example of a night time triangular UFO. Are these your own secret triangular air craft which can hover? Or is this truly a UFO? It's something I hope you look into and take seriously for air defense reasons...

http://www.hbccufo.org/videos/DSC_0911.AVI

(video)

http://www.hbccufo.org/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=3181

(article)

Please write to my address:

Section 40 [redacted]
[redacted] Georgia 30269

15

Dear Section 40

I have just received your e.mail dated today's date.

You mentioned about the threat of terrorism and how the Ministry of Defence would deal with the situation. The integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Defence Region would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat.
I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or lifeforms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.
Some of the reports we receive could be hoaxes, and others could be put down to things such as laser light shows, spot lights, satellites, meteorites, weather balloons etc.
As to your question of the remit to investigate aerial phenomena, no department in the Ministry of Defence has the funds to carry out these investigations. As mentioned before in my previous letters, the MOD has a limited interest in the subject of 'UFO's. The Ministry of Defence does not rely on people to inform us of sightings for defence reasons, we already have that strategy in place.

I hope this e.mail has answered your questions.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@aol.com
Sent: 09 September 2005 14:27
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: (no subject)

Thank you for your letter dated 2 August 2005. I found the information rather enlightning, would it be possible for you to tell me if it is not in your departments remit to investigate ariel phenomena, then could you please tell me who's remit it is. We now live in an age where people fly planes into buildings and blow themselves up. If the general public are reporting and i quote "200-300 UFO reports a year" and you believe that rational explanations exist for the majority of them, Then who in the MOD investigates the minority of UFO reports that members of the public report. Surely after everything that has happened concerning terrorism the MOD would take this matter more seriously and take steps to investigate them.

Yours gratefully Section 40 [redacted]

14

Section 40 [redacted]

Message received Friday 26 August 2005, at 11.15L.

Section 40 rang Das answerphone again, and said she would like to be provided with a phone number of someone that can help her, and she suggested that we should provide this in writing.

No reply, as this is not a matter for Das.

I have informed her of our policy numerous times.

Section 40 [redacted]

26 August 2005

13

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40
Bramley
Leeds
Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3
Date
26th August 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letter of 16 August regarding an approach by individuals offering you money.

I can assure you these people are not connected to the Ministry of Defence and we see no reason why MOD officials would act in such a manner. If you are concerned about your safety I suggest you contact the Police.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS
102No. .....
2005
FILE

LOW FLYING UFOS

TOC 6146/05 relates

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P+P **TO Ref No** 6606 **/2005**

CC.

Date 24/8/05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]
BRAMLEY LEEDS
Section 40
WEST YORKSHIRE
16/8/05

Dear sir,

Thankyou for answering my questions about ufo,s but you still have not answered my question about why two government officials who offered me a briefcase full of money.They were two men who were in a car at the bottom of our street, they offered me the money with know explanation.Well I did not take it, god knows why but if the offer is still on I will take it now.Maybe somebody else would be interested in my story and I could call it armageddon or something like that.

Yours truly,

Section 40 [redacted]

THE LORD BACH

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

OLD WAR OFFICE BUILDING

WHITEHALL

LONDON



12

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Georgia 30269
USA

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
23 August 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail that you sent to the Public Ministers Office on 30 June 2005. The e.mail has been passed to me and I have been asked to reply. Sorry for the delay in getting back to you.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

As mentioned before in my previous letter dated 17 March 2005, the MOD has a limited interest in UFOs. We have no expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. Hence, we know not of any ET visitations.

You may wish to know that there is already some information about UFOs available for viewing. MOD files were routinely destroyed after 5 years until 1967 when they were generally preserved for The National Archives. A few have survived before 1967 and these with records up to 1977 are now available for viewing. The National Archives can be contacted at Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 4DU or telephone, 020 8876 3444. The National Archives also have a website giving information about the records they hold and how to access them. This can be found on the internet at http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

As to your question of the 'Rendlesham Forest' incident, you may wish to know that all the surviving MOD documents relating to the Rendlesham Forest incident have been released into the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme and can be viewed on the internet at www.foi.mod.uk. A search under 'Rendlesham Forest' will take you directly to these documents.

Hope this will be of help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

LOW FLYING UFOS /E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P-P

CC.

TO Ref No 5274 /2005

Date 4/7/05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@yahoo.com]
Sent: 30 June 2005 19:32
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: Re-send my request for you

I would like to know the final official British Parliament Ministry of Defense explanation as to what happened in late December of 1980 at Woodbridge/Bentwaters RAFB. A major UFO event happened there in the forest which involved Charles Halt. Commanding officer who released the audio tape of Mr. Halt was Section 40 [redacted] who I have emailed.

The whole event was labeled Top Secret for reasons unknown. But now Section 40 [redacted] says he thinks Halt simply had a very vivid imagination. I, along with millions of other people don't buy that because imprints were found in the ground and radiation levels were higher than normal in the area. I would also like the Ministry of Defense to open ALL of it's UFO files and tell us what you know about ET visitations.

I hope to hear from you as soon as possible. Best regards!

Section 40 [redacted]

Section 40 [redacted]
Georgia 30269
USA

Section 40 [redacted]

Message received Tuesday 16 August 2005, at 14.30L.

Section 40 rang Das answerphone and told us that she is being held against her will/wishes, on trumped up charges at Treadgold in Huntley.
She asked if we could contact a Solicitor to help her get out.

No reply, as this is not a matter for this Department/MOD.

I have informed her on many occasions due to different complaints that she makes, that we can not be of any help to her as her complaints have nothing to do with UFOs. They are more along the lines that someone is stalking her (a matter for the civil police), or tapping into her work computer, a matter for her company to deal with.

Section 40 [redacted]

16 August 2005.

10

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Holborn
London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
9 August 2005

Dear Section 40

I have been receiving your messages off the Das answerphone. As in my previous letter dated the 10 February 2005, I mentioned that the matters you were complaining of were not a matter for this Department and is clearly a problem that the police should be dealing with.

This is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. So our answerphone is purely for people who want to report their UFO sightings that they have seen. Please do not use this as a means to complain about issues that this Department has nothing to do with, or can do anything about.

You and others that you know obviously have trouble with a certain person. You should contact the police and put in a complaint with them. They will be able to deal with the situation that you have been complaining about. As before, to the matter of your computer being hacked into, that is a matter for your company (if you work for one). They should be dealing with the problem and getting to the bottom of it.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

9

Section 40
Bramley
Leeds
Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3
Date
4th August 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your three undated letters sent to the Ministry of Defence concerning your experiences in 1981 and unidentified flying objects seen over your home while you were living in New Zealand. Your letters have been passed to me, as this Department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

You may recall, I wrote to you on 29 March 2004 in response to your previous letter about these matters. In that letter I explained Ministry of Defence policy regarding UFO sightings, namely that we examine the UFO sightings we receive solely to establish whether there is any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence we do not attempt to identify what has been seen. However, as your sightings took place in New Zealand's airspace (not the UK), this is clearly a matter for the New Zealand authorities and you may wish to contact them.

With regard to the film you were shown in 1981 showing you your future, I know of no such activities carried out by MOD officials or for what defence purpose these might occur. I am therefore unable to assist you with your enquiries into these events.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

TOC 5968/2005 Refers.

See note DAS from JH (MIN DP) How F(4149) UFO's.

102No

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) PP

TO Ref No 6146 /2005

CC.

Date 3.8.05.

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

TREAT OFFICIAL
- Link to his previous letters of last week.

Section 40

Section 40

BRAMLEY LEEDS
Section 40
WEST YORKSHIRE
TEL, Section 40

APS/MinDef
2/8/

Dear sir,

I am writing to you regarding my letters I sent to you about my experiances with the government back in 1981.I know you will not believe any of my letters I have sent to you so I am going to find proof of my claims and then show you.
It might seem a little far fetched time travel but it is a fact that it is possible and it was shown to me back then in 1981.So I will find my proof then I will get back to you.
Maybe you could contact me on the number above and then I could give you the full story.

Yours truly,

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Lead Flying Units

Person Poc 3541/05

DAS
102No.
FILE

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DASCA1 PIP

TO Ref No 5968 /2005

CC.

Date 28/7/05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40
Section 40 ; DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

THE LORD BACH

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

MAIN WAR OFFICE BUILDING

WHITEHALL

LONDON SW1A 2EU



TREAT OFFICIAL
please.

Section 40

MRS/Min(OP)
26/7/05

Section 40

BRAMLEY LEEDS
Section 40
WEST YORKSHIRE

Dear sir,

I know as well as you know that the government is experimenting with time travel. What I want to know is why they showed me my future in new zealand and why did the government offer me money which I did not take.

I have wrote to you before reporting ufo,s in new zealand and I had the new zealand air force interested in these things because they were directly over my house. Now I have come back to England I have still seen the ufo,s nearby.

Maybe this is all so secret even you do not have access to this information but if you try and find out what this is all about I would be gratefull.

Yours faithfully

Section 40

THE LORD BACH

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

OLD WAR OFFICE BUILDING

WHITEHALL

LONDON SW1A 2EU



Section 40 [redacted]

BRAMLEY LEEDS
Section 40
WEST YORKSHIRE
TEL Section 40 [redacted]

Dear sir,

I am writing to you again regarding my last letter which I did not explain much about my experiances with the government back in or around 1981.

Back then I was about thirteen years old and one day my sister was approached by two men from the government, they showed her some computers and told her many things about me and what was going to happen in the future.They told her to show me a film on television that same day and she did get me to watch the film and it showed me and my house and various other locations around new zealand. At the time I had never been overseas so I dismissed it as been just a pointless film that showed just a load of film clips.But now I have lived through those filmed events I remember most of those experiances but I have not yet met my wife who was said to be my wife on the film and there is a few other things I have not seen yet.Anyway my sister is a witness to all of this and I cannot stop thinking about all of this now, so what is the big secret and why will you not tell me what is going on.

I hope to hear from you with an explanation soon.

Yours faithfully

Section 40 [redacted]

8

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your message of 31 July concerning the address by which you can report UFO sightings. Your letter has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs.

First it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any role or expertise with respect to flying saucers or extraterrestrial lifeforms. While we remain open minded, to date we know of no evidence as to the existence of such phenomena. Any UFO sightings reported to the MOD are examined solely to determine whether there is any evidence that the UK air defence area has been compromised by unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify what might have been seen. It is not the role of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service and we could not justify public expenditure on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

Baring this in mind, if you wish to inform the MOD about a sighting you can contact us via any of the following:

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
Level 5, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E-mail: das-ufo-office@mod.uk
Telephone:020 7218 2140 (24 hour answerphone)


I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

2nd August 2005

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **



Low Flying/UFOs/E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (RA) RAF

TO Ref No 6076 **/2005**

CC.

Date 02 AUG 05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40

From: Section 40 @yahoo.com]
Sent: 31 July 2005 20:10
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: REPORTING UFO SIGHTINGS

DEAR SIRS,CAN YOU GIVE ME THE CORRECT ADDRESS TO SEND UFO SIGHTINGS TO,THANKING YOU IN ANTICIPATION.
REGARDS

Section 40

LIVINGSTON
WEST LOTHIAN

Section 40

Section 40

DIRECTOR OF E2WUFOS
www.geocities.com/e2wufos/home

Start your day with Yahoo! - make it your home page

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@yahoo.com]
Sent: 03 August 2005 13:23
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: Re: Reporting UFO sightings

Dear Section 40 [redacted] **thank you for that information.**
regards
Section 40 [redacted]

DAS-UFO-Office <DAS-UFO-Office@mod.uk> wrote:

> Dear Section 40 [redacted]
>
> I am writing concerning your message of 31 July concerning the address by which you can report UFO sightings. Your letter has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs.
>
> First it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any role or expertise with respect to flying saucers or extraterrestrial lifeforms. While we remain open minded, to date we know of no evidence as to the existence of such phenomena. Any UFO sightings reported to the MOD are examined solely to determine whether there is any evidence that the UK air defence area has been compromised by unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify what might have been seen. It is not the role of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service and we could not justify public expenditure on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.
>
> Baring this in mind, if you wish to inform the MOD about a sighting you can contact us via any of the following:
>
> Ministry of Defence
> Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
> Level 5, Zone H
> Main Building
> Whitehall
> London
> SW1A 2HB
>
> E-mail: das-ufo-office@mod.uk
> Telephone:020 7218 2140 (24 hour answerphone)
>
> I hope this is helpful.
>
> Yours sincerely,
>
> Section 40 [redacted]
>
> 2nd August 2005

Section 40 [redacted]
DIRECTOR OF E2WUFOS
www.geocities.com/e2wufos/home

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@yahoo.com]
Sent: 03 August 2005 16:27
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: Re: UFO SIGHTING

DEAR Section 40 [redacted] THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TROUBLE TO ANSWER MY LETTER AND SENDING ME AN ELECTRONIC COPY.I CAN NOW PUT THIS SIGHTING TO BED AS IT WAS THANKS AGAIN.
YOURS SINCERELY
Section 40 [redacted]
DIRECTOR OF E2WUFOS

DAS-UFO-Office <DAS-UFO-Office@mod.uk> wrote:

> Dear Section 40 [redacted]
>
> I sent a reply to your letter of 17th July in the post on Monday 1st August. Clearly it has not arrived with you yet, but for your information I attach an electronic copy.
>
> Yours sincerely,
>
> Section 40 [redacted]
> Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Section 40 [redacted]@yahoo.com]
> **Sent:** 03 August 2005 13:33
> **To:** DAS-UFO-Office
> **Subject:** UFO SIGHTING
>
> DEAR SIRS,I WROTE TO THE MOD ON THE 17TH OF JULY 2005 TO REPORT A UFO SIGHTING OVER THE PENTLAND HILLS HERE IN WEST LOTHIAN SCOTLAND ON THE 10TH OF MAY THIS YEAR.A COUPLE FROM LIVINGSTON FILMED TWO UFO`S OVER THE HILLS AND SENT ME THE TAPE.THEY FILMED THE SIGHTING AT 22:20 HOURS OVER THE PENTLAND HILLS AND I WANTED TO KNOW IF THERE WAS ANY MILITARY ACTIVITY OVER THE HILLS AT THIS TIME.THE OBJECTS NEVER MOVED MOVED LIKE ANY CONVENTIONAL AIRCRAFT,THE TWO SILVER OBJECTS MOVED BACKWARDS AND FORWARDS ACROSS THE SKY BEFORE BLINKING OUT ALTOGETHER.CAN YOU LET ME KNOW IF IN FACT ANY MILITARY ACTIVITIES WERE GOING ON ON THAT DATE AND TIME.THANKING YOU IN ANTICIPATION.
> YOURS SINCERELY
>
> Section 40 [redacted]
> DIRECTOR OF E2WUFOS

www.geocities.com/e2wufos/home

Start your day with Yahoo! - make it your home page

Section 40 [redacted]

DIRECTOR OF E2WUFOS
www.geocities.com/e2wufos/home

Start your day with Yahoo! - make it your home page

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

7

Section 40
Director of E2WUFOS
Section 40
Livingston
West Lothian
Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/3
Date

1st August 2005

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 17th July concerning two silver objects seen by a couple from Livingston, over the Pentland Hills on 10th May 2005.

You asked whether there was any military activity in the area at the time of the sighting. First it might be helpful if I explain that Livingston is within airspace controlled by Edinburgh Airport Air Traffic Control and military aircraft do not train close to civilian airports. The couple who observed this are therefore unlikely to see military aircraft close to their home. With regard to the Pentland Hills, these are outside of this controlled airspace and it is possible to see military aircraft training in this area.

With regard to this particular occasion, I should inform you that military aircrew do not use fixed flight paths, but plan their sorties individually in order to practice a variety of scenarios. Details of these sorties are not held centrally but kept by each Squadron and it is impossible to be certain whether there was any aircraft in the area without asking every Squadron to check their aircrew records. However, there is an exception to this, when low flying training is being conducted and aircrew are required to make a booking with a central booking cell. Low flying training for fixed wing aircraft is below 2000 feet and for helicopters, below 500 feet. I have checked these records and there were no military aircraft low flying at the time of this sighting. I have also checked our records for any military exercises in the area at the time and I can inform you that there was a medium-scale, multi-national training exercise taking place from the 10th to the 12th May involving fast jet, Air to Air Refuelling and E-3 aircraft. However, as this exercise took place between 0930 and 1430 daily it is unlikely to have been responsible for this observation.


I am sorry that I can not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

DAS
102/No.
18 JUL 2005
FILE

EAST 2 WEST UFO SOCIETY



"HERE TO INFORM"

Section 40

LIVINGSTON
WEST LOTHIAN
Section 40
Tel:
e-mail Section 40

17th July 2005

Dear Sirs,

I have been sent a video of a daylight sighting of a UFO filmed over the Pentland Hills here in West Lothian Scotland. The UFO was filmed by a Livingston couple from their back garden on the 10th of May 2005 at 22:20 hours.

The video shows two round silver objects moving backwards and forwards across the sky until one of them just blinks out. The witnesses were looking south towards the hills from Livingston.

The objects never moved like conventional aircraft. Can you tell me if there was any military activity in the area at this time. Thanking you in anticipation.

Regards

Section 40

Director of E2WUFOS

6

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40
Chatteris
Cambridgeshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
2 August 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your e.mail of 1 August 2005.

The MOD has a limited interest in the subject of UFOs, so Section 40 report will not be investigated into. This focal point exists mainly for defence reasons as explained in my two letters – dated 20 January 2005 and 28 July 2005. It is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

The MOD does not study or research the phenomena of UFOs, and this office, which forms part of an RAF Secretariat Branch, is not constituted as a 'UFO' information bureau. We look at reports as part of our normal duties to seek to establish whether a sighting might represent something which is of defence concern.
As it is clearly outside the Department's defence remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky it is quite normal for a sighting to remain unexplained but not require further official action. Once content that there is no evidence of a matter of defence concern, the reports are placed on file.

Unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised aircraft, the MOD does not investigate or seek to provide a precise explanation for each of the 200-300 'UFO' reports we receive each year. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

I hope that this answers your question of the Ministry of Defence's role in dealing with the subject of UFOs.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

6

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 01 August 2005 15:30

To: Section 40 @aol.com'

Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO's.

Dear Section 40

Just received your e.mail dated 1 August 2005.

The MOD has a limited interest in the subject of UFOs, so Section 40 report will not be investigated into. This focal point exists mainly for defence reasons as explained in my two letters - dated 20 January 2005 and 28 July 2005. It is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

The MOD does not study or research the phenomena of UFOs, and this office, which forms part of an RAF Secretariat Branch, is not constituted as a 'UFO' information bureau. We look at reports as part of our normal duties to seek to establish whether a sighting might represent something which is of defence concern.
As it is clearly outside the Department's defence remit to devote resources to determining the precise identity of every seemingly inexplicable sight in the sky it is quite normal for a sighting to remain unexplained but not require further official action. Once content that there is no evidence of a matter of defence concern, the reports are placed on file.

Unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised aircraft, the MOD does not investigate or seek to provide a precise explanation for each of the 200-300 'UFO' reports we receive each year. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

Hope this answers your question of the Ministry of Defence's role in dealing with the subject of UFOs.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

(E-mail wouldn't go through).

So Letter - sent - explaining our policy again.

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted]@aol.com
Sent: 01 August 2005 12:10
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: Re: UFO Report Form.

Thank you for your letter it has just arrived. The ufo report form will be filled in and sent back to you shortly. Will my family have any correspondence from your department regarding the outcome of your investigation? Yours gratefully Section 40 [redacted]

5

Dear Section 40

I am writing concerning your letters regarding the UFO sighting report you made to this office in January, the details of which have been placed in the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme Reading Room. I apologise for not sending you a response sooner.

I can confirm that our records have been amended to reflect your comments and if we receive any further Freedom of Information requests for this information, the amended details will be provided. The details published in the Reading Room will not be changed as these record the responses to Freedom of Information requests that have already been answered and if we were to change these now, it would not be a true reflection of the reply sent to the applicant.


I hope this is helpful.

Section 40
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
Section 40
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

E-mail:das-ufo-office@mod.uk

27th July 2005

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **



Lowflying/UFOS /E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) PsP **TO Ref No** 5461 /2005

CC.

Date 12.7.05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Section 40

From: Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
Sent: 07 July 2005 17:55
To: Section 40
Cc: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: REPORT AMENDMENT

Importance: High

Dear Sirs,
Re: MOD website - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION READING ROOM 2005: UFO SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION PART 2.
Webpage URL: http://www.mod.uk/publications/foi/rr/rrjun05/rrjun05ufo2.htm

I have noticed that in 'Item 33. List of UFO Sightings' 'Annex A for above' on the above webpage, the MOD response to Request 33 (filename uforeq33a.pdf) the shortened description of my report is inaccurate.
On page 4 of the file uforeq33a.pdf, in the entry for 05-Sep-04 10:24 Birmingham West Midlands, the 'Brief description of sighting' column states in the first sentence ?An object was seen the size of a ten pence piece?.
My reports by e-mail to the MOD Section 40 in late January 2005 clearly stated the following: ?If the moon was regarded as the size of a 10p coin, the object was about 2-3mm wide, with a domed top and bottom?.
Please could you arrange for this item on your files to be amended so that the first sentence of the description gives a true representation of my observation on 05-Sep-04. Thank you.

Yours sincerely,
Section 40
Section 40 Birmingham, Section 40 Tel. Section 40 or Section 40
Email: Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
---------- End of Message ----------

Book yourself something to look forward to in 2005.
Cheap flights - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/flights/
Bargain holidays - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/holidays/

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

DAS
102No. .....
2005
TOC 52261 2005

Low Flying UFOs

/E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS (LA) P+P

TO Ref No 5608 /2005

CC.

Date 18-7-05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40 [redacted]

Fr [redacted] Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
Sent: 14 July 2005 14:06
To: public@ministers.mod.uk
Cc: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: REPORT AMENDMENT

Importance: High

Please reply to my e-mail below regarding a misleading description in an MOD report.
I sent a reminder recently which had an attachment to the e-mail - this time I have
embedded the original e-mail in this e-mail (see below).
Section 40 [redacted] (see at the end for my full address) Birmingham.
Mobile. Section 40 [redacted]
Tel. Section 40 [redacted]
------- Original message --------
From: Section 40 [redacted] @tiscali.co.uk
To: Section 40 [redacted] @mod.uk
Cc: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: REPORT AMENDMENT
Send: Thu, 7 Jul 2005 17:54:58 +0100

Dear Sirs,
Re: MOD website - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION READING ROOM 2005: UFO SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION PART 2.
Webpage URL: http://www.mod.uk/publications/foi/rr/rrjun05/rrjun05ufo2.htm

I have noticed that in 'Item 33. List of UFO Sightings' 'Annex A for above'
on the above webpage, the MOD response to Request 33 (filename uforeq33a.pdf) the
shortened description of my report is inaccurate.
On page 4 of the file uforeq33a.pdf, in the entry for 05-Sep-04 10:24 Birmingham West
Midlands, the 'Brief description of sighting' column states in the first sentence 'An
object was seen the size of a ten pence piece'.
My reports by e-mail to the MOD Section 40 [redacted] in late January 2005 clearly stated the
following: 'If the moon was regarded as the size of a 10p coin, the object was about
2-3mm wide, with a domed top and bottom'.
Please could you arrange for this item on your files to be amended so that the first
sentence of the description gives a true representation of my observation on 05-
Sep-04. Thank you.

Yours sincerely,
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] Birmingham, Section 40 Tel. Section 40 [redacted] or Section 40 [redacted]
Email Section 40 [redacted] @tiscali.co.uk
------------ End of Message -------------

Book yourself something to look forward to in 2005.
Cheap flights - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/flights/
Bargain holidays - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/holidays/

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

refers 5461/05

DAS
102No.
FILE

Lowflying/E-MAIL

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(CH) P&P

TO Ref No 5587 /2005

CC.

Date 18-7-05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date.** If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Section 40

Fro Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
Sent: 13 July 2005 10:31
To: ufo-das-office@mod.uk
Cc: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: REPORT AMENDMENT

Importance: High



REPORT
AMENDMENT

I have not had a reply to my previous e-mail (see below).
Please attend to the matter and reply as soon as possible.

Section 40

tel Section 40 or Section 40

Book yourself something to look forward to in 2005.
Cheap flights - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/flights/
Bargain holidays - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/holidays/

Section 40 [redacted]

Fro Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
Sent: 07 July 2005 17:55
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Cc: public@ministers.mod.uk
Subject: REPORT AMENDMENT

Importance: High

Dear Sirs,
Re: MOD website - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION READING ROOM 2005: UFO SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION PART 2.
Webpage URL: http://www.mod.uk/publications/foi/rr/rrjun05/rrjun05ufo2.htm

I have noticed that in 'Item 33. List of UFO Sightings' 'Annex A for above' on the above webpage, the MOD response to Request 33 (filename uforeq33a.pdf) the shortened description of my report is inaccurate.
On page 4 of the file uforeq33a.pdf, in the entry for 05-Sep-04 10:24 Birmingham West Midlands, the 'Brief description of sighting' column states in the first sentence ?An object was seen the size of a ten pence piece?.
My reports by e-mail to the MOD Section 40 [redacted] in late January 2005 clearly stated the following: ?If the moon was regarded as the size of a 10p coin, the object was about 2-3mm wide, with a domed top and bottom?.
Please could you arrange for this item on your files to be amended so that the first sentence of the description gives a true representation of my observation on 05-Sep-04. Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 [redacted] Birmingham, Section 40 Tel. Section 40 [redacted] or Section 40 [redacted]
Email: Section 40 @tiscali.co.uk
---------- End of Message ----------

Book yourself something to look forward to in 2005.
Cheap flights - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/flights/
Bargain holidays - http://www.tiscali.co.uk/travel/holidays/

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

4

Section 40
Chatteris
Cambridgeshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
28 July 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your e.mail of 14 July 2005, that you sent to this office.

I am sorry if my letter dated 20 January 2005 to Section 40 sounded dismissive, but as stated in that letter we do have a limited interest in UFOs. Section 40 did not leave a date, time or details of her sighting on the answerphone that was required.

We do keep an open mind about the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial activity, but the general 'UFO' debate falls outside our specific remit relating to defence matters – we keep an open mind and remain vigilant for anything which might indicate that the integrity of the UK's Air Defence Region has been compromised (which is why the focal point exists).
If we become aware of evidence which might suggest a potential threat, action would be set in hand to investigate, analyse and counter that threat, in the light of the circumstances which prevail at the time. This applies to any form of threat to the UK's security from whatever source. I should point out that to date, the MOD is not aware of any evidence which might substantiate the existence of craft or lifeforms of extraterrestrial origin, and no threat has been discerned which has been attributed to a 'UFO'.

Also, as requested, the report form that we use is enclosed for your information.

Hope this will be helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 28 July 2005 15:01

To: Section 40 @aol.com'

Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO Report Form.

Dear Section 40

A report form and covering letter is on it's way to you.

Hope it will be of help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40 @aol.com
Sent: 14 July 2005 13:40
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: ufo report

On or about the 15th of January my wife phoned your office and left her name and address as requested by the automated message, this was regarding a ufo sighting that my wife Section 40 and our oldest son Section 40 witnessed while coming home from work/school. Your ref/d/das/64/2 the letter that my wife received seems to be rather dismissive. Has the mod any ufo report forms, and if you have could you please send my wife one out, you have our address on file anyway.

Yours gratefully Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

3

Section 40

London
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
6 July 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your message regarding UFOs that you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Reports from members of the public of sightings are usually made to Police stations, RAF stations and air traffic control centres and are then forwarded to this office. Members of the public also telephone the Das answerphone directly to report a sighting. The reports which are usually very brief and vague, are considered, as necessary, in consultation with air defence advisers within the MOD, and a decision is taken as to whether what was seen represents a threat to the security of the UK. Sightings reports are kept on file within this office for future reference.

Hope this is helpful.

Tel -
Section 40

Yours sincerely

Section 40

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Bramley
Leeds
West Yorkshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
9 May 2005

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter which was passed to this department from the Public Ministers Office, concerning the MOD's position with regards to UFOs.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.


The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Hope this will be helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **



LOW FLYING / UCOS

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(LA)P+P **TO Ref No** 3591 **/2005**

CC.

Date 28.4.05

The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the above date**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Ministerial Correspondence Unit
Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB
Section 40 [redacted]
Section 40 [redacted] DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at *http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm*
If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

* Delete as appropriate.

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **
** TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY **

Section 40

BRAMLEY LEEDS
Section 40
WEST YORKSHIRE

Dear sir,
I am writing to ask for your help in finding the SF4 address for reporting unidentified flying objects to.The information I have for them is of defence significance,and it is about things I have seen and know about over here.
I would be very gratefull if you could give me some contact address to report what I have seen and know about these matters.

Yours faithfully

Section 40

THE LORD BACH
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
OLD WAR OFFICE BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON SW1A 2EU

Please Print the Postcode



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Barnham
West Sussex
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/3
Date:
19 April 2005

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 11 April 2005.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regards to the vapour trails you saw, civilian aircraft or light aircraft could have been in the area at that time, leaving the trails behind. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

As to the other issues you mention in your letter, this office does not have any responsibility for those various matters and thus can't comment on them.

Sorry I could not have been more help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

1.

The Commanding Officer,
The RAF,
c/o MOD,
c/o GPO,
Whitehall,
London.

DAS
102No. .....
13 APR 2005

Section 40
[redacted]
BARNHAM,
W. Sussex,
Section 40
[redacted]

11/4/2005

Dear Sir,

As you are bound to know, this afternoon 2 parallel vapour trails were no longer parallel when the right one curved to the right. Presumably that was satellite lasers. I have quite a history of satellite lasers – off the bike 4 times in Surrey, & blown over as a pedestrian in Sussex 3 times.

P.T.O.

I've had 'glycerine' synthetic catarrh for 29 YEARS. That is satellite synthetic catarrh due to cylindrical lasers transferring gases to this country from abroad. The technology is bound to be the same as re foot and mouth (mad cow disease). Where is the British Werner Von Braun?

Because of a bad trip in 1962 I've got voltage brown on feet & legs — there was also voltage on penis and up the anus. Forced to be on bike during 2 freezing winters was no joke.

CND have caused many problems. My younger brother used to be the Engineer Officer on a nuclear submarine.

I think that I must end here.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

[redacted]

(Old Rugbeian)

The Commanding Officer,

The RAF,

c/o MOD,

c/o GPO,

Whitehall,

LONDON.

