

(144)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Interview with ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

1. On 27 October, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ and I interviewed ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ relative to an observation of unconventional or saucer-like aircraft during his visit ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~. The following is a statement of his observations with my comments. ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ will submit separately his views on ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ statements but I believe he is in general agreement with the views stated herein.

2. The party was travelling between ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ on a train at the specific request of ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ who made every attempt to journey by train when possible. (This tends to refute the theory that the train trip was arranged in order to stage the operation ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~) About an hour and one-half out of ~~XXXX~~ the subject, who was not feeling well, was resting in his compartment with the lights turned out while the remainder of the party was in the next compartment with the lights on. He suddenly noticed a greenish-yellow ball rising rapidly. He rushed into the next compartment stating that he had seen what he thought was a flying saucer. They turned out the lights and looked out the window but ~~initially~~ saw nothing and the rest of the party was initially skeptical. However, suddenly a second ball was noticed rising rapidly and then the other members of the party were convinced. The second object was initially seen at a minimum altitude of 700 to 800 feet, and while the first object was initially viewed at an even higher altitude. Nothing was seen on the ground with the exception of a search light which was about one-half to one miles away and appeared to be aimed at a very low trajectory almost at the train. The subject did not on either occasion see the form of the object, only this fiery ball. No firm estimate could be made of the size or distance of the ball because there were no reference objects. However, the subject had the impression that the object could have been as small as a rocket although no trail was left by the rising ball. The object gave the impression of whirling and appeared to follow a single trajectory with no break in its flight pattern. The subject did not see any lights, only the yellowish glow (this is contrary to statements of other observers). The search light did not appear to be following the object. About ~~XXXX~~ the sightings and after the subject had

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Interview with [REDACTED]

1. On 27 October, [REDACTED] and I interviewed [REDACTED] relative to an observation of unconventional or saucer-like aircraft during his visit [REDACTED]. The following is a statement of his observations with my comments. [REDACTED] will submit separately his views on [REDACTED] statements but I believe he is in general agreement with the views stated herein.

2. The party was travelling between [REDACTED] on a train at the specific request of [REDACTED] who made every attempt to journey by train when possible. (This tends to refute the theory that the train trip was arranged in order to stage the operation [REDACTED]). About an hour and one-half out of [REDACTED] the subject, who was not feeling well, was resting in his compartment with the lights turned out while the remainder of the party was in the next compartment with the lights on. He suddenly noticed a greenish-yellow ball rising rapidly. He rushed into the next compartment stating that he had seen what he thought was a flying saucer. They turned out the lights and looked out the window but [REDACTED] saw nothing and the rest of the party was initially skeptical. However, suddenly a second ball was noticed rising rapidly and then the other members of the party were convinced. The second object was initially seen at a minimum altitude of 700 to 800 feet, and while the first object was initially viewed at an even higher altitude. Nothing was seen on the ground with the exception of a search light which was about one-half to one miles away and appeared to be aimed at a very low trajectory almost at the train. The subject did not on either occasion see the form of the object, only this fiery ball. No firm estimate could be made of the size or distance of the ball because there were no reference objects. However, the subject had the impression that the object could have been as small as a rocket although no trail was left by the rising ball. The object gave the impression of whirling and appeared to follow a single trajectory with no break in its flight pattern. The subject did not see any lights, only the yellowish glow (this is contrary to statements of other observers). The search light did not appear to be following the object. About five to ten minutes after the sightings and after the subject had returned to his own compartment, the guard came in and pulled down

Copy 1 of 10

O L 7 O

SUBJECT: Interview with [REDACTED]

the shades. No unusual attitude on the part of the guard was noted but it was dark. (This is in direct contradiction with the original dispatch and the statement ~~that the guard~~ ~~was not present~~ when the dispatch was prepared and sent from Prague, and as a consequence did not have a chance to verify the contents.

4. The testimony ~~of the guard~~ does not in my opinion support the theory ~~that the objects were~~ developed saucer-like or unconventional aircraft. It is quite possible that the objects seen were the exhausts of normal jet aircraft in a steep climb. The fact that none were seen on the ground night indicate that the aircraft were in a dive followed by a sharp pull-up in such a way that nothing was seen until the exhausts were visible to the observers on the train. However, it is possible that the aircraft were indeed of the short or almost vertical take-off variety.

Robert Scoville
ROBERT SCOVILLE, JR.
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

DISTRIBUTION
Orig & 1 - DCI
1 - DD/I