

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 10/1/52		2. LOCATION Mt. View, California		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. TIME GROUP 0.30 PM		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. OBJECTS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 min.		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1		9. COURSE E	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Silver. Round. Hovered.				11. COMMENTS Aircraft in area at time of sighting.	

(Classification)

Country United States of America	Report No.	(Leave Blank)
-------------------------------------	------------	---------------

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

Subject FLYOBREP		
Area Reported On Navarre, Minnesota - Near Lake Minnetonka	From (Agency) CG 31st Air Division	
Date of Report 25 August 1952	Date of Information 23 August 1952	Evaluation E-6
Prepared by (Officer) 2nd Lt. William R. Riley	Source Mr. [REDACTED] Mound, Minnesota	
References (Control number, directive, previous report, etc., as applicable)		

Summary: (Enter concise summary of report. Give significance in final one-sentence paragraph. List inclosures at lower left. Begin text of report on AF Form 112---Part II.)

Mr. [REDACTED] sighted an unusual, spherical shaped object, in the vicinity of Navarre, Minnesota, on the 23 August 1952.

Distribution by Originator ✓ Chief, AETC Wright - Patterson Field Ohio
CG, GADP Kansas City, Missouri
CG, ADC Colorado Spring, Colorado
D/I USAF Washington, D.C.

NOTE: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 50 U.S.C.---31 and 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force agencies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF.

(Classification)

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

From (Agency)	Report No.
CG, 31st Air Division	Page 1 of 1 Pages

The object sighted was spherical in shape.

The size unknown.

The color was silver or aluminum, and it reflected the sunlight.

Only one object was sighted.

The shape of the object did not resemble a plane in any way.

There was no trail or exhaust.

The object was going "Very fast".

The power of the object was unknown.

It did not make any sound.

The object arose from the horizon in the southeast and went north,

dived down, stopped, then climbed and disappeared without appearing to diminish in size.

The time of the sighting was at 1040 (CST) on the 23 August 1952.

The object was observed for 5 or 6 seconds.

No optical instruments were used in the sighting.

The location where the sighting took place was at Navarre, Minnesota, near Lake Minnetonka - towards Mound, Minnesota.

The location of the object was southeast of the observer's point. The distance was unknown.

Object was going north.

Object was probably about 25,000 feet high.

The weather was completely clear at the time and the place of the sighting.

The Name and the Address of the observer is as follows:

[REDACTED]
Mound, Minnesota
Phone: [REDACTED]

Mr. [REDACTED] was in the United States Air Force after 1946 - and is now employed by the Minneapolis-Honeywell, Minnesota. He works on the Flight Line, on B-17's and E-29's.

OTE: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 50 U.S.C.----31 and 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force agencies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF.

[REDACTED]
(Classification)

(1. Atia
2. Atia
3. C. Files

ACTION

RD25200T

WPH060

YDA067

KYC059

XDB072

JWPML 103

RR JEPHQ JEDWP JEDEN 333

DE JWPML 119A

R 252333Z

FM CG 28TH AIR DIV (DEF) HAFB CALIF

TO JEPHQ/HQS USAF WASHINGTON 25 D C

JEDWP/CG WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB DAYTON OHIO

JEDEN/CG ENT AFB NOLORADO SPRINGS COLO

JWPML/CG WADF HAMILTON AFB CALIF

~~REDACTED~~ 28TH OID. 9402 FLYOBRPT. ATTN DIRECTORS OF
INTELLIGENCE AT JEPHQ AND JWPML. ATTN: ATIAA-2C AT JEDWP.

1. ONE ROUND, SILVER COLORED OBJECT, SIZE OF AIRCRAFT, NO SOUND OR SPEED
REPORTED. OBJECT HOVERED OVER ONE POSITION FOR SHORT TIME. FADED
I TO DISTAN E. 0930 PST
0030 +

2. 0930PDT, 24 AUG. 1952. OVSERVED FOR 5 MINUTES

3. OBSERVED VISUALLY FROM GROUND.

4. OBSERVER WAS LOCATED AT GOC POST NH 52 REE. GQAO. REF. NH
5524. (MT. 6 ; 832, CALIF).

5. OBSARVER WAS ~~REDACTED~~ MT. VIEW, CALIF.

Received No 77

PAGE TWO JWPML 52F

6. CLEAR, SLIGHT WIND BLOWING.

7 NONE

8. NONE

9. NONE

10. AIRCRAFT REPORTED IN VICINITY EXACT LOCATION NOT GIVEN.

25/2345Z AUG JWPML

Cy 1

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

I. GENERAL

12

1. DATE <i>24 Aug '52</i>	2. LOCATION <i>McT. View, Calif</i>	3. TIME Local: <i>0830 PST</i> Zebra: <i>0030</i>
4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE GROUND?		☒ Yes ☐ No
		☒ Naked Eye ☐ Binoculars ☐ Telescope ☐ Theodolite
5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY GROUND RADAR?		☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ By One Set ☐ By Two Sets ☐ By Three Sets
6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE AIR?		☐ Yes ☒ No
		☐ A/C Observed Object ☐ Interception Attempted ☐ No Intercept Attempted
7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT?		☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ A/C Scrambled ☐ Visual Contact Made ☐ A/I Contact Made ☐ No Contact Made
8. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIRECTION AT ANY TIME?		☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ Normal ☐ Violent
9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT", WAS IT:		☐ Blinking ☒ Steady
10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT:		☐ 1-15 Seconds ☒ 1-5 Minutes ☐ Over 10 Minutes
11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit Number and Mailing Address)		
<i>CG 28th Air Div (Ref) Hamilton AFB, Calif</i>		

II. ASTRONOMICAL DATA

12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACTIVITY WAS NOTED?		<i>No</i>
13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO ARCH DOWNWARD?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
14. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAIL?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO DISINTEGRATE?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELATIVE TO SUNRISE OR SUNSET (Data From Air Almanac)		
		☒ Night ☐ Day ☐ Sunrise ☐ Sunset

III. AIRCRAFT DATA

17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED IN AREA?	☒ Yes ☐ No	
	☐ One Aircraft ☒ More Than One Aircraft	
18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
19. WERE THERE INDICATIONS OF HIGH BACKGROUND NOISE?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED ABOVE 45° ELEVATION?	☒ Yes ☐ No	

IV. BALLOON DATA

21. WERE BALLOONS RELEASED IN AREA? ☒ Yes ☐ No						
22. TIME SINCE SCHEDULED BALLOON RELEASE: <u>4 1/2</u> Minutes						
23. POSSIBLE BALLOON LAUNCH SITES DOWNWIND OF SIGHTING:						
	Location	Type	Launching Agency	Lighted?		Describe Lighting
				Yes	No	
a.						
b.						
c.						
d.						
(attach overlay)						

V. EVALUATION

21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor ☐ Unreliable ☐ Extremely Doubtful ☐ Hoax	22. DETAILS OF REPORT: ☐ Good ☒ Fair ☐ Poor ☐ Insufficient to Evaluate		
23. FINAL EVALUATION: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☒ Possibly Aircraft </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other: _____ ☐ Insufficient Data For Evaluation ☐ Unknown </td> </tr> </table>		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☒ Possibly Aircraft	☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other: _____ ☐ Insufficient Data For Evaluation ☐ Unknown
☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☒ Possibly Aircraft	☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other: _____ ☐ Insufficient Data For Evaluation ☐ Unknown		
24. COMMENTS:			

(PROJECT 10073 WEATHER DATA SHEET)

1. DATE OF OBSERVATION		2. TIME OF OBSERVATION		3. STATION OBSERVING	
4. WINDS ALOFT:					
ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)	ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)
0			25,000		
1,000			30,000		
2,000			35,000		
3,000			40,000		
4,000			45,000		
5,000			50,000		
6,000			55,000		
7,000			60,000		
8,000			65,000		
9,000			70,000		
10,000			75,000		
12,000			80,000		
14,000			85,000		
16,000			90,000		
18,000			95,000		
20,000			100,000		
5. WAS AN INVERSION LAYER NOTED? (If yes, at what altitude? _____)			☐ Yes ☐ No		
6. WERE ANY THUNDERSTORMS NOTED IN AREA? (If yes, at what quadrant? _____)			☐ Yes ☐ No		
7. CLOUD COVER: _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet. _____ tenths at _____ feet.				8. VISIBILITY WAS <u>CAVU</u> MILES.	
9. COMMENTS:					